

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Wilderness Medical Society Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Acute Pain in Austere Environments: 2024 Update
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/51334/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1177/10806032241248422
Date	2024
Citation	Fink, Patrick B., Wheeler, Albert R., Smith, William R., Brant-Zawadzki, Graham, Lieberman, James R., McIntosh, Scott E., Van Tilburg, Christopher, Wedmore, Ian S., Windsor, Jeremy et al (2024) Wilderness Medical Society Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Acute Pain in Austere Environments: 2024 Update. Wilderness & Environmental Medicine, 35 (2). ISSN 1080-6032
Creators	Fink, Patrick B., Wheeler, Albert R., Smith, William R., Brant-Zawadzki, Graham, Lieberman, James R., McIntosh, Scott E., Van Tilburg, Christopher, Wedmore, Ian S., Windsor, Jeremy, Hofmeyr, Ross and Weber, David

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1177/10806032241248422

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

1 WILDERNESS MEDICAL SOCIETY CLINICAL PRACTICE GUIDELINES

2 3

<u>**Title:**</u> Wilderness Medical Society Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Acute Pain in Austere Environments: 2024 Update

4 5

6 Authors/Affiliations: Patrick B Fink, MD, University of Utah Department of Emergency 7 Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT; Albert R. Wheeler III, MD, St. John's Health Department of 8 Emergency Medicine, Jackson, WY; William R. Smith, MD, St. John's Health Department of 9 Emergency Medicine, Jackson, WY; Graham Brant-Zawadzki, MD, MPH, University of Utah 10 Department of Emergency Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT; James R. Lieberman, MD, unaffiliated; Scott E. McIntosh, MD, MPH, University of Utah Department of Emergency Medicine, Salt 11 12 Lake City, UT; Christopher Van Tilburg, MD, Providence Hood River Memorial Hospital, Hood 13 River, OR; Ian S Wedmore, MD, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, 14 Bethesda, MD; Jeremy S. Windsor, MD, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, United 15 Kingdom; Ross Hofmeyr, MMed, University of Cape Town Department of Anaesthesia and 16 Perioperative Medicine, Cape Town, South Africa; David Weber, FP-C, Mountain Rescue 17 Collective, LLC, Park City, UT. 18 19 **Corresponding Author:** Dr. Patrick Fink, University of Utah Department of Emergency 20 Medicine, 50 N Medical Dr., Salt Lake City, UT 84132. Email: patrickfinkmd@gmail.com 21 22 Summary Tallies

- 23 Word Count (abstract): 75
- 24 Word Count (manuscript with references): 15957
- 25 Reference Count: 213
- 26 Figure Count: 1
- 27 Table Count: 1
- 28

29 Abstract	:
-------------	---

30	The Wilderness Medical Society (WMS) convened an expert panel to develop evidence-based
31	guidelines for the management of pain in austere environments. Recommendations are graded
32	based on the quality of supporting evidence as defined by criteria put forth by the American
33	College of Chest Physicians. This is an update of the 2014 version of the WMS Practice
34	Guidelines for the Treatment of Acute Pain in Remote Environments published in Wilderness &
35	Environmental Medicine 2014; 25:41–49.
36	
37	Key words: Acute Pain / Therapy; Pain Management / Methods; Wilderness Medicine /
38	Methods; Wilderness Medicine / Standards; Societies, Medical
39	
40	

42 Introduction

The treatment of pain is important in the management of illness and injury in any context.
Beyond the ethical considerations of compassion and management of suffering, effective
management of acute pain in austere settings can facilitate the evaluation, packaging, and
transportation of patients whose management would otherwise be challenging or impossible
without pain control.

48 Management of acute pain is a multifaceted challenge which can involve physical 49 stabilization of an acute musculoskeletal injury or wound, medical management of peripherally 50 and centrally mediated pain responses, and management of psychological distress and anxiety. 51 Any treatment of pain carries with it risks and benefits which may be amplified when these 52 treatments are used in an austere environment. Limited patient access, difficulty of reevaluation 53 during transport, need to protect the patient from environmental insults, lack of monitoring 54 equipment, and limited means to respond to adverse effects of treatments can amplify risks. 55 Consequently, treatment of pain in an austere environment requires a thoughtful and progressive 56 approach that minimizes harms while managing the needs of the patient and treating team.

57 For the purposes of this guideline, austere environments are defined as wilderness, 58 remote, or highly resource-limited settings where access to typical hospital or EMS resources is 59 unavailable and evacuation may be challenging or prolonged. We include the setting of 60 wilderness, and include expedition settings and other travel to regions where parties must be self-61 sufficient in their medical care. We exclude from this guideline pain management in the military 62 setting, where resources and availability of evacuation are generally greater and for which 63 guidelines already exist. We also exclude management of acute pain in space travel, where 64 physiology and resource limitations are truly unique. The term 'austere' has been chosen to

replace 'remote' in this guideline as it better describes a setting of limited resources and
environmental challenges. When terrain, weather, or altitude are challenges to care, resources do
not need to be geographically remote to be functionally unavailable.

68 The legal specifics of the acquisition, storage, and administration of controlled substances 69 is beyond the scope of this guideline. Variation between and even within nations and 70 jurisdictions is too great to facilitate recommendations specific to all settings. Further, we do not 71 limit the recommendations in this guideline to a particular class, certification, or training level of 72 responder. Whether an amateur recreational backcountry user or trained medical responder, users 73 of these guidelines must employ judgment as to which treatment modalities are within the scope 74 of their training and appropriate to their treatment setting and available resources. In each of the 75 sections to follow, we present the best available evidence identified by the panel as to the safety, 76 efficacy, and austere-specific considerations for available pain treatment modalities.

77

78 Methods

79 An expert panel was convened during the 2013 annual winter meeting of the Wilderness Medical 80 Society (WMS) in Park City, UT, and an original guideline was published in Wilderness and 81 Environmental Medicine in 2014. A subsequent expert panel was convened in 2019 and a partial 82 unpublished revision to the guideline was completed with integration of updated literature 83 sources. To complete this updated literature review, a panel was convened in July of 2022, 84 participation in which was based on the individual's relevant clinical, research, and/or field 85 experience. The panel included representatives from emergency medicine, anesthesiology, 86 surgery, and the field of prehospital emergency medical services (EMS).

87 A review of relevant research articles was completed by a keyword search of the 88 MEDLINE and PubMed Central databases via the PubMed search interface in addition to queries 89 of SCOPUS and Google Scholar using keywords targeted to each subject area, with filters 90 applied to remove non-English language sources. Searches were completed during June-August 91 2022. Keywords searched were generated by section authors. Abstracts were screened by section 92 authors for relevance to austere settings, fundamental relevance to the understanding and 93 treatment of pain, or the pharmacology and pharmacodynamics of medications discussed. 94 Additional sources were identified by reviewing citations from identified sources and review 95 articles. Where evidence directly pertinent to austere settings was not available, the best 96 available evidence was included from EMS, tactical medicine, disaster medicine, emergency 97 medicine, and global health literature sources. Pain control modalities were included based on 98 expert consensus, and any modalities suggested by any member of the panel were subjected to a 99 literature search for relevant evidence and applications. 100 Articles were excluded for lack of relevance as determined by expert review. Additional 101 relevant review articles obtained for background as well as relevant guidelines on the 102 management of acute pain and traumatic injuries were also selected for inclusion. 103 Recommendations were developed by section authors and revised by consensus 104 approach. Where evidence relevant to austere environments was limited or of low quality, 105 recommendations were made by the consensus of the group based on the clinical experience of 106 panel members and relevant literature from hospital and pre-hospital medicine. 107 Recommendations were graded according to the criteria developed by the American College of 108 Chest Physicians (Supplemental Table) (1). Final recommendations included were approved 109 unanimously by all members of the panel.

110 Terms used in the guideline to discuss pain such as 'pain', 'nociception', and 111 'sensitization' are used in a manner consistent with the International Association for the Study of 112 Pain terms and definitions (2). 113 114 General Approach to Pain Management in an Austere Environment 115 Musculoskeletal injuries, including strains, sprains, dislocations, and fractures, are the most 116 common cause of pain treated in austere environments. Other circumstances that may require 117 pain management include acute medical ailments and environmental injuries such as cold injury, 118 bites, stings, and burns (3-5). 119 In all cases, the management of pain is a secondary consideration to the management of 120 immediate threats to life, including compromise of airway, breathing, or circulation, and 121 secondary to scene safety for both the patient and the treating party. After scene safety and a 122 primary survey have been addressed, a secondary survey should be undertaken to evaluate the 123 cause and severity of pain (6). 124 Traumatic tissue injury, heat, cold, and ischemia can cause pain by different mechanisms, 125 and understanding the mechanism of injury or illness informs appropriate treatment. In an alert 126 patient, a description of mechanism, location, quality, and severity of pain can guide treatment. 127 In an uncooperative or unresponsive patient, evaluation of patient setting and the completion of a 128 thorough secondary survey can identify traumatic as well as environmental injuries on the basis 129 of deformity and tissue appearance (7). Pain control should not be withheld out of concern for 130 masking injury or limiting examination quality, and myths to the contrary have been addressed 131 by quality evidence and expert recommendation (8-11).

If possible, prior to treatment of pain, providers should evaluate pain severity, so as to be able to evaluate response to treatment. A numerical rating scale (e.g. Numerical Rating Scale [NRS-11] or Visual Analog Scale [VAS]) or the patient's subjective description of their pain severity should be used to evaluate response to treatments (12). However, protocols should not compel obligatory use of medications or other interventions in response to specific scores, as a rigid approach requiring treatment in response to a specific threshold has been shown to increase medication-related adverse events (13, 14).

139 Except for some psychosocial interventions, all pain treatment modalities discussed 140 henceforth are accompanied by both benefits and risks to the patient. In general, centrally acting 141 pharmacologic agents (e.g. opioid medications, benzodiazepines) carry greater risk than 142 peripherally acting agents (non-steroidal medications, local anesthetics) due to their potential to 143 affect hemodynamics and respiration. Parenteral routes of administration (intranasal 144 [IN]/intramuscular [IM]/intravenous [IV]/intraosseous [IO]) carry more risk of adverse effects 145 than enteral or topical routes on account of accelerated absorption and shorter time to peak serum 146 concentration. Importantly, risk and benefit are not directly correlated in all cases, and some low-147 risk interventions like psychological support or injury immobilization can be substantially 148 effective in the treatment of pain.

While previous WMS guidelines have recommended a stepwise and escalating approach to pain control, we update this approach to consider situations in which the treating clinician recognizes an inherently severe cause of pain and immediately initiates more aggressive treatment measures (15). Accordingly, we recommend an approach to pain treatment that selects and simultaneously applies pain treatment modalities based on the clinician's experience, the cause of pain, and appraisal of reported and anticipated pain severity. When possible, we still

155 recommend the use of lower-risk interventions before or in concert with higher-risk

156 interventions. Figure 1 provides a conceptual schematic of how different modalities might be

157 selected based on pain severity and available resources.

158 Figure 1

159 After application of a given treatment, the patient's pain level should be reevaluated, and 160 the treating provider should determine if pain control is adequate to meet the needs of the patient 161 and the treating provider or team. Given that different modalities of pain control are appropriate 162 for different mechanisms of injury and illness, there can be no clear prescriptive approach or 163 linear road map for the treatment of pain. In some patients, anxiety and psychological distress 164 may significantly amplify a response to a minor injury, while in others, an isolated orthopedic 165 injury may be amenable to a specific intervention (e.g. hematoma block) which is inappropriate 166 in other cases. Experience and judgment, paired with frequent reevaluation of the patient and 167 environment, are critical.

Medical responses in an austere environment are inherently limited, so one must evaluate and select pain treatments to minimize packed weight and bulk. An ideal medication for austere settings is compact, lightweight, durable against crush, abrasion, heat, and cold, nonsedating, has a large therapeutic index, works across multiple routes of administration, and has no potential for abuse or dependence (16-18). Since no such medication exists, providers must weigh the advantages and disadvantages of each medication carried considering the above constraints.

A survey of providers at the WMS summer conference in 2016 demonstrated that among practicing medical provider respondents, 90% carried oral (PO) nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), 81% carried acetaminophen (APAP), and 47% carried PO opioids when selecting medications for organized treks (19). These medications, in addition to training in

nonpharmacologic pain management, are likely to suffice in many settings, as minor orthopedic
injuries and soft tissue wounds comprise the majority of cases (5). In the management of severe
acute pain, however, these medications may be inadequate.

181 In the following sections we provide further discussion of pain control modalities. In each 182 section we discuss the risks and benefits of these interventions as well as the applications to 183 which they are best suited. Additionally, we discuss treatment considerations such as the need for 184 monitoring for adverse effects. Some of these treatments are advanced and require experience 185 that can only be developed in high-volume clinical environments such as hospitals and EMS 186 services. These treatments provide opportunities for organized rescue teams and advanced 187 medical providers to further refine their treatment of pain, but they are not necessary per se, and 188 in some settings may be inappropriate or excessive.

189

Recommendation: We recommend that providers should manage pain to a level that provides
adequate relief, that meets the needs of the treating team, and that recognizes the limitations
imposed on the team by the austere environment (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality
evidence).

194

Recommendation: We recommend that, when appropriate to the clinical setting, providers
should evaluate patient response to low-risk pain treatments before progressing to higher-risk
treatment modalities or routes of administration so as to minimize harm in the austere
environment (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

- 200 Recommendation: We recommend that, when appropriate to the clinical setting, providers may
- 201 *initiate multiple simultaneous treatments to control pain of greater reported or anticipated*

202 severity (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

203

204 Nonpharmacologic Pain Management

205 *Psychosocial Interventions*

206 The experience of pain is a product of nociceptive nerve signals from the peripheral nervous

207 system that are interpreted and given emotional valence in the central nervous system.

208 Practically, this means that the psychological state of the patient can affect their experience of

209 pain (20, 21). Laboratory studies have demonstrated that empathetic statements, caring touch,

and the presence of a partner perceived as caring can modulate the experience of pain, reduce

211 pain scores, and affect the autonomic response to pain (22-24).

