

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	The half-time talk: A mixed-method examination of youth-elite football
	coaches' behaviours and team-management strategies
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/44936/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541221141049
Date	2022
Citation	Raya-Castellano, Pablo E, Reeves, Matthew, Cárdenas-Vélez, David, Fradua, Luis and McRobert, Allistair P (2022) The half-time talk: A mixed-method examination of youth-elite football coaches' behaviours and teammanagement strategies. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching. p. 174795412211410. ISSN 1747-9541
Creators	Raya-Castellano, Pablo E, Reeves, Matthew, Cárdenas-Vélez, David, Fradua, Luis and McRobert, Allistair P

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1177/17479541221141049

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/

1	The half-time talk: A mixed-method examination of youth-elite foot-
2	ball coaches' behaviours and team-management strategies
3	Pablo E. Raya-Castellano ^{a*} ; Matthew J. Reeves ^b ; David Cárdenas ^a ; Luis Fradua ^a ;
4	Allistair P. McRobert ^c
5	
6	^a Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, University of Granada
7	Granada, Spain.
8	^b Lancashire Research Centre for Sport, Physical Activity & Performance, Univer-
9	sity of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK.
10	^c Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores Uni-
11	versity, Liverpool, UK
12	*Corresponding author: Pablo E. Raya-Castellano; Department of Physical Edu-
13	cation and Sport Sciences; Faculty of Sport Science, University of Granada; Car-
14	retera de Alfacar, 21. Granada 18071, Spain. Email: <u>prayac@ugr.es</u>
15 16	ORCIDS
17	Pablo E. Raya-Castellano; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5483-0104
18	Matthew J. Reeves; https://orcid.org/0000-002-3903-2910
19	David Cárdenas-Vélez; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6310-2683
20	Luis Fradua-Uriondo; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3243-7859
21	Allistair P. McRobert; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0964-7199
22	
23	
24	
25	

The half-time talk: A mixed-method examination of youth-elite foot-

ball coaches' behaviours and team-management strategies

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

26

27

Abstract

Football, unlike some other team sports, include limited game interruptions for coaches to easily communicate with players and affect their performance. However, a reduced number of studies have explored how coaches attempt to influence players during half-time. This study examined football coaches' behaviours during half-time and their perceptions underpinning their talks' delivery. Five Spanish coaches ($M_{age} = 32.2$, SD = 8.8) working for a La Liga academy were systematically observed during half-time talks