212 Anxiety, a threat-detection function mediated by the amygdala, is also a potent mediator 213 of pain. High-anxiety situations induced in the laboratory setting are associated with higher pain 214 scores for a given pain stimulus (25). Additionally, greater acute pain intensity in the emergency 215 department has been associated with elevated anxiety states, and postoperative pain has been 216 correlated with preoperative anxiety levels (26, 27). Indeed, perioperative behavioral 217 interventions have been demonstrated to reduce postoperative pain and pain-related disability 218 (28). Attention can also be manipulated to treat pain; distraction from a noxious stimulus is 219 associated with lower acute pain scores, while attention focused on the source is associated with 220 higher pain scores (20, 25, 29, 30).

221 As empathy, caring, and distraction can mitigate pain, and conversely, coincident anxiety 222 can increase pain, psychosocial interventions are potentially useful in the treatment of pain. 223 Further, they are well-suited to an austere context, as they are weightless, durable, portable, and 224 cost nothing. The most robust literature targeting the acute reaction to stress and its mitigation 225 comes from work around post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) stemming from combat. 226 Treatment modalities targeting reactions to stress in survivors of trauma have been codified into 227 a toolset referred to collectively as Psychological First Aid (PFA) (31). PFA, while developed to 228 treat survivors of combat, has more recently been directed towards wilderness responders and 229 expedition members and has been integrated into wilderness medical texts and curricula (32-34). 230 The full extent of PFA is beyond the scope of this guideline. However, its principal 231 components provide a framework and shared vocabulary for psychosocial interventions that are 232 likely to aid in the treatment of pain through mitigation of negative emotional states, limitation of 233 stress response, and facilitation of adaptive behaviors (21). These components have been adapted 234 by the authors into a useful mnemonic for the application of PFA in austere environments (Table 235 1) (35). 236 237 *Table 1: The ABCDE mnemonic for the psychosocial treatment of pain.* 238 239 Recommendation: We recommend that providers should train in and apply relevant 240 psychological first aid techniques for treatment of pain in austere environments (Strong 241 recommendation, low-quality evidence). 242

243 Splinting, Bandaging, Immobilization, Cryotherapy

Treatment of acute musculoskeletal injuries has traditionally involved variations of the
'protection, relative rest, ice, compression, and elevation' (PRICE) treatment protocol. Limited
evidence supports this practice. However, PRICE remains in widespread use based on moderate
quality evidence and expert opinion (36, 37).

248 The physiological basis underlying this approach is to reduce edema formation. Extensive 249 edema can be both painful and detrimental to mobilization and tissue healing (38, 39). Currently, 250 the PRICE protocol is considered the best initial treatment regimen for suspected soft-tissue 251 injury and fractures. An alternative strategy called the 'movement, exercise, analgesics, and 252 treatment/therapy' (MEAT) protocol has been proposed for managing isolated ligament and 253 tendon injury when fractures have been excluded. The MEAT protocol embraces inflammation, 254 as the inflammatory process promotes healing and quicker return to normal function. One 255 randomized trial showed that early therapeutic exercise resulted in quicker return to normal 256 function vs PRICE therapy (40). If an isolated ligament or tendon injury is highly suspected and 257 fracture is unlikely, MEAT therapy can be substituted. In an austere environment, however, 258 providers may be unable to differentiate between an acute fracture or isolated soft tissue injury, 259 and thus PRICE remains the recommended therapy for most acute musculoskeletal injuries. 260 The following treatment strategies are recommended for optimal outcomes when utilizing 261 the PRICE protocol: 262 1. Protection from further injury and providing additional stability with taping, bracing,

and/or splinting (41).

264 2. Relative rest will reduce inflammation and pain. If necessary for evacuation, patients can
ambulate.

266 3. Ice or snow application, when available, will decrease skin temperature below 15°C 267 which is the temperature at which nerve conduction is inhibited and pain decreases (42). 268 Lower tissue temperature also reduces edema formation (39). Cooling should be 269 performed with cycles of 10 minutes of cooling followed by 10 minutes of passive 270 rewarming, whenever practical, for the first 24 to 48 hours post-injury (43). This schedule 271 may not be logistically feasible during an evacuation. The tissues should not be cooled to 272 the degree that might result in frostbite or hypothermia (7, 44). When ice or snow is not 273 available, cold water may be substituted for cooling therapy. Evidence for cryotherapy is 274 limited, so the risks of exposing the extremity and patient to potential frostbite and 275 hypothermia must be weighed against the modest benefits of cryotherapy (37). 276 4. Compression with an elastic bandage or compression stocking can be used with the intent 277 to reduce swelling. Some evidence exists to support this practice, though only a small 278 number of trials have been published and results are mixed (45). The compression should 279 be form fitting while still allowing adequate muscle expansion and sufficient blood flow. 280 Capillary refill, sensation, and movement of the distal extremities should be checked 281 regularly to monitor for excessive compression. 282 5. Elevation of the injured area above the level of the heart will reduce potential swelling. 283 284 Recommendation: We recommend that applicable elements of the PRICE treatment protocol

- should be used for acute musculoskeletal injury as first-line pain therapy in austere
- 286 environments. Injuries in which fracture is thought unlikely should use the MEAT treatment

287 protocol (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

288

289 Acetaminophen, Anti-Inflammatories, and NSAIDs.

290

291 *Acetaminophen (paracetamol)*

292

293 Acetaminophen (APAP), also known as paracetamol, is a widely used analysic and antipyretic 294 agent. It acts by inhibiting cyclooxygenase (COX) pathways in the CNS, enzyme pathways 295 required for the production of prostaglandins and prostacyclins (46). Both the analgesic and 296 antipyretic effects of APAP are attributed to reduction in prostaglandin production (47). More 297 recently, research suggests that APAP may also act as a cannabinoid system modulator (48). The 298 analgesic and antipyretic actions of APAP appear to be limited to the CNS, as the medication has 299 not been shown to act on peripheral COX pathways. For this reason, APAP is ineffective as an 300 anti-inflammatory (48).

301 The most common reported side effect of APAP administration, though rare, is GI 302 discomfort (49-51). The most common severe effects of APAP ingestion are seen with 303 hepatotoxicity from significant overdose. APAP has been associated with hepatocellular damage 304 and death. This is usually seen in high doses, typically a single administration >4 g/day in 305 healthy adults or in chronic use of high doses (though toxicity from a single administration <150 306 mg/kg for adults or 200 mg/kg for children is rare). Care should be taken in chronic alcoholics 307 and other patients with hepatic dysfunction, where the maximum safe daily dose of APAP may 308 not be known (50, 52, 53).

APAP has the advantage of being lightweight and low bulk. APAP is available in PO
formulations (tablets, capsules, syrup, and suspension or solution), as a rectal suppository (PR),
and as an IV infusion. Multiple studies have evaluated the efficacy of IV APAP against PO and

312	rectal (PR) administrations and have found clinical equipoise amongst all formulations (54, 55).
313	The analgesic activity of all formulations of APAP diminishes over 6 hours (56).
314	PO administration of APAP is generally the preferred route in most environments if the
315	patient can swallow. The IV route may be utilized with good effect, but no benefit has been
316	shown with this route over others. If the patient cannot take medications PO, PR administration
317	is likely faster, easier, and equally effective. No evidence has suggested teratogenic effects from
318	APAP use in pregnancy (57).
319	
320	Recommendation: We recommend that APAP, in any formulation or route, should be limited to a
321	maximum daily dose of 60 mg/kg or 4 g in four divided doses (Strong recommendation,
322	moderate-quality evidence).

Recommendation: We recommend that in the austere setting, APAP should be administered PO if
possible. If a patient cannot tolerate PO medications, we recommend rectal administration of
APAP as second line with suppository (preferred) or PO tablet given rectally (second line)
(Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

328

329 Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatories

330 Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are a class of medications which act by

inhibiting COX to produce anti-inflammatory as well as antipyretic and analgesic effects (58,

332 59). They are further classified into salicylates (e.g. aspirin), propionic acids (e.g. naproxen,

333 ibuprofen), acetic acids (e.g. diclofenac, indomethacin), enolic acids (e.g. meloxicam), and

334 selective COX-2 inhibitors (e.g. celecoxib). Except for the selective COX-2 inhibitors, NSAIDS

are non-selective inhibitors of both COX enzymes: COX-1 and COX-2. COX-1 expression is
involved in renal function, platelet aggregation, and maintenance of the gastrointestinal (GI)
lining. COX-2 expression is generally quiescent until induced during an inflammatory response
(60). NSAIDs have been demonstrated to be effective analgesic agents that avoid or reduce the
requirement for opiate medications (61, 62).

340 NSAIDs have numerous potential adverse effects, mainly related to their non-selective 341 inhibition of COX-1 activity. While appropriately dosed short-term use of over-the-counter 342 NSAIDs has been shown to be safe and well tolerated, prolonged use and/or high doses of 343 NSAIDS may lead to gastritis and peptic ulcer disease (63-65). COX inhibition may lead to 344 diminished platelet aggregation that may be compounded by concurrent NSAID-induced gastritis 345 and/or underlying coagulopathies (66). However, multiple studies have shown that no increase 346 in postoperative bleeding occurs with short-term use of NSAIDs (67, 68). Patients with reduced 347 renal function or altered hemodynamics due to acute volume depletion or underlying disease 348 may be at risk for renal injury from renal papillary necrosis leading to further renal dysfunction, 349 electrolyte disturbances, and nephrotic or nephritic syndromes (69). While generally well-350 tolerated in those with normal renal function, recent data suggest that ibuprofen may have a 351 nephrotoxic effect even in those with no prior renal disease (70).

352 Studies of multiple NSAIDs have suggested an analgesic ceiling at submaximal doses, 353 e.g. 400 mg PO for ibuprofen (71, 72). The question of whether an additional anti-inflammatory 354 effect is seen at higher doses is unclear. A 2015 Cochrane review could not find evidence of 355 "clinically important differences in analgesic efficacy between NSAIDS and other PO 356 analgesics", suggesting that any additional anti-inflammatory effect for doses above the

analgesic ceiling has no significant effect on pain, though this is based on low- or very-lowquality evidence (73).

359 Ibuprofen and naproxen are the two most common PO NSAIDs used for analgesia. 360 Aspirin, though a common medication, is usually eschewed for analgesic use, particularly in 361 traumatic injury, due to its anticoagulant properties. Recommended analgesic dosing of 362 ibuprofen is 200-400 mg as needed every 6 hours PO for adults, or 5-10 mg/kg for children. In 363 short term applications, an ibuprofen dose of 1200 mg/day or less has been shown to lead to no 364 further adverse GI effects than placebo in otherwise healthy patients (74). Naproxen is also 365 administered PO and is available in either immediate and extended-release tablets or suspension 366 forms. Recommended analgesic dosing is generally a 550 mg loading dose, followed by 250 mg 367 every 12 hours PO for adults, 5 mg/kg every 12 hours for children (maximum 10 mg/kg/d). 368 Meloxicam is another PO NSAID notable for austere use in that its recommended analgesic 369 dosing for adults is 7.5-15 mg PO as needed only once daily. 370 Ketorolac is an NSAID that is available PO and in a parenteral form, given IV or IM. 371 Studies show no difference in reduction of pain scores when IM ketorolac is compared with PO 372 ibuprofen, though the IM form may be useful when PO medications cannot be administered (75, 373 76) Doses for adults are 15 mg IV or 30 mg IM every 6 hours (77). 374 Topical NSAIDs, though proven to be effective analgesics, have a limited role for 375 treatment of significant injury in the austere environments given the increased weight, bulk, and 376 limited stability of these preparations (78).

377

378	Recommendation: We recommend NSAIDs as an effective class of analgesic agents that should
379	be employed as a first-line treatment for mild to severe pain in the austere environment in the
380	absence of contraindications (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
381	
382	Recommendation: We recommend that treatment strategies with NSAIDs should employ the
383	lowest effective dose for the shortest possible duration to minimize adverse effects (Strong
384	recommendation, high-quality evidence).
385	
386	Recommendation: We recommend that NSAIDs should not be used with known renal
387	dysfunction, pregnancy, history of bariatric surgery, and/or history of GI bleeding (Strong
388	recommendation, high-quality evidence).
389	
390	Synergistic Effects of Non-Opioid Analgesics
391	Combinations of NSAIDs with APAP have been demonstrated to provide superior pain control to
392	either drug alone or in combination with a PO opioid. The side effects are fewer and patient
393	satisfaction is higher. These benefits have been seen in a variety of injury patterns and in
394	postoperative patients (79-81). A systematic review of 21 studies over 10 years found a
395	combination of APAP and an NSAID was superior to either drug alone (82).
396	Military applications of NSAIDs/APAP combinations have been successful (82, 83). US
397	military units give combat-deployed soldiers a 'pill pack' to be taken immediately after a
398	nonstrating automity wound of any type. These needs contain ADAD malayican and an
	penetrating extremity wound of any type. These packs contain APAP, meloxicam, and an

Acute traumatic pain can be treated with a combination of APAP and an NSAID effectively, and in many cases, without opioids. For severe pain, the initial doses of APAP and an NSAID should be simultaneous, after which the medications should be administered according to their appropriate dosing intervals. As the foundation of medical therapy for pain due to acute injury, these medications should be regularly administered throughout the acute injury period.

406 Recommendation: We recommend that a combination of APAP/NSAID should be considered in
407 the treatment of moderate to severe acute pain in the austere environment (Strong
408 recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

409

410 **Opioid Analgesia**

411 If psychological first aid, PRICE therapy, and non-opioid therapy are inadequate, or pain is 412 sufficiently severe, an escalation of analgesic agents may be considered. Providing opioid 413 analgesia must be undertaken with care in the austere environment as opioid use can be 414 associated with a wide range of side effects, most concerningly respiratory depression and loss of 415 airway protective reflexes. Other opioid side effects include dysphoria, euphoria, pruritus, 416 nausea, vomiting, and constipation. (85-87). Nonetheless, opioids have an established role in the 417 treatment of severe acute pain in the wilderness or austere environment (85, 88, 89). Choice of 418 opioid, route of administration, and dosage are dependent upon many factors, including scope of 419 practice, mechanism and extent of injuries, logistical issues of extraction and transport of the 420 patient, comorbidities, and presence of hypovolemic shock.

421 Respiratory depression and loss of protective airway reflexes caused by opioids should 422 always be considered when administering opioid analgesia, especially when using fast acting or

423 potent formulations. With careful titration and administration by experienced physicians and 424 other providers, these problems can usually be avoided (85, 88). Whether opioids are part of the 425 analgesic plan for an expedition, personal use, or wilderness EMS, consideration should be given 426 to management of complications, and a risk/benefit analysis must be performed in advance of 427 carrying and administering opioids. At a minimum, providers administering opioid analgesia 428 should have basic training in airway management as this is the primary means of managing 429 respiratory depression due to opioid use. Naloxone is a competitive opioid receptor antagonist 430 that is useful in temporarily reversing respiratory depression and sedation due to opioids but also 431 carries the risk of precipitating acute opioid withdrawal in chronic users. Carrying naloxone as a 432 rescue medication should be considered when more potent forms of opioid medications are in 433 use.

434

Recommendation: We suggest that opioid analgesia can be an effective component of acute pain
management in austere environments; however, consideration of risk should be weighed against
the benefit of these medications (Weak recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

438

Recommendation: We recommend that providers who administer opioid analgesics be prepared
to recognize and manage respiratory depression. Naloxone availability should be considered
when non-oral opioids are in use (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

443 Oral Opioids

444 Compared with parenteral preparations, PO formulations of opioids have the benefit of being
445 easier to carry, store, and administer. These medications carry the least concern among opioid

formulations for acute respiratory depression and are generally considered safe for unmonitored
use. However, caution should be used in opioid naïve patients, and short-acting opioids should
be chosen over long-acting formulations (90). Durability and ease of administration make PO
opioids an attractive form of analgesia for the austere environment.

450 PO opioids are often combined by manufacturers with other analgesics such as APAP to 451 provide synergistic pain relief (91). When using these combined medications, care should be 452 taken to monitor the total dose of both medications. It is preferable to separate the medications 453 when possible and carry opioid and non-opioid medications independently to allow for titration 454 of each medication. Non-opioid PO analgesics have been shown to be equally effective as or 455 non-inferior to PO opioid analgesics and opioid combination medications and they generally 456 have a better side effect profile (92-94). This knowledge should prompt a serious consideration 457 of whether PO opioid analgesia provides any additional benefit over non-opioids in an austere 458 environment. Psychological first aid, PRICE, and non-opioid medications should also be 459 employed where appropriate to reduce the requirement for opioids.

460

461 Recommendation: We recommend that PO opioids or opioid/APAP combination drugs are
462 effective for the treatment of acute severe pain in austere settings. However, non-opioid PO
463 analgesic regimens may be preferred for their equivalent efficacy and superior safety profile
464 (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

465

466 Intranasal, Transmucosal, Sublingual, and Transdermal Opioids

467 Noninvasive methods of parenteral opioid administration include IN, transmucosal (TM), SL,

468 and transdermal (TD) routes. Fentanyl is the most frequently utilized opioid medication for non-

469 IV parenteral use given its relatively predictable pharmacokinetics (95, 96). PO fentanyl is 470 generally ineffective due to extensive first pass metabolism. However, IN, TM, and SL opioids 471 are not subject to this metabolism and have the advantage of providing acute pain relief without 472 the requirement for IV access. TM and SL formulations have traditionally been utilized for 473 treatment of breakthrough cancer pain with great success (97, 98).

474 Intranasal opioid administration also provides a method for acute pain relief without the 475 need for IV access. The IN route provides for rapid absorption of opioids. IN Fentanyl is most 476 widely utilized in EDs, by EMS systems, as well as in wilderness setting such as on-hill ski 477 patrol care (99-103). It has also been found to be safe for pediatric use in multiple settings (104-478 108). IN opioids are best delivered in a volume of 0.2-0.3 ml into each nostril (109). Volumes 479 greater than 1 ml per nostril are not absorbed nasally but rather swallowed, undergoing first pass 480 metabolism. The drug dose administered should be split between the two nostrils to maximize 481 the absorptive area available and to keep volumes as low as possible. IN medications are most 482 effectively administered through use of an atomizer device (110, 111). The standard 50 ug/ml 483 concentration IV fentanyl is used for IN administration.

When larger doses of opioids are desired IN, the volume of fentanyl required must be given as multiple doses over time to limit volumes per nostril. To overcome this volume limitation and provide greater analgesia in small volume doses, the more potent fentanyl analog sufentanil has been utilized. Sufentanyl is nearly 100% bioavailable when given IN and at 20 minutes achieves equivalency with similar IV doses (112). It has been successfully and safely utilized in clinic and ED settings (113, 114).

490 Oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate (OTFC) in lozenge form delivers fentanyl by TM
491 absorption. OTFC was originally developed for treatment of cancer-related pain. OTFC has been

492 used extensively by the US military and is safe when utilized in appropriate doses in a healthy 493 military population (115,116). Safe use in the military has also led to safe and effective OTFC 494 use in prehospital environments and recommendations for use in mountain rescue (18, 117). 495 Sublingual fentanyl administration has been shown to have pharmacokinetics similar to 496 IV fentanyl (118). Recently, sufertanil SL has been approved for treatment of acute pain in 497 opiate naive patients in a monitored setting, developed with support from the United States 498 Department of Defense to provide a non-IV method of battlefield pain control. The SL 499 formulation is safe, effective, and has a decreased side-effect and euphoric profile in comparison 500 to fentanyl (119). Studies of sufentanil SL use have been limited to ED- and hospital-based 501 settings, but pooled studies of 436 and 806 patients suggest that it is safe and efficacious in 502 monitored healthcare settings (120-122). There have not yet been any published studies on 503 prehospital use. 504 Transdermal opioids are designed for long term maintenance of pain control in opioid 505 tolerant individuals and have limited utility in the wilderness setting due to their slow onset of 506 action. Due to a paucity of evidence for the treatment of acute pain, transdermal opioids are not 507 recommended for use in austere environments. 508

Recommendation: We recommend OTFC for safe and effective treatment of pain in austere
settings (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).

511

512 Recommendation: We recommend intranasal fentanyl for safe and effective pain control in

513 *austere environments (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).*

514

Recommendation: We suggest that despite limited documented prehospital use, sublingual
fentanyl and sufertanil are likely safe and effective for austere use provided that patient
monitoring is available (Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence).

519 Intravenous, Intramuscular, and Intraosseous Opioids

IV opioid administration can provide fast and effective analgesia (85, 89, 123-125).
However, obtaining and maintaining IV access may be difficult in austere environments as it
requires appropriate skills, suitable equipment, and ongoing maintenance. Environmental factors
such as cold or freezing temperatures may also weigh on the decision to pursue IV access.

IV opioids carry an increased licensing and regulatory burden and fall outside the scope of practice for many austere medical providers. The availability of IV medications may be more reasonable within organized wilderness EMS systems or in expedition base camps where prolonged stays and delayed evacuations may occur. Consideration should be given to the potential complications of parenteral opioid use and all providers administering these medications should be proficient at basic airway management (87, 88, 124). Naloxone can be considered as rescue medication.

Intraosseous access (IO) is an alternative route that is more easily established in certain situations and should be considered when IV access is needed and cannot be readily obtained (126). IV and IO routes have several advantages over the PO route: they provide rapid pain relief and reliable drug delivery, are titratable, and may be a familiar mode of administration for healthcare professionals.

Fentanyl has been recommended as the drug of choice for prehospital IV pain control in
the EMS setting (88, 125, 127). In austere or prolonged settings, a longer acting opioid might be

preferred. Although the invasive nature of the IV route makes it impractical for many austere environments, once IV/IO access is established, these medications are still considered the gold standard for control of acute, severe pain (88). The IM route avoids the need to establish IV or IO access, but due to variable absorption, onset and efficacy may be inferior. IM fentanyl and morphine have been compared prospectively and proven equivalent in terms of analgesia and side effects when given in equipotent doses (128).

544

Recommendation: We recommend that parenteral opioids be used to provide analgesia for acute
severe pain in austere environments when benefits outweigh risks and when more conservative
modalities do not provide sufficient analgesia (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality
evidence).

549

550 Ketamine

551 Ketamine is a dissociative anesthetic agent which is an N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)

antagonist suspected to block afferent effects of pain perception in the medullary reticular

formation, alter certain CNS transmitter systems, and suppress spinal cord activity (129). In

554 common formulation, ketamine is a 50:50 racemic mixture of *s*- and *r*-ketamine. The *s*-ketamine

555 enantiomer is a more potent analgesic agent and in sub-dissociative doses has fewer psychiatric

side effects than the racemic mixture, which has led to its growing use as an analgesic in some

557 countries (130). The more widely available racemic form is discussed here.

558 In lower doses, ketamine has a long history of pre-hospital use for acute pain. Ketamine

559 can be administered via several routes including IM, IV/IO, IN, PO, SL, and PR (131). Most

560 wilderness applications involve IM, IN, and IV/IO routes.

Ketamine is unique among pain control medications in that it has variable effects at different doses, and care must be taken to remain within the range of 'pain dose' or 'low dose' ketamine (0.1-0.5 mg/kg IV or 1-2 mg/kg IM) (131,132). At higher doses (1-2 mg/kg IV or 3-5 mg/kg IM), ketamine causes dissociation, a type of moderate sedation often employed in hospital use (133). Between these dosing ranges, ketamine can cause hallucinations, euphoria/dysphoria, delirium, and other undesirable effects.

For pain control, ketamine is administered within the low dose range as above by slow IV push, IV infusion, or IM injection. If repeat dosing is undertaken, care must be taken to avoid rapid redosing and induction of hallucinations or sedation. Ketamine can be safely used in combination with opioids to enhance pain control if multiple modalities are needed (134). Ketamine is well tolerated and has been shown to be safe when administered in dissociative doses by non-physician practitioners to facilitate procedures, which reinforces its safety when used in pain control doses (135).

Ketamine has been reported to be safe, useful, and to have few associated adverse events when used by physicians working in an air medical mountain rescue service (136). It has also been safely used at dissociative doses for procedures at high altitude (>3900m) by primary care physicians without anesthesia training. This application in 11 cases found no significant complications or hypoxia associated with ketamine use (137).

579 In appropriately titrated pain doses, patients can maintain their own airway as pharyngeal 580 reflexes and spontaneous ventilation are usually preserved. However, salivation is often 581 increased, and airway obstruction has been reported with dissociative doses (138). Unlike many 582 anesthetic and analgesic agents, cardiovascular function is often well preserved in the setting of

ketamine administration. This makes ketamine a suitable choice for trauma patients ifhemorrhagic shock is suspected (139).

585

586 *Recommendation: We recommend ketamine for the control of acute severe pain in the austere*

587 setting using pain-control doses (0.1-0.5mg/kg IV, 1-2mg/kg IM). Providers should be

588 experienced in using the medication and equipped to manage airway complications should they

589 *arise (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).*

590

591 Local Anesthetics

592 Local anesthetic (LA) agents act by prolonging deactivation of voltage-gated sodium channels.

593 When acting on peripheral neurons, they reduce or reversibly block neuronal transmission,

594 leading to local analgesia or anesthesia. However, when absorbed systemically, these

595 medications can cause severe adverse effects by acting on sodium channels in the myocardium or

596 CNS, such as cardiac arrest and seizure. Attention must thus be given to weight-based maximum

doses to avoid toxicity (e.g. lidocaine 4-5 mg/kg, maximum 300 mg/dose and 2400 mg/day), and

598 care must be taken to avoid intravascular or intraneural injection.

Worldwide, the most used LAs are lidocaine (lignocaine) and bupivacaine, although there are many variations and preparations within the category. The onset, duration of action, and density of the local analgesia or anesthesia produced by LAs depend upon multiple medication factors including the lipid solubility, dissociation constant, concentration, and total dose. Typically, agents with more-rapid onset tend to have shorter duration of action, whereas longer-

604 acting drugs carry higher risks of toxicity (140).

LAs can be applied topically to the skin or mucous membranes, injected directly into
 peripheral tissues, or adjacent to regional nerves or even the spinal cord to produce regional or
 neuraxial analgesia or anesthesia.

608

609 Topical Local Anesthesia

610 Topical anesthetic agents are directly applied on or around peripheral sites of nociception in gel,

611 liquid, ointment, or cream form, blocking noxious stimuli at their source (141-144).

612 Administration is simple, and the low systemic absorption reduces the risk of side effects (145).

613 There are many available formulations of anesthetics for topical use including

614 combination products and viscous formulations to facilitate easy application (e.g.

615 lidocaine/epinephrine/tetracaine (LET) and the eutectic mixture of lidocaine/prilocaine (EMLA).

616 The efficacy and safety of these formulations has been demonstrated for treatment of wounds

and stings and for the facilitation of laceration repairs (146, 147). The efficacy of these

618 formulations outside of recommended storage temperatures of 0-30° C has not been studied and

619 may limit their use in some austere environments.

620

621 *Recommendation: We recommend locally instilled and topically applied local anesthetic agents*

622 for safe and effective treatment of pain related to burns, stings, and soft tissue injuries in austere

623 *environments (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).*

624

625 Ophthalmic/Otic Solutions

626 Ophthalmic and otic solutions of topical anesthetics are useful in the treatment of conditions

627 including corneal abrasions or ulcerations, ultraviolet keratitis, otitis media, and otitis externa

628 (148, 149). These preparations differ from plain aqueous solutions of LAs in that they often 629 contain preservatives, buffers, viscosity agents, and other additives to make them more effective 630 and tolerable at the target site (150). Lacking alternatives, an aqueous solution of lidocaine, as 631 used for local infiltration, can be used in topical ocular application. However, the lack of pH 632 buffering reduces penetration into the tear film and causes significant discomfort on instillation, 633 reducing efficacy and tolerability (151). Ophthalmic and otic solutions are generally similar in 634 composition, though ophthalmic solutions are sterile and free of particulate matter, so ophthalmic 635 solutions may be instilled in the ear, but otic solutions should not be used in the eye. Otic 636 solutions are generally less expensive (152).

637 Ophthalmic solutions can be of great use in the care of a patient who has become 638 debilitated by a corneal abrasion or keratitis, as analgesia can facilitate eye opening and allow the 639 patient to participate in their evacuation. Concerns over delayed corneal healing have led many 640 providers to withhold repeated use in the setting of corneal injury (153). However, a meta-641 analysis pooling two randomized, prospective studies in the emergency department showed no 642 clinically significant adverse effects in the repeated use of ophthalmic proparacaine for less than 643 72 hours (154). Accordingly, it is reasonable to use a short course of ophthalmic anesthetics to 644 aid in the evacuation of otherwise debilitated patients. Due to reduced capacity for self-645 monitoring, care should be taken to protect an anesthetized eye from insults, including dryness, 646 exposure to foreign bodies, or to UV radiation (155).

647

648 *Recommendation: We suggest that ophthalmic anesthetic solutions are, when used*

649 appropriately, safe, and useful for the treatment of acute ocular and auricular pain in austere

650 *environments (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).*

652 Regional Analgesia

653 Regional analgesia employs LA injection near and about nerves or nerve plexi to achieve broad 654 areas of analgesia and anesthesia. The use of regional analgesia/anesthesia in the austere 655 environment has the potential to meet many goals of austere pain management, such as avoiding 656 sedation, respiratory depression, cardiovascular instability and other unwanted side-effects 657 (156,157). Regional anesthesia also uses a limited amount of compact equipment to provide 658 rapid and predictable onset of excellent pain control. However, such approaches require 659 additional equipment, pharmacological agents, training, and experience. Selection of patient, 660 setting, and technique must thus be carefully considered (157). Neuraxial (intrathecal/spinal and 661 epidural) injections are unlikely to be suited to austere conditions outside of specific 662 circumstances, and thus fall beyond the scope of these guidelines.

663

664 Field-expedient regional blocks

In contrast to neuraxial techniques, regional anesthesia and analgesia can be achieved in many settings with minimal equipment and limited training while still retaining an acceptable margin of safety. Well-executed nerve blocks can provide excellent and long-lasting analgesia, or full anesthesia of a limb or region to allow interventions and even mobilization and self-rescue. However, these advantages must be balanced with safety; nerve blocks may also hamper selfrescue or render a limb unusable for hours.

671 The concept of field-expedient regional blocks (FERBs) refers to nerve block techniques672 which:

• Have consistent approaches using reliable anatomical landmarks;

674	• Can be performed reliably with a predictable distribution of effect;
675	• Avoid structures which carry high risk or consequences of injury (e.g. pleura or major
676	vessels);
677	• Have a low risk of unwanted effects (e.g. phrenic nerve block and diaphragmatic paralysis
678	which frequently accompanies interscalene brachial plexus block);
679	• Require drug volumes which are well within the maximum safe dose of the selected LA;
680	• Tend towards slower drug absorption, reducing the risk of systemic toxicity;
681	• Are easily learned and can be practiced by austere medicine practitioners working in a
682	variety of settings to become proficient before using them in the field;
683	• Do not require highly specialized equipment (158).
684	While a full discussion of suitable FERBs is beyond the scope of these guidelines,
685	examples of the concept include certain orbital, dental and occipital blocks in the head and neck,
686	coracoid, wrist, and interdigital blocks in the upper limb, the fascia iliaca plane block, popliteal,
687	peroneal, ankle, and intermetatarsal blocks in the lower limb, and ring blockade of any digit
688	(159-164).
689	Ultrasound has become the standard of care in-hospital for many regional blocks and is
690	mandatory for some techniques. It allows visualization of tissue planes, nerves, blood vessels and
691	other structures with minimal discomfort, and has many other uses in austere medicine (156).
692	However, ultrasound requires additional training and practice to be used effectively for regional
693	blocks, which should be obtained in a controlled setting.
694	Practitioners should know the potential risks and complications of regional nerve blocks
695	and seek patient consent wherever possible before performing a block. Knowledge of the signs,
696	symptoms, and management of local anesthetic systemic toxicity (LAST) is essential. IV access

697	should be obtained before all but the most simple blocks to facilitate treatment of complications
698	as needed, and equipment and drugs for resuscitation should always be available before
699	commencing a regional block (165). These techniques are therefore limited to well-equipped and
700	trained rescue teams and responders.
701	
702	Recommendation: We suggest that when performed by experienced practitioners with necessary
703	administration and monitoring equipment, field-expedient regional nerve blocks may be an
704	effective tool to manage pain and facilitate procedures in an austere environment. (Weak
705	recommendation, low-quality evidence).
706	
707	Recommendation: We recommend that portable ultrasound be used for FERBs in austere
708	environments when appropriate equipment and skills are available (Strong recommendation,
709	moderate quality evidence).
710	
711	Care of patients with nerve blocks in the field
712	Local and regional analgesia may facilitate (but should not delay) life- and limb-saving
713	interventions. Well-executed regional analgesia may improve a patient's ability to communicate
714	or partake in self-care and self-rescue activities, but it does not negate the responsibility to
715	perform ongoing monitoring and assessment. Care should be given to assessing for symptoms
716	and signs of LAST and to the care of the region under analgesia/anesthesia to prevent further

- 717 injury. Patients with well-executed blocks may not be able to feel pressure points, control an
- anesthetized limb, or perceive inadequate perfusion from compartment syndrome, dressings, or
- 719 immobilization measures which are too restrictive.

721 Recommendations: We recommend immobilization with adequate padding, pressure care, and 722 frequent assessments for perfusion and absence of clinical signs of compartment syndrome as 723 necessary for patients who have received regional blocks in austere environments (Strong 724 recommendation, low-quality evidence). 725 726 Hematoma blocks 727 In contrast to all other modern regional analgesia techniques, a hematoma block aims to 728 deliberately aspirate blood by advancing a 20G needle directly into the hematoma surrounding a 729 fracture using sterile technique. It is nearly exclusively used for reduction of distal radius/ulna 730 fractures, although its use has also been described for fractures of the tibia (166, 167). As 731 fracture hematomas can be considered contiguous with the bone marrow space, absorption of 732 LAs via hematoma could theoretically be as rapid as IO drug administration (168). For this 733 reason, only lidocaine is used for this block, at a maximum dose of 1.5-2 mg/kg. For most adult 734 patients, a volume of 5-10 ml of 1% lidocaine solution is safe and effective (169, 170). 735 736 Recommendations: We suggest that hematoma blocks with plain lidocaine can be performed by 737 suitably experienced practitioners in austere environments (Weak recommendation, moderate 738 quality evidence). 739 740 Field infiltration of local anesthetics 741 Although not a true regional block, infiltration of LAs around the margins of a wound or in an 742 injured region is an effective means of providing analgesia and can extend to procedural

743 locoregional anesthesia. In this setting, there is little advantage to using concentrated LA, and 744 dilutions of 0.5 to 1% lidocaine and as little as 0.1% of long-acting agents can be effective. Use 745 of a narrow-gauge needle and injection with smooth continuous withdrawal reduces the risk of 746 intravascular injection. Caution should still be employed in calculating the maximum safe dose; 747 particularly when providing repeated injections within a limited timeframe.

748

Recommendations: We recommend field infiltration of dilute LA for safe management of acute
pain due to soft tissue wounds in austere environments (Strong recommendation, moderatequality evidence).

752

753 Infiltration of diphenhydramine

754 Diphenhydramine (DPH) is an antihistamine that is an inverse agonist at the H1 receptor. It is 755 usually employed in the treatment of allergy or anaphylaxis and may be carried as a component of austere medical kits for this reason. While PO formulations of DPH are limited to these 756 757 traditional indications, a 1% aqueous DPH formulation diluted from the 5% typical for IV use is 758 also useful as a LA, particularly in those patients with allergies to typical LAs such as lidocaine. 759 Local injection of 1% DPH achieves adequate analgesia for wound care within 5 minutes in 80% 760 of patients (171-173). In comparison to lidocaine, the injection of DPH is more painful, the 761 analgesic effect slightly less than that of lidocaine but adequate for suturing and wound care, and 762 DPH analgesia has shorter duration than that of lidocaine (174, 175). DHP infiltration can cause 763 dose-dependent mild sedation, and this should be taken into account if the patient is expected to 764 ambulate or participate in self-rescue (171). The potential adverse effects of intraarterial 765 injection DPH are minimal, particularly in comparison to lidocaine. Local DPH infiltration can,

rarely, cause skin irritation (171, 175). To date, DPH has not been studied for use in nerve,
regional, and hematoma blocks.

768

769 Recommendation: We recommend aqueous DPH solution as safe and effective for local

infiltration and wound care as an alternative to lidocaine in the austere environment when

1771 *lidocaine is not available, or allergy limits its use. Consideration should be given to its sedating*

772 *effects (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).*

773

774 Intravenous Lidocaine

775 IV lidocaine use as an infusion for the treatment of pain has been widely reported in hospital-776 based applications and has shown equivalent effect relative to opioids in some applications, as 777 well as opioid sparing effects (176, 177). However, anesthesia guidelines describe the use of IV 778 lidocaine as "high risk" even in the hospital setting due to its narrow therapeutic window (178). 779 In hospital application, access to lipid emulsion therapy is considered necessary to treat possible 780 overdose. In a systematic review of its use in hospital application, of 289 patients treated with IV 781 lidocaine, 44 adverse events were reported of which 36 (12.4%) were 'serious', including altered 782 mental status and cardiac dysrhythmias (177). Meta-analysis of its use in the emergency 783 department yielded similar adverse event rates but showed equivocal performance in comparison 784 to opioids (176)

A case report is available describing two patients treated with IV lidocaine in an austere setting. Both patients experienced improved pain, however, both patients were treated without the availability of lipid emulsion and both experienced prodromal symptoms of LAST (179). This highlights the hazard of employing this method without hospital-level resources. Because of

789	the degree of monitoring and resuscitation equipment required, and given the very poor
790	therapeutic index of this method, IV use of LAs is not recommended.

Recommendation: We recommend that IV lidocaine should not be used in the austere

793 environment (Strong recommendation, low-quality evidence).

794

795 Inhalational Analgesics

Inhalational analgesia is provided by breathing specific gases or volatile agents which induce
analgesic effects after absorption in the lung. This should be distinguished from topical/TM
administration of agents using atomization or nebulization.

Inhalational analgesia is characterized by rapid on- and offset, ease of administration,
lack of immediate requirement of IV access, and comparable efficacy to other systemic
agents. Currently, there are only two inhalational analgesics in clinical use: nitrous oxide (a
gas), and methoxyflurane (a vapor). Both require specific equipment and methods to administer
and are discussed further below.

804

805 Nitrous Oxide

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a non-flammable, colorless gas with a slightly sweet scent which has
euphoric and dissociative anesthetic properties at high partial pressures, and significant analgesia
at lower doses. N₂O is typically self-administered by breathing through a demand-valve and
mouthpiece that is held between the teeth, or directly through an anesthetic face mask. Onset of
action is rapid, within several breaths; clinically significant analgesia occurs within 5 minutes

811 (180). While the precise mechanism of action is not fully understood, anesthetic effects are likely

812	through non-competitive central NMDA-receptor antagonism, while analgesic effects are
813	mediated by endogenous opioid release in the midbrain (181). It is commonly used as a
814	synergistic agent during induction and maintenance of general anesthesia but has also been
815	extensively administered in combination with oxygen (O2) as an analgesic for painful conditions
816	and procedures such as labor and delivery or dental work. Outside of the operating theater, it is
817	most commonly available in an equal, preblended (50%) mixture with oxygen, known as
818	Entonox. N ₂ O can also be mixed with a separate supply of pure O ₂ using a blender, though this is
819	more cumbersome for prehospital use. N2O has been used with considerable success as an
820	analgesic in pre-hospital care for many years (180, 182).
821	Patient-administered N2O analgesia has been shown to have a low rate of adverse events
822	and sedation, in both children and adults (183, 184). The most-common side effects, such as
823	nausea, dizziness, and mild sedation, are normally short lived. At analgesic doses, it exhibits
824	cardiovascular stability and no respiratory depression and can provide analgesia on par with
825	systemic opiate administration (181, 185-187). The rapid diffusion of N2O can lead to
826	accumulation in gas-filled spaces within the body, and it should be used cautiously in head and
827	chest trauma victims so as not to worsen pneumothorax or pneumocephalus, and its use is
828	contraindicated in recently-ascended divers (188).
829	Three important limitations for the use of N ₂ O in the austere setting exist. First, it is
830	stored in bulky, heavy, pressurized cylinders (e.g. Entonox), which require the use of regulators
831	and a demand valve, making transport and storage challenging.
832	Second, the nature of the pressurized gas mixture reduces the range of ambient
833	temperatures in which it can be used. Direct sunlight and hot temperatures can risk cylinder

rupture due to overpressure, and subzero (below -5.5° C) temperatures will cause the N₂O to

liquify. Initially, this can result in the inhalation of oxygen with limited N₂O in unpredictable
concentrations. However, as the cylinder empties, an increasing concentration of N₂O is
delivered, and the mixture becomes dangerously hypoxic (213). Accordingly, N₂O/O₂ mixtures
must be administered with care at below-freezing temperatures.

Third, N₂O is delivered as a gas, and increasing altitude will cause a corresponding decrease in the inhaled partial pressure of gases. This in turn decreases the analgesic effect of N₂O at altitude (189). Thus, while it is a useful agent at sea level, the value of N₂O is greatly decreased at high altitude (190-192). Additionally, N₂O can accumulate in small and poorly ventilated spaces, so adequate ventilation should be assured to prevent unintentional inhalation among bystanders.

These restrictions on the portability of the agent and use under adverse conditions has discouraged austere use. Although N₂O has been employed among ski patrols in Canada, Australia, and the United States, its use on the ski hill, including efficacy and safety, has not been reported (193).

849

Recommendation: We suggest N₂O as a safe and effective analgesic in austere environments for
short painful procedures or for limited periods of time. Although evidence pertinent to austere
use is limited, it can be safely used at low altitude, with appropriate monitoring, and where
appropriate storage of cylinders can be assured (Weak recommendation, low-quality evidence).

855 *Methoxyflurane*

856 Methoxyflurane is a halogenated volatile anesthetic with analgesic properties (194). Its

857 mechanism of action is not well understood, but it is a known GABA receptor agonist and

reduces gap junction function in the brain. Although it is no longer used for anesthesia, it has
been widely used as an analgesic in Australia and New Zealand by paramedic, military and
civilian first aid providers for over 30 years (195).

Methoxyflurane is self-administered by inhalation through a hand-held whistle-like device which functions as a simple, disposable draw-over vaporizer. Onset of analgesia is rapid (within 5 minutes) and continues while the patient breathes through the device (196). When used continuously, a single dose (3 ml) lasts for approximately 25-30 minutes (197). Its effects are rapidly reversed once inhalation stops.

Changes in cardiovascular, respiratory and neurological function during methoxyflurane
administration are not clinically significant and therefore IV access is not essential (196, 198).
The most common side effects are dizziness, headache and feeling somnolent (197, 199). Serious
adverse effects are rare, but methoxyflurane is contraindicated in patients with renal or hepatic
impairment as well as those who have a personal or family history of malignant hyperthermia
(101, 199).

872 Concerns have been raised as to whether methoxyflurane will suffer the same decrease in 873 efficacy at altitude which is experienced with N₂O (200). However, as saturated vapor pressure is 874 not influenced by ambient pressure, altitude should not affect the partial pressure delivered by 875 the device, a theory which has been borne out in initial laboratory work (189, 201). Questions 876 which remain to be studied are the effect of low ambient temperatures on device performance 877 and whether environmental factors influence clinical efficacy of methoxyflurane in the 878 field. Efficacy for analgo-sedation at high altitude (4470 m) appears to be preserved in one case 879 study (202).

880	Methoxyflurane has been shown to have more rapid onset and comparable or better initial
881	analgesia compared to IV opiates or APAP (203-206). In addition, its portability, stability, and
882	ease of administration make it highly suitable for use in austere settings (101, 207, 208). The
883	rapid onset of action and limited side effect profile make methoxyflurane useful as a temporizing
884	measure until other modalities can be established or as a definitive short-term analgesic (195,
885	209).
886	
887	Recommendation: We recommend methoxyflurane as safe and effective to treat pain in sub-
888	anesthetic doses in austere environments (Strong recommendation, moderate-quality evidence).
889	
890	Adjuncts
891	Benzodiazepines
892	Benzodiazepines (BZDs), which have long been used in the treatment of anxiety, have
893	previously been recommended as a possible analgesic adjunct (15). This was rational because, as
894	previously discussed, there is a correlation between anxiety states and increased perception of
895	acute pain. However, no quality evidence has emerged to support the administration of BZDs for
896	analgesia.
897	BZDs act centrally on GABA-A receptors, resulting in CNS depression (210).
898	Additionally, the combination of BZDs with other CNS depressants can potentiate their
899	concerning adverse effects. The combination of BZDs with opioids has been well-studied and
900	has the potential to induce significant respiratory depression (211-213).
901	

- 902 *Recommendation: We recommend that BZDs should not be used for the treatment of pain in*903 *austere settings (Strong recommendation, high-quality evidence).*
- 904
- 905

906 Further Modalities and Adjuncts

907 A variety of additional medication classes and techniques have been studied as adjuncts for pain 908 in both the hospital an outpatient environment. These include antihistamines, battlefield 909 acupuncture, antipsychotics, non-BZD muscle relaxants, capsaicin, and antidepressant 910 medications. While there is heterogenous but generally supportive evidence for the use of these 911 medications in the long-term treatment of chronic pain, there is inadequate evidence to support 912 their use for the treatment of acute pain in the austere environment, and austere use cannot be 913 recommended.

914

915 Conclusion

916 In all cases, the comfort of the patient must be balanced with the risk of any tools used to address 917 pain. In comparison to hospital-based or EMS medicine, evidence for pain control modalities 918 specific to the austere environment is generally limited. Accordingly, the experience of the 919 responder, consideration for available resources, and clinical judgment are of paramount 920 importance in the selection of pain control modalities appropriate to the patient and the setting. 921 Some interventions may enable the patient to participate in their own rescue while others may 922 generate unacceptable risk to the patient or team. Backcountry recreationalists, expedition 923 leaders, and professional rescuers alike should consider the resources, hazards, and limitations 924 specific to their unique settings and should both plan for appropriate modalities to manage acute

- 925 pain, and for the training, monitors, equipment, and antidotes needed to manage the risks of
- 926 those interventions. The paucity of austere-specific research also opens numerous opportunities
- 927 for further study.

928	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of Katarzyna A.
929	Grela, MBBS, FANZCA, Katie W. Russell, MD; Courtney L. Scaife, MD, and Rom A. Stevens,
930	MD to the unpublished 2019 revision of this clinical practice guideline, which informed the
931	current text.
932	
933	Author Contributions:
934	Acquisition of data: (PF, AW, WS, GBZ, JL, SM, CVT, IW, JW, RH, DW)
935	Analysis of the data: (PF, AW, WS, GBZ, JL, SM, CVT, IW, JW, RH, DW)
936	Drafting of the manuscript: (PF, AW, WS, GBZ, JL, SM, CVT, IW, JW, RH, DW)
937	Critical revision of the manuscript: (PF, AW, WS, GBZ, JL, SM, CVT, IW, JW, RH, DW)
938	Approval of the final manuscript: All authors approved the final manuscript.
939	
940	Financial/Material Support Statement: None
941	
942	Disclosures: None of the authors have any conflicts of interest to disclose. The opinions of
943	the authors expressed in this manuscript are their own, and do not reflect official policy of the
944	United States Army or the Department of Defense
945	

A	Anchoring, Attention & Acknowledgement	Provide the patient with an attentive provider who identifies themselves as responsible for their comfort and who acknowledges their distress.
В	Breathing	Encourage controlled breathing depth and rate, limiting rapid, shallow breathing or hyperventilation.
С	Control and Cognitive Shift	Provide the patient with a role that allows an element of control over their situation, and which shifts their mindset away from catastrophizing.
D	Decrease nociception, Distract, Diffuse.	Use other pharmacological/nonpharmacological interventions to treat nociceptive signals. Distract the patient from the source of pain. Diffuse tension using empathy and humor.

Table 1: The ABCDE mnemonic for the psychosocial treatment of pain.

Е	Explanations and Expectations	Provide the patient with an understanding of
		what to expect in novel situations, such as
		technical rescue or vehicle/helicopter
		transport. Explain procedures to be
		performed, personnel involved, timelines to
		be expected, etc.

949 Figure Legends

950 Figure 1: Conceptual schematic of escalating pain treatment stratified by risk posed to the patient

- and the need for monitoring when used. With greater anticipated or reported pain severity, a
- 952 clinician may elect to use one or more modalities appropriate to that degree of severity. IV,
- 953 intravenous; IM, intramuscular; IN, intranasal; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug.

- 955 <u>Table 1:</u> The ABCDE mnemonic for the psychosocial treatment of pain: Suggested mnemonic
- 956 for the field application of psychological first aid to reduce acute pain.

957 958	Refere 1.	nces Diekemper R, Patel S, Mette S, Ornelas J, Ouellette D, Casey K. Making the GRADE:
959		CHEST Updates Its Methodology. CHEST. 2018;153(3):756-9.
960	2.	Group ITW. Pain Terms and Definitions. International Association for the Study of Pain.
961		https://www.iasp-pain.org/resources/terminology/#pain. Published 2011. Accessed
962		07/22/2022, 2022.
963	3.	Leemon D, Schimelpfenig T. Wilderness Injury, Illness, and Evacuation: National
964		Outdoor Leadership School's Incident Profiles, 1999–2002. Wilderness Environ Med.
965		2003;14:174-82.
966	4.	McIntosh SE, Leemon D, Visitacion J, Schimelpfenig T, Fosnocht D. Medical Incidents
967		and Evacuations on Wilderness Expeditions. Wilderness Environ Med. 2007;18:298-304.
968	5.	Wells FC, Warden CR. Medical Incidents and Evacuations on Wilderness Expeditions
969		for the Northwest Outward Bound School. Wilderness Environ Med. 2018;29(4):479-87.
970	6.	Advanced Trauma Life Support® Student Course Manual. 10th ed: American College of
971		Surgeons; 2018.
972	7.	McIntosh SE, Freer L, Grissom CK, Auerbach PS, Rodway GW, Cochran A, et al.
973		Wilderness Medical Society Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prevention and
974		Treatment of Frostbite: 2019 Update. Wilderness Environ Med. 2019;30(4S):S19-S32.
975	8.	King K, Brewster G, Herbert M, Hoffman J. Medical Myth: Analgesia should not be
976		given to patients with an acute abdomen because it obscures the diagnosis. Western
977		Journal of Medicine. 2000;172(3):209-10.
978	9.	Silen W. Cope's Early Diagnosis of the Acute Abdomen. 22nd Ed. ed: Oxford University
979		Press; 2010.

980	10.	Bernard A, Oyler D, Anglen J, Barrett M, Bhalla P, Cocanour C, et al. ACS Trauma
981		Quality Programs Best Practices Guidelines for Acute Pain Management in Trauma
982		Patients. 2020.
983	11.	Hsu JR, Mir H, Wally MK, Seymour RB, Orthopaedic Trauma Association
984		Musculoskeletal Pain Task F. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Pain Management in Acute
985		Musculoskeletal Injury. J Orthop Trauma. 2019;33(5):e158-e82.
986	12.	Hjermstad MJ, Fayers PM, Haugen DF, Caraceni A, Hanks GW, Loge JH, et al. Studies
987		comparing Numerical Rating Scales, Verbal Rating Scales, and Visual Analogue Scales
988		for assessment of pain intensity in adults: a systematic literature review. J Pain Symptom
989		Manage. 2011;41(6):1073-93.
990	13.	Vila H, Jr., Smith RA, Augustyniak MJ, Nagi PA, Soto RG, Ross TW, et al. The efficacy
991		and safety of pain management before and after implementation of hospital-wide pain
992		management standards: is patient safety compromised by treatment based solely on
993		numerical pain ratings? Anesth Analg. 2005;101(2):474-80.
994	14.	Mularski RA, White-Chu F, Overbay D, Miller L, Asch SM, Ganzini L. Measuring pain
995		as the 5th vital sign does not improve quality of pain management. J Gen Intern Med.
996		2006;21(6):607-12.
997	15.	Russell KW, Scaife CL, Weber DC, Windsor JS, Wheeler AR, Smith WR, et al.
998		Wilderness Medical Society practice guidelines for the treatment of acute pain in remote
999		environments: 2014 update. Wilderness Environ Med. 2014;25(4 Suppl):S96-104.
1000	16.	Surrett G, Franklin J, Wedmore I. Pain Control In Austere Settings. Current Sports
1001		Medicine Reports. 2015;14(2):117-22.

1002	17.	Wedmore IS, Johnson T, Czarnik J, Hendrix S. Pain management in the wilderness and
1003		operational setting. Emerg Med Clin North Am. 2005;23(2):585-601, xi-xii.
1004	18.	Ellerton J, Milani M, Blancher M, Zen-Ruffinen G, Skaiaa SC, Brink B, et al. Managing
1005		moderate and severe pain in mountain rescue. High Alt Med Biol. 2014;15(1):8-14.
1006	19.	Schauer SG, Naylor JF, Brown DJ, Gibbons RV, Syndergaard I, Cushing T. A Survey of
1007		Wilderness Medicine Analgesia Practice Patterns. Wilderness Environ Med.
1008		2018;29(2):211-4.
1009	20.	Hansen G, Streltzer J. The psychology of pain. <i>Emerg Med Clinics</i> . 2005;23(2):339-48.
1010	21.	Linton S, WS S. Impact of psychological factors in the experience of pain. Phys Ther.
1011		2011;91:700-11.
1012	22.	Sambo CF, Howard M, Kopelman M, Williams S, Fotopoulou A. Knowing you care:
1013		effects of perceived empathy and attachment style on pain perception. Pain.
1014		2010;151(3):687-93.
1015	23.	Fauchon C, Faillenot I, Perrin AM, Borg C, Pichot V, Chouchou F, et al. Does an
1016		observer's empathy influence my pain? Effect of perceived empathetic or unempathetic
1017		support on a pain test. Eur J Neurosci. 2017;46(10):2629-37.
1018	24.	von Mohr M, Krahe C, Beck B, Fotopoulou A. The social buffering of pain by affective
1019		touch: a laser-evoked potential study in romantic couples. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci.
1020		2018;13(11):1121-30.

1021 25. James J, Hardardottir D. Influence of attention focus and trait anxiety on tolerance of
1022 acute pain. *British Journal of Health Psychology*. 2002;7:149-62.

1023	26.	Kapoor S, White J, Thorn BE, Block P. Patients Presenting to the Emergency Department
1024		with Acute Pain: The Significant Role of Pain Catastrophizing and State Anxiety. Pain
1025		Med. 2016;17(6):1069-78.

- 1026 27. Woo A. Depression and Anxiety in Pain. *Reviews in Pain.* 2010;4(1).
- 1027 28. Nicholls JL, Azam MA, Burns LC, Englesakis M, Sutherland AM, Weinrib AZ, et al.
- Psychological treatments for the management of postsurgical pain: a systematic review of
 randomized controlled trials. *Patient Relat Outcome Meas.* 2018;9:49-64.
- 1030 29. Edwards R. Psychological Treatment in Acute Pain. In: Edwards R, ed. *Encyclopedia of*
- 1031 *Pain.* Vol 2007.2007:2030-2.
- 1032 30. Rischer KM, Gonzalez-Roldan AM, Montoya P, Gigl S, Anton F, van der Meulen M.
- 1033 Distraction from pain: The role of selective attention and pain catastrophizing. *Eur J*
- 1034 *Pain.* 2020;24(10):1880-91.
- 1035 31. Psychological First Aid Field Operations Guide. 2006.
- 1036 https://www.ptsd.va.gov/professional/treat/type/psych firstaid manual.asp. Published
- 1037 2nd. Accessed 8/3/2022.
- Mcgladrey L. Psychological First Aid and Stress Injuries. In: Hawkins S, ed. *Wilderness EMS*. Illustrated ed.: Wolters Kluwer Health; 2017:292-308.
- 1040 33. Schimelpfenig T. Stress and the Rescuer. In: NOLS Wilderness Medicine. 7th ed.:
- 1041 Stackpole Books; 2021:300-5.
- 1042 34. Mortimer A, Mortimer R. Psychological First Aid for Wilderness Trauma: Interventions
- 1043 for Expedition or Search and Rescue Team Members. *Wilderness Environ Med.*
- 1044 2023;34(3):346-53.

1045 35. Hofmeyr R. Wilderness Pain Management: State of the Evidence and State of the Art.

- 1046 Wilderness Medicine Society Summer Conference; August 3, 2022, 2022; Snowmass,
- 1047 CO, modified with permission from Hofmeyr R, Duys RA. Managing pain in an

1048 emergency. PainSA 2019, Drakensberg, South Africa, 2019.

- 1049 36. van den Bekerom MP, Struijs PA, Blankevoort L, Welling L, van Dijk CN, Kerkhoffs
- 1050 GM. What is the evidence for rest, ice, compression, and elevation therapy in the 1051 treatment of ankle sprains in adults? *J Athl Train*. 2012;47(4):435-43.
- 1052 37. Miranda JP, Silva WT, Silva HJ, Mascarenhas RO, Oliveira VC. Effectiveness of
- 1053 cryotherapy on pain intensity, swelling, range of motion, function and recurrence in acute
- ankle sprain: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Phys Ther Sport*.

1055 2021;49:243-9.

1056 38. Bleakley CM, O'Connor S, Tully MA, Rocke LG, Macauley DC, McDonough SM. The

1057 PRICE study (Protection Rest Ice Compression Elevation): design of a randomised

1058 controlled trial comparing standard versus cryokinetic ice applications in the management

1059 of acute ankle sprain [ISRCTN13903946]. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2007;8:125.

Malanga G, Yan N, Stark J. Mechanisms and efficacy of heat and cold therapies for
musculoskeletal injury. *Postgrad Med.* 2015;127(1):57-65.

1062 40. Bleakley CM, O'Connor SR, Tully MA, Rocke LG, Macauley DC, Bradbury I, et al.

- 1063 Effect of accelerated rehabilitation on function after ankle sprain: randomised controlled 1064 trial. *BMJ*. 2010;340:c1964.
- 1065 41. Sprouse R, McLaughlin A, Harris G. Braces and Splints for Common Muskuloskeletal
 1066 Conditions. *Am Fam Physician*. 2018;98(10):570-6.

1067	42.	Algafly AA, George KP. The effect of cryotherapy on nerve conduction velocity, pain
1068		threshold and pain tolerance. Br J Sports Med. 2007;41(6):365-9; discussion 9.
1069	43.	Kwiecien SY, McHugh MP. The cold truth: the role of cryotherapy in the treatment of
1070		injury and recovery from exercise. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2021;121(8):2125-42.
1071	44.	Dow J, Giesbrecht GG, Danzl DF, Brugger H, Sagalyn EB, Walpoth B, et al. Wilderness
1072		Medical Society Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Out-of-Hospital Evaluation and
1073		Treatment of Accidental Hypothermia: 2019 Update. Wilderness Environ Med.
1074		2019;30(4S):S47-S69.
1075	45.	Kyaw S, Moore I, Oo M. A Systematic Review on the Effectiveness of Different
1076		Functional Treatments for Acute Ankle Sprains. P Sports Med Doping Stud.
1077		2019;9(1):213.
1078	46.	Amar P, Schiff E. Acetaminophen safety and hepatotoxicitywhere do we go from here?
1079		Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2007;6(4):341-55.
1080	47.	Ghanem CI, Perez MJ, Manautou JE, Mottino AD. Acetaminophen from liver to brain:
1081		New insights into drug pharmacological action and toxicity. Pharmacol Res.
1082		2016;109:119-31.
1083	48.	Smith H. Potential analgesic mechanisms of acetaminophen. Pain Physician.
1084		2009;12(1):269-89.
1085	49.	Zimmerman H, Maddrey W. Acetaminophen (paracetamol) hepatotoxicity with regular
1086		intake of alcohol: analysis of instances of therapeutic misadventure. Hepatology.
1087		1995;22(3):767-73.
1088	50.	Rumack B. Acetaminophen hepatotoxicity: the first 35 years. J Toxicol Clin Toxicol.
1089		2002;40(1):3-20.

1090	51.	Chiew AL, Buckley NA. Acetaminophen Poisoning. Crit Care Clin. 2021;37(3):543-61.
1091	52.	Chiew AL, Buckley NA. Acetaminophen Poisoning. Crit Care Clin. 2021;37(3):543-61.
1092	53.	Zimmerman H, Maddrey W. Acetaminophen (paracetamol) hepatotoxicity with regular
1093		intake of alcohol: analysis of instances of therapeutic misadventure. Hepatology.
1094		1995;22(3):767-73.
1095	54.	Patel A, Pai BHP, Diskina D, Reardon B, Lai YH. Comparison of clinical outcomes of
1096		acetaminophen IV vs PO in the peri-operative setting for laparoscopic inguinal hernia
1097		repair surgeries: A triple-blinded, randomized controlled trial. J Clin Anesth.
1098		2020;61:109628.
1099	55.	Tompkins DM, DiPasquale A, Segovia M, Cohn SM. Review of Intravenous
1100		Acetaminophen for Analgesia in the Postoperative Setting. Am Surg. 2021;87(11):1809-
1101		22.
1102	56.	Bannwarth B, Péhourcq F. Pharmacologic basis for using paracetamol: pharmacokinetic
1103		and pharmacodynamic issues. Drugs. 2003;63(Spec No 2):5-13.
1104	57.	Scialli AR, Ang R, Breitmeyer J, Royal MA. A review of the literature on the effects of
1105		acetaminophen on pregnancy outcome. Reprod Toxicol. 2010;30(4):495-507.
1106	58.	Phillips W, Bradford C. Analgesic Pharmacology: II. Specific Analgesics. J Am Acad
1107		Orthop Surg. 2004;12(4):221-33.
1108	59.	Vane J. Inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis as a mechanism of action for aspirin-like
1109		drugs. Nat New Biol. 1971;231(25):232-5.
1110	60.	Chaiamnuay S, Allison JJ, Curtis JR. Risks versus benefits of cyclooxygenase-2-selective

1111 nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2006;63(19):1837-51.

- 1112 61. Wheatley R. Analgesic efficacy of ketorolac. *Acta anaesthesiologica belgica*.
 1113 1996;47(3):135-42.
- 1114 62. Gillis J, Brogden R. Ketorolac. A reappraisal of its pharmacodynamic and
- 1115 pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use in pain management. *Drugs*.
- 1116 1997;53(1):139-88.
- 1117 63. Sostres C, Gargallo CJ, Arroyo MT, Lanas A. Adverse effects of non-steroidal anti-
- 1118 inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs, aspirin and coxibs) on upper gastrointestinal tract. *Best*
- 1119 *Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol.* 2010;24(2):121-32.
- 1120 64. Rampal P, Moore N, Van Ganse E, Le Parc J-M, Wall R, Schneid H, Verriere F.
- 1121Gastrointestinal Tolerability of Ibuprofen Compared with Paracetamol and Aspirin at
- 1122 Over-the-counter Doses. J Int Med Res. 2002;30:301-8.
- 1123 65. Le Parc J, Ganse E, Moore N, Schneid H, Verriere F. Comparative tolerability of
- 1124 paracetamol, aspirin and ibuprofen for short-term analgesia in patients with
- musculoskeletal conditions: results in 4291 patients. *Clin Rheumatol.* 2002;21(1):28-31.
- 1126 66. Schafer A. Effects of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory therapy on platelets. Am J Med.
- 1127 1999;106(5B):25S-36S.
- 1128 67. Lewis SR, Nicholson A, Cardwell ME, Siviter G, Smith AF. Nonsteroidal anti-
- inflammatory drugs and perioperative bleeding in paediatric tonsillectomy. *Cochrane*
- 1130 Database Syst Rev. 2013(7):CD003591.
- 1131 68. Forrest J, Heitlinger E, Revell S. Ketorolac for Postoperative Pain Management in
 1132 Children. *Drug Safety.* 1997;16(5):309-29.
- 1133 69. Whelton A. Nephrotoxicity of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: physiologic
- foundations and clinical implications. *Am J Med.* 1999;106:13S-24S.

1135	70.	Balestracci A, Ezquer M, Elmo ME, Molini A, Thorel C, Torrents M, Toledo I.			
1136		Ibuprofen-associated acute kidney injury in dehydrated children with acute			
1137		gastroenteritis. Pediatr Nephrol. 2015;30(10):1873-8.			
1138	71.	Motov S, Masoudi A, Drapkin J, Sotomayor C, Kim S, Butt M, et al. Comparison of Oral			
1139		Ibuprofen at Three Single-Dose Regimens for Treating Acute Pain in the Emergency			
1140		Department: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Ann Emerg Med. 2019;74(4):530-7.			
1141	72.	Turner NJ, Long DA, Bongiorno JR, Katoski TP, Jin LM, Horsch JP, Ahern BJ.			
1142		Comparing two doses of intramuscular ketorolac for treatment of acute musculoskeletal			
1143		pain in a military emergency department. Am J Emerg Med. 2021;50:142-7.			
1144	73.	Jones P, Lamdin R, Dalziel SR. Oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs versus other			
1145		oral analgesic agents for acute soft tissue injury. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.			
1146		2020;8:CD007789.			
1147	74.	Ong CK, Lirk P, Tan CH, Seymour RA. An evidence-based update on nonsteroidal anti-			
1148		inflammatory drugs. Clin Med Res. 2007;5(1):19-34.			
1149	75.	Dwarica DS, Pickett SD, Zhao YD, Nihira MA, Quiroz LH. Comparing Ketorolac With			
1150		Ibuprofen for Postoperative Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Female Pelvic Med			
1151		Reconstr Surg. 2020;26(4):233-8.			
1152	76.	Arora S, Wagner J, Herbert M. Myth: parenteral ketorolac provides more effective			
1153		analgesia than oral ibuprofen. Canadian Journal of Emergency Medicine. 2007;9(1):30-2.			
1154	77.	Motov S, Masoudi A, Drapkin J, Sotomayor C, Kim S, Butt M, et al. Comparison of Oral			
1155		Ibuprofen at Three Single-Dose Regimens for Treating Acute Pain in the Emergency			
1156		Department: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Ann Emerg Med. 2019;74(4):530-7.			

1157	78.	Baranowski DC, Buchanan B, Dwyer HC, Gabriele JP, Kelly S, Araujo JA. Penetration
1158		and efficacy of transdermal NSAIDs in a model of acute joint inflammation. J Pain Res.
1159		2018;11:2809-19.

- 1160 79. Sniezek PJ, Brodland DG, Zitelli JA. A randomized controlled trial comparing
- acetaminophen, acetaminophen and ibuprofen, and acetaminophen and codeine for
- postoperative pain relief after Mohs surgery and cutaneous reconstruction. *Dermatol*

1163 Surg. 2011;37(7):1007-13.

- 1164 80. Mitchell A, van Zanten SV, Inglis K, Porter G. A randomized controlled trial comparing
- acetaminophen plus ibuprofen versus acetaminophen plus codeine plus caffeine after
 outpatient general surgery. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2008;206(3):472-9.
- 1167 81. Clark E, Plint AC, Correll R, Gaboury I, Passi B. A randomized, controlled trial of
 acetaminophen, ibuprofen, and codeine for acute pain relief in children with
 musculoskeletal trauma. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(3):460-7.
- 1169 musculoskeletal trauma. *Pediatrics*. 2007;119(3):460-7.
- 1170 82. Ong CK, Seymour RA, Lirk P, Merry AF. Combining paracetamol (acetaminophen) with
- 1171 nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs: a qualitative systematic review of analgesic

efficacy for acute postoperative pain. *Anesth Analg.* 2010;110(4):1170-9.

- 1173 83. Black IH, McManus J. Pain management in current combat operations. *Prehosp Emerg*1174 *Care*. 2009;13(2):223-7.
- 1175 84. Aldington DJ, McQuay HJ, Moore RA. End-to-end military pain management. *Philos*1176 *Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2011;366(1562):268-75.
- 1177 85. Dalton MK, Semco RS, Ordoobadi AJ, Goralnick E, Chovanes J, Salim A, Jarman MP.
- 1178 Opioid administration in the prehospital setting for patients sustaining traumatic injuries:
- 1179 An evaluation of national emergency medical services data. *Injury*. 2022;53(9):2923-9.

1180	86.	Cepeda MS, Farrar JT, Baumgarten M, Boston R, Carr DB, Strom BL. Side effects of		
1181		opioids during short-term administration: effect of age, gender, and race. Clin Pharmacol		
1182		<i>Ther</i> . 2003;74(2):102-12.		
1183	87.	Dinges HC, Otto S, Stay DK, Baumlein S, Waldmann S, Kranke P, et al. Side Effect		
1184		Rates of Opioids in Equianalgesic Doses via Intravenous Patient-Controlled Analgesia: A		
1185		Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. Anesth Analg. 2019;129(4):1153-62.		
1186	88.	ACEP. Policy Statement: Out-of-Hospital Use of Analgesia and Sedation. Ann Emerg		
1187		Med. 2016;67(2):305-6.		
1188	89.	Gausche-Hill M, Brown K, Oliver Z, Sasson C, Dayan P, Eschmann N. An Evidence-		
1189		based Guideline for Prehospital Analgesia in Trauma. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2014;18:25-		
1190		34.		
1191	90.	Miller M, Barber CW, Leatherman S, Fonda J, Hermos JA, Cho K, Gagnon DR.		
1192		Prescription opioid duration of action and the risk of unintentional overdose among		
1193		patients receiving opioid therapy. JAMA Intern Med. 2015;175(4):608-15.		
1194	91.	Raffa RB. Pharmacology of oral combination analgesics: rational therapy for pain. J Clin		
1195		<i>Pharm Ther.</i> 2001;26(4):257-64.		
1196	92.	Bijur PE, Friedman BW, Irizarry E, Chang AK, Gallagher EJ. A Randomized Trial		
1197		Comparing the Efficacy of Five Oral Analgesics for Treatment of Acute Musculoskeletal		
1198		Extremity Pain in the Emergency Department. Ann Emerg Med. 2021;77(3):345-56.		
1199	93.	Graudins A, Meek R, Parkinson J, Egerton-Warburton D, Meyer A. A randomised		
1200		controlled trial of paracetamol and ibuprofen with or without codeine or oxycodone as		
1201		initial analgesia for adults with moderate pain from limb injury. Emerg Med Australas.		
1202		2016;28(6):666-72.		

1203	94.	Chang AK, Bijur PE, Esses D, Barnaby DP, Baer J. Effect of a Single Dose of Oral
1204		Opioid and Nonopioid Analgesics on Acute Extremity Pain in the Emergency
1205		Department: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2017;318(17):1661-7.
1206	95.	Schug SA, Ting S. Fentanyl Formulations in the Management of Pain: An Update.
1207		Drugs. 2017;77(7):747-63.
1208	96.	Lotsch J, Walter C, Parnham MJ, Oertel BG, Geisslinger G. Pharmacokinetics of non-
1209		intravenous formulations of fentanyl. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2013;52(1):23-36.
1210	97.	Jandhyala R, Fullarton JR, Bennett MI. Efficacy of rapid-onset oral fentanyl formulations
1211		vs. oral morphine for cancer-related breakthrough pain: a meta-analysis of comparative
1212		trials. J Pain Symptom Manage. 2013;46(4):573-80.
1213	98.	Brząkała J, Leppert W. The Role of Rapid Onset Fentanyl Products in the Management
1214		of Breakthrough Pain in Cancer Patients. Pharmacological Reports. 2019;71(3):438-42.
1215	99.	Karlsen AP, Pedersen DM, Trautner S, Dahl JB, Hansen MS. Safety of intranasal
1216		fentanyl in the out-of-hospital setting: a prospective observational study. Ann Emerg
1217		Med. 2014;63(6):699-703.
1218	100.	Rickard C, O'Meara P, McGrail M, Garner D, McLean A, Le Lievre P. A randomized
1219		controlled trial of intranasal fentanyl vs intravenous morphine for analgesia in the
1220		prehospital setting. Am J Emerg Med. 2007;25(8):911-7.
1221	101.	Middleton PM, Simpson PM, Sinclair G, Dobbins TA, Math B, Bendall JC. Effectiveness
1222		of morphine, fentanyl, and methoxyflurane in the prehospital setting. Prehosp Emerg
1223		Care. 2010;14(4):439-47.

- 1224 102. Tanguay A, Lebon J, Hebert D, Begin F. Intranasal Fentanyl versus Subcutaneous
- Fentanyl for Pain Management in Prehospital Patients with Acute Pain: A Retrospective
 Analysis. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2020;24(6):760-8.
- 1227 103. Lynch TV, Callas PW, Peterson TD, Schlein SM. Intranasal Fentanyl for On-the-Hill
 1228 Analgesia by Ski Patrol. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2022;33(3):296-303.
- 104. Borland M, Jacobs I, King B, O'Brien D. A randomized controlled trial comparing
 intranasal fentanyl to intravenous morphine for managing acute pain in children in the
 emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2007;49(3):335-40.
- 1232 105. Crellin D, Ling RX, Babl FE. Does the standard intravenous solution of fentanyl (50
- microg/mL) administered intranasally have analgesic efficacy? *Emerg Med Australas*.
 2010;22(1):62-7.
- 1235 106. Manjushree R, Lahiri A, Ghosh B, Laha A, Handa K. Intranasal fentanyl provides
- adequate postopera- tive analgesia in pediatric patients. *Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia*. 2002;49(2):190-3.
- 1238 107. Cole J, Shepherd M, Young P. Intranasal fentanyl in 1-3-year-olds: a prospective study of
- 1239 the effectiveness of intranasal fentanyl as acute analgesia. *Emerg Med Australas*.
- 1240 2009;21(5):395-400.
- 1241 108. Younge P, Nicol M, Kendall J, Harrington A. A prospective randomized pilot
- 1242 comparison of intranasal fentanyl and intramuscular morphine for analgesia in children
- 1243 presenting to the emergency department with clinical fractures. *Emergency Medicine*.
- 1244 1999;11(2):90-4.
- 1245 109. Dale O. Intranasal administration of opioids/fentanyl Physiological and
- 1246 pharmacological aspects. *European Journal of Pain Supplements*. 2010;4(3):187-90.

- 1247 110. Hardy J, Lee S, Wilson C. Intranasal drug delivery by spray and drops. *J Pharm*1248 *Pharmacol.* 1985;37(5):294-7.
- 1249 111. Daley-Yates P, Baker R. Systemic bioavailability of fluticasone propionate administered
 1250 as nasal drops and aqueous nasal spray formulations. *Br J Clin Pharmacol.*
- 1251 2001;51(1):103-5.
- 1252 112. Helmers J, Noorduin H, Van Leeuwen L, Zuurmond W. Comparison of intravenous and
 intranasal sufentanil absorption and sedation. *Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia*.
 1254 1989;36(5):494-7.
- 113. Steenblik J, Goodman M, Davis V, Gee C, Hopkins CL, Stephen R, Madsen T. Intranasal
 sufentanil for the treatment of acute pain in a winter resort clinic. *Am J Emerg Med.*2012;30(9):1817-21.
- 1258 114. Stephen R, Lingenfelter E, Broadwater-Hollifield C, Madsen T. Intranasal sufentanil
- provides adequate analgesia for emergency department patients with extremity injuries. J
 Opioid Manag. 2012;8(4):237-41.
- 1261 115. Wedmore IS, Kotwal RS, McManus JG, Pennardt A, Talbot TS, Fowler M, McGhee L.
- 1262 Safety and efficacy of oral transmucosal fentanyl citrate for prehospital pain control on
- 1263 the battlefield. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2012;73(6 Suppl 5):S490-5.
- 1264 116. Lewis P, Wright C, Hooper C. Opioid analgesia on the battlefield: a retrospective review
- 1265 of data from Operation HERRICK. *J R Army Med Corps*. 2018;164(5):328-31.
- 1266 117. Ellerton J, Greene M, Paal P. The use of analgesia in mountain rescue casualties with
- 1267 moderate or severe pain. *Emerg Med J.* 2013;30(6):501-5.

1268	118.	Rauck RL, Oh DA, Singla N, Koch C, Parikh N, Nalamachu S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of
1269		Fentanyl Sublingual Spray in Opioid-Naive Participants: Results of a Phase 1, Multiple
1270		Ascending Dose Study. Clin Drug Investig. 2018;38(8):715-26.
1271	119.	Kim SY, Buckenmaier CC, Howe EG, Choi KH. The Newest Battlefield Opioid,
1272		Sublingual Sufentanil: A Proposal to Refine Opioid Usage in the U.S. Military. Mil Med.
1273		2022;187(3-4):77-83.
1274	120.	Miner JR, Rafique Z, Minkowitz HS, DiDonato KP, Palmer PP. Sufentanil sublingual
1275		tablet 30mcg for moderate-to-severe acute pain in the ED. Am J Emerg Med.
1276		2018;36(6):954-61.
1277	121.	Hutchins JL, Leiman D, Rafique Z, DiDonato KP, Palmer PP. Pooled Dosing and
1278		Efficacy Analysis of the Sufentanil Sublingual Tablet 30 mcg Across Demographic
1279		Subgroups for the Management of Moderate-to-Severe Acute Pain. J Perianesth Nurs.
1280		2020;35(1):22-8.
1281	122.	Miner JR, Melson T, Leiman D, Minkowitz HS, Chiang Y, DiDonato KP, Palmer PP.
1282		Pooled Phase III safety analysis of sufentanil sublingual tablets for short-term treatment
1283		of moderate-to-severe acute pain. Pain Management. 2019;9(3):259-71.
1284	123.	Schauer SG, Mora A, Maddry J, Bebarta V. Multicenter, prospective study of prehospital
1285		administration of analgesia in the U.S. combat theater of Afghanistan. Prehosp Emerg
1286		Care. 2017;21(6):744-9.
1287	124.	Kuusniemi KS, Olkkola KT. Parenteral Opioids in emergency medicine - Are we treating
1288		pain adequately? Scand J Pain. 2011;2(4):185-6.

- 1289 125. Kiavialaitis GE, Muller S, Braun J, Rossler J, Spahn DR, Stein P, Kaserer A. Clinical
- practice of pre-hospital analgesia: An observational study of 20,978 missions in
 Switzerland. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2020;38(11):2318-23.
- 1292 126. Buck ML, Wiggins BS, Sesler JM. Intraosseous drug administration in children and
- adults during cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2007;41(10):1679-86.
- 1294 127. Thomas SH, Rago O, Harrison T, Biddinger PD, Wedel SK. Fentanyl trauma analgesia
 1295 use in air medical scene transports. *J Emerg Med.* 2005;29(2):179-87.
- 1296 128. Galinski M, Dolveck F, Borron SW, Tual L, Van Laer V, Lardeur JY, et al. A
- randomized, double-blind study comparing morphine with fentanyl in prehospital
 analgesia. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2005;23(2):114-9.
- 1299 129. Mion G, Villevieille T. Ketamine pharmacology: an update (pharmacodynamics and
 1300 molecular aspects, recent findings). *CNS Neurosci Ther*. 2013;19(6):370-80.
- 1301 130. Trimmel H, Helbok R, Staudinger T, Jaksch W, Messerer B, Schochl H, Likar R. S(+)-
- 1302 ketamine : Current trends in emergency and intensive care medicine. *Wien Klin*
- 1303 Wochenschr. 2018;130(9-10):356-66.
- 1304 131. Balzer N, McLeod S, Walsh C, Grewal K. Low-dose Ketamine For Acute Pain Control in
- the Emergency Department: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med.*2021;28(4):444-54.
- 1307 132. Mo H, Campbell. M, Fertel B, Lam S, Wells E, Casserly E, Meldon S. Ketamine Safety
- and Use in the Emergency Department for Pain and Agitation/Delirium: A Health System
 Experience. *West J Emerg Med.* 2019;21(2):272-81.
- 1310 133. Sin B, Ternas T, Motov S. The use of subdissociative-dose ketamine for acute pain in the
 1311 emergency department. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2015;22(3):251-7.

- 1312 134. Sandberg M, Hyldmo PK, Kongstad P, Dahl Friesgaard K, Raatiniemi L, Larsen R, et al.
- 1313 Ketamine for the treatment of prehospital acute pain: a systematic review of benefit and
 1314 harm. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10(11):e038134.
- 1315 135. Bisanzo M, Nichols K, Hammerstedt H, Dreifuss B, Nelson SW, Chamberlain S, et al.
- 1316 Nurse-administered ketamine sedation in an emergency department in rural Uganda. *Ann*
- 1317 *Emerg Med.* 2012;59(4):268-75.
- 1318 136. Vanolli K, Hugli O, Eidenbenz D, Suter MR, Pasquier M. Prehospital Use of Ketamine in
- 1319 Mountain Rescue: A Survey of Emergency Physicians of a Single-Center Alpine
- 1320 Helicopter-Based Emergency Service. *Wilderness Environ Med.* 2020;31(4):385-93.
- 1321 137. Bishop R, Litch J, Stanton J. Ketamine anaesthesia at high altitude. *High Alt Med Biol.*1322 2004;1(2):111-4.
- 1323 138. Green SM, Roback MG, Krauss B, Brown L, McGlone RG, Agrawal D, et al. Predictors
- 1324 of airway and respiratory adverse events with ketamine sedation in the emergency
- department: an individual-patient data meta-analysis of 8,282 children. *Ann Emerg Med.*
- 1326 2009;54(2):158-68 e1-4.
- 1327 139. Morgan MM, Perina DG, Acquisto NM, Fallat ME, Gallagher JM, Brown KM, et al.
- Ketamine Use in Prehospital and Hospital Treatment of the Acute Trauma Patient: A
 Joint Position Statement. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2021;25(4):588-92.
- 1330 140. Harmatz A. Local anesthetics: uses and toxicities. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2009;89(3):5871331 98.
- 1332 141. Birsa L, Verity P, Lee R. Evaluation of the effects of various chemicals on discharge of
 1333 and pain caused by jellyfish nematocysts. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol.*1334 2010;151(4):426-30.

1335	142.	Ward NT, Darracq MA, Tomaszewski C, Clark RF. Evidence-based treatment of jellyfish
1336		stings in North America and Hawaii. Ann Emerg Med. 2012;60(4):399-414.
1337	143.	Aksel G, Guler S, Dogan NO, Corbacioglu SK. A randomized trial comparing
1338		intravenous paracetamol, topical lidocaine, and ice application for treatment of pain
1339		associated with scorpion stings. Hum Exp Toxicol. 2015;34(6):662-7.
1340	144.	Turgut K, Yavuz E, Gulacti U, Aydin I, Sonmez C, Aktas N, Arslan E. Comparison of
1341		Intravenous Paracetamol, Dexketoprofen Trometamol, or Topical Lidocaine Use for Pain
1342		Relief in Scorpion Stings: A Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Study. Wilderness Environ
1343		Med. 2022;33(4):379-85.
1344	145.	Tran A, Koo J. Risk of systemic toxicity with topical lidocaine/prilocaine: a review. J
1345		Drugs Dermatol. 2014;13(9):1118-22.
1346	146.	Königs I, Wenskus J, Boettcher J, Reinschagen K, Boettcher M. Lidocaine-Epinephrine-
1347		Tetracaine Gel Is More Efficient than Eutectic Mixture of Local Anesthetics and
1348		Mepivacaine Injection for Pain Control during Skin Repair in Children: A Prospective,
1349		Propensity Score Matched Two-Center Study. Eur J Pediatr Surg. 2020;30(6):512-6.
1350	147.	Zaki H, Elarref M, Iftikhar H, Al-Marri N, Masood M, Fayed M, et al. Efficacy of Emla
1351		(Eutectic Mixture of Local Anaesthetics) and Let (Lidocaine, Epinephrine, Tetracaine)
1352		for Topical Use in Wound Management for Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-
1353		Analysis. Cureus. 2022;14(11):e31447.
1354	148.	Bolt P, Barnett P, Babl FE, Sharwood LN. Topical lignocaine for pain relief in acute
1355		otitis media: results of a double-blind placebo-controlled randomised trial. Arch Dis
1356		Child. 2008;93(1):40-4.

- 1357 149. Willman G. Ultraviolet Keratitis: From the Pathophysiological Basis to Prevention and
 1358 Clinical Management. *High Alt Med Biol.* 2015;16(4):277-82.
- 1359 150. Wilson C. Specialised Pharmaceutical Formulation: The Science and Technology of
 1360 Dosage Forms. In: Tovey G, ed.: RSC Publishing; 2022:1-44.
- 1361 151. Page M, Fraunfelder F. Safety, efficacy, and patient acceptability of lidocaine
- hydrochloride ophthalmic gel as a topical ocular anesthetic for use in ophthalmic
 procedures. *Clinical Ophthalmology*. 2009;3:601-9.
- 1364 152. Valentini C, Lin J, Golub JS, Lustig L. Price Differences Between Otic and Ophthalmic
 1365 Drops. *Otol Neurotol.* 2021;42(2):274-7.
- 1366 153. Swaminathan A, Otterness K, Milne K, Rezaie S. The Safety of Topical Anesthetics in
- the Treatment of Corneal Abrasions: A Review. *J Emerg Med.* 2015;49(5):810-5.
- 1368 154. Puls HA, Cabrera D, Murad MH, Erwin PJ, Bellolio MF. Safety and Effectiveness of
- Topical Anesthetics in Corneal Abrasions: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J
 Emerg Med. 2015;49(5):816-24.
- 1371 155. Akorn. Proparacaine Hydrochloride Ophthalmic Solution. Package Insert. 2000.
- 1372 156. Gadsden J. Prehospital regional anesthesia. In: *Regional Anesthesia in Trauma: A Case*1373 *Based Approach*. Cambridge University Press; 2012:11-8.
- 1374 157. Fleming I, Egeler C. Regional anaesthesia for trauma: an update. *Continuing Education*1375 *in Anaesthesia Critical Care & Pain.* 2014;14(3):136-41.
- 1376 158. Hofmeyr R. Field Expedient Regional Blocks (FERBs). Wilderness Medicine Society
 1377 Summer Conference; 2022; Snowmass.
- 1378 159. Whiffler K. Coracoid block--a safe and easy technique. Br J Anaesth. 1981;53(8):845-8.

1379	160.	Bocquet J, N'Takpe N, Draganescu C, Ridarch A, Jullien Y. [The coracoid block:
1380		demonstration of a simple approach using the pectoralis minor as landmark]. Can J
1381		Anaesth. 2005;52(10):1040-6.
1382	161.	Simpson PM, McCabe B, Bendall JC, Cone DC, Middleton PM. Paramedic-performed
1383		digital nerve block to facilitate field reduction of a dislocated finger. Prehosp Emerg
1384		Care. 2012;16(3):415-7.
1385	162.	Lefort H, Mendibil A, Romanat P, Tourtier J. Anesthésie locorégionale préhospitalière :
1386		le bloc iliofascial. Ann Fr Med Urgence. 2013;3:363-6.
1387	163.	Dochez E, van Geffen G, Bruh J, Hoogerwerf N, de Pas H, Scheffer G. Prehospital
1388		administered fascia iliaca compartment block by emergency medical service nurses, a
1389		feasibility study. Scand J trauma Resusc Emerg. 2014;22(1):38.
1390	164.	Hards M, Brewer A, Bessant G, Lahiri S. Efficacy of Prehospital Analgesia with Fascia
1391		Iliaca Compartment Block for Femoral Bone Fractures: A Systematic Review. Prehosp
1392		Disaster Med. 2018;33(3):299-307.
1393	165.	Hofmeyr R. Anesthesia outside a hospital setting. SASA Practice Guidelines. S Afr J
1394		Anaesth Analg. 2022.
1395	166.	Case R. Haematoma block—a safe method of reducing Colles' fractures. Injury.
1396		1985;16(7):469-70.
1397	167.	Ross A, Catanzariti AR, Mendicino RW. The hematoma block: a simple, effective
1398		technique for closed reduction of ankle fracture dislocations. J Foot Ankle Surg.
1399		2011;50(4):507-9.

1400	168.	Dezfuli B, Edwards C, DeSilva G. Distal radius fracture hematoma block with combined		
1401		lidocaine and bupivacaine can induce seizures while within therapeutic window. J Orthop		
1402		<i>Case Rep.</i> 2012;2(4):10-3.		
1403	169.	Myderrizi N, Mema B. The hematoma block an effective alternative for fracture		
1404		reduction in distal radius fractures. Med Arh. 2011;65(4):239-42.		
1405	170.	Maleitzke T, Plachel F, Fleckenstein FN, Wichlas F, Tsitsilonis S. Haematoma block: a		
1406		safe method for pre-surgical reduction of distal radius fractures. J Orthop Surg Res.		
1407		2020;15(1):351.		
1408	171.	Pavlidakey P, Brodell E, Helms S. Diphenhydramine as an alternative local anesthetic		

- 1409 agent. J Clin Aesthetic Dermatol. 2009;2(10):37-40.
- 1410 172. Green SM. What is the role of diphenhydramine in local anesthesia? *Acad Emerg Med.*1411 1996;3(3):198-200.
- 1412 173. Bartfield J, Jandreau S, Raccio-Robak N. Randomized trial of diphenhydramine versus
- 1413 benzyl alcohol with epinephrine as an alternative to lidocaine local anesthesia. Ann
- 1414 *Emerg Med.* 1998;32(6):650-4.
- 1415 174. Ernst A, Anand P, Nick T, Wassmuth S. Lidocaine versus diphenhydramine for
 1416 anesthesia in the repair of minor lacerations. *J Trauma*. 1993;34(3):354-7.
- 1417 175. Dire D, Hogan D. Double-blinded comparison of diphenhydramine versus lidocaine as a
- 1418 local anesthetic. *Ann Emerg Med.* 1993;22(9):1419-22.
- 1419 176. Silva E, Scherber K, Cabrera D, Motov S, Erwin PJ, West CP, et al. Safety and Efficacy
- 1420 of Intravenous Lidocaine for Pain Management in the Emergency Department: A
- 1421 Systematic Review. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2018;72(2):135-44 e3.

1422	177.	Masic D, Liang E, Long C, Sterk EJ, Barbas B, Rech MA. Intravenous Lidocaine for			
1423		Acute Pain: A Systematic Review. <i>Pharmacotherapy</i> . 2018;38(12):1250-9.			
1424	178.	Foo I, Macfarlane AJR, Srivastava D, Bhaskar A, Barker H, Knaggs R, et al. The use of			
1425		intravenous lidocaine for postoperative pain and recovery: international consensus			
1426		statement on efficacy and safety. Anaesthesia. 2021;76(2):238-50.			
1427	179.	Dryden B, Kerr WB, Higgins S, Tou K, Dhanjal ST. The Use of Intravenous Lidocaine as			
1428		an Analgesic Modality in the Austere Environment: Two Cases. Wilderness Environ			
1429		Med. 2022;33(3):348-50.			
1430	180.	Ducasse JL, Siksik G, Durand-Bechu M, Couarraze S, Valle B, Lecoules N, et al. Nitrous			
1431		oxide for early analgesia in the emergency setting: a randomized, double-blind			
1432		multicenter prehospital trial. Acad Emerg Med. 2013;20(2):178-84.			
1433	181.	Knuf K, Maani C. Nitrous Oxide. In: StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing; 2022.			
1434	182.	Faddy SC, Garlick SR. A systematic review of the safety of analgesia with 50% nitrous			
1435		oxide: can lay responders use analgesic gases in the prehospital setting? Emerg Med J.			
1436		2005;22(12):901-8.			
1437	183.	Kuivalainen AM, Ebeling F, Poikonen E, Rosenberg PH. Nitrous oxide analgesia for			
1438		bone marrow aspiration and biopsy - A randomized, controlled and patient blinded study.			
1439		<i>Scand J Pain</i> . 2015;7(1):28-34.			
1440	184.	Heinrich M, Menzel C, Hoffman F, Berger M, von Schweinitz D. Self-administered			
1441		procedural analgesia using nitrous oxide/oxygen (50:50) in the pediatric surgery			
1442		emergency room: effectiveness and limitations. Eur J Pediatr Surg. 2015;25(3):250-6.			

- 1443 185. Kariman H, Majidi A, Amini A, Dolatabadi AA, Derakhshanfar H, Hatamabadi H, et al.
 1444 Nitrous oxide/oxygen compared with fentanyl in reducing pain among adults with
- 1445 isolated extremity trauma: a randomized trial. *Emerg Med Australas*. 2011;23(6):761-8.
- 1446 186. Masoumi K, Forouzan A, Saghari S, Feli M, Sattari AR, Asgari Darian A. Sedative and
- 1447 Analgesic Effects of Entonox Gas Compared with Midazolam and Fentanyl in
- 1448 Synchronized Cardioversion. *Crit Care Res Pract.* 2015;2015:798478.
- 1449 187. Xing Y, Zhou L, Yu J, Wang Z, Ding Z, Xie C, et al. Analgesic efficacy of nitrous oxide
- in adults in the emergency department: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials.
- 1451 *Am J Emerg Med.* 2022;56:92-9.
- 1452 188. O'Sullivan I, Benger J. Nitrous Oxide in Emergency Medicine. *Emerg Med J.*1453 2003;20(3):214-7.
- 1454 189. James MF, Hofmeyr R, Grocott MP. Losing concentration: time for a new MAPP? *Br J*1455 *Anaesth.* 2015;115(6):824-6.
- 1456 190. James MF, Manson ED, Dennett JE. Nitrous oxide analgesia and altitude. *Anaesthesia*.
 1457 1982;37(3):285-8.
- 1458 191. James MF, White J. Anesthetic Considerations at Moderate Altitude. *Anesth Analg.*1459 1984;63(12):1097-105.
- 1460 192. Wood C, Arbet J, Amura C, Nodine P, Collins M, Orlando B, et al. Multicenter Study
- 1461 Evaluating Nitrous Oxide Use for Labor Analgesia at High- and Low-Altitude
- 1462 Institutions. *Anesth & Analg.* 2022;134(2):294-302.
- 1463 193. Federation Internationale des Patrouilles de Ski 2014 Congress Part B Detailed Activity
- 1464 Report. Paper presented at: FIPS World Congress2014; Big White, Canada.

1465	194.	Porter KM, Dayan AD, Dickerson S, Middleton PM. The role of inhaled methoxyflurane
1466		in acute pain management. Open Access Emerg Med. 2018;10:149-64.
1467	195.	Jephcott C, Grummet J, Nguyen N, Spruyt O. A review of the safety and efficacy of
1468		inhaled methoxyflurane as an analgesic for outpatient procedures. Br J Anaesth.
1469		2018;120(5):1040-8.
1470	196.	Coffey F, Wright J, Hartshorn S, Hunt P, Locker T, Mirza K, Dissman P. STOP!: a
1471		randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of the efficacy and safety of
1472		methoxyflurane for the treatment of acute pain. Emerg Med J. 2014;31:613-8.
1473	197.	Coffey F, Dissmann P, Mirza K, Lomax M. Methoxyflurane Analgesia in Adult Patients
1474		in the Emergency Department: A Subgroup Analysis of a Randomized, Double-blind,
1475		Placebo-controlled Study (STOP!). Adv Ther. 2016;33(11):2012-31.
1476	198.	Oxer H. Effects of Penthrox® (methoxyflurane) as an analgesic on cardiovascular and
1477		respiratory functions in the pre-hospital setting. J Mil Veteran's Health.24(2):14-20.
1478	199.	Hartshorn S, Dissmann P, Coffey F, Lomax M. Low-dose methoxyflurane analgesia in
1479		adolescent patients with moderate-to-severe trauma pain: a subgroup analysis of the
1480		STOP! study. J Pain Res. 2019;12:689-700.
1481	200.	Windsor J, van der Kaaij J, Ellerton J, Oxer H, Hillebrandt D, Rodway G.
1482		Methoxyflurane as an analgesic for prehospital use at high altitude. High Alt Med Biol.
1483		2009;10(2):201-2.
1484	201.	Hofmeyr R, Moon R, Dong T. Influence of altitude on performance of the
1485		methoxyflurane inhalational analgesic device: a hypobaric laboratory assessment. J Pain
1486		<i>S Africa</i> . 2019;14(2):11.

1487	202.	Wilkes M, Heath EC, Mason NP. Methoxyflurane for Procedural Analgesia at 4470 m
1488		Altitude. Wilderness Environ Med. 2018;29(3):388-91.
1489	203.	Mercadante S, Voza A, Serra S, Ruggiano G, Carpinteri G, Gangitano G, et al. Analgesic
1490		Efficacy, Practicality and Safety of Inhaled Methoxyflurane Versus Standard Analgesic
1491		Treatment for Acute Trauma Pain in the Emergency Setting: A Randomised, Open-Label,
1492		Active-Controlled, Multicentre Trial in Italy (MEDITA). Adv Ther. 2019;36(11):3030-
1493		46.
1494	204.	Borobia AM, Collado SG, Cardona CC, Pueyo RC, Alonso CF, Torres IP, et al. Inhaled
1495		Methoxyflurane Provides Greater Analgesia and Faster Onset of Action Versus Standard
1496		Analgesia in Patients With Trauma Pain: InMEDIATE: A Randomized Controlled Trial
1497		in Emergency Departments. Ann Emerg Med. 2020;75(3):315-28.
1498	205.	Voza A, Ruggiano G, Serra S, Carpinteri G, Gangitano G, Intelligente F, et al. Inhaled
1499		Methoxyflurane versus Intravenous Morphine for Severe Trauma Pain in the Emergency
1500		Setting: Subgroup Analysis of MEDITA, a Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled, Open-
1501		Label Trial. J Pain Res. 2020;13:491-502.
1502	206.	Smith MD, Rowan E, Spaight R, Siriwardena AN. Evaluation of the effectiveness and
1503		costs of inhaled methoxyflurane versus usual analgesia for prehospital injury and trauma:
1504		non-randomised clinical study. BMC Emerg Med. 2022;22(1):122.
1505	207.	Hofmeyr R. Mothoxyflurane: inspiration of the old to breathe life into the new. $S A fr J$
1506		Anaesth Analg. 2020;26(5):218-22.
1507	208.	Bussienne F, Reynaud T. Methoxyflurane May Be a Suitable Analgesic for Extreme
1508		Prehospital Conditions. Wilderness Environ Med. 2021;32(2):261-4.

1509	209.	Trimmel H, Egger A,	Doppler R, Pimisker	n M, Voelckel WG.	Usability and
		, 00 ,	11 /	,	J

- 1510 effectiveness of inhaled methoxyflurane for prehospital analgesia a prospective,
- 1511 observational study. *BMC Emerg Med.* 2022;22(1):8.
- 1512 210. Guina J, Merrill B. Benzodiazepines I: Upping the Care on Downers: The Evidence of
- 1513 Risks, Benefits and Alternatives. *J Clin Med.* 2018;7(2).
- 1514 211. Bailey P, Pace N, Ashburn M, Moll J, East K, Stanley T. Frequent hypoxemia and apnea
 1515 after sedation with midazolam and fentanyl. *Anesthesiology*. 1990;73(5):826-30.
- 1516 212. Horsfall JT, Sprague JE. The Pharmacology and Toxicology of the 'Holy Trinity'. *Basic*1517 *Clin Pharmacol Toxicol.* 2017;120(2):115-9.
- 1518 213. Boon M, van Dorp E, Broens S, Overdyk F. Combining opioids and benzodiazepines:
- 1519 effects on mortality and severe adverse respiratory events. *Ann Palliat Med.*
- 1520 2020;9(2):542-57.
- 1521
- 1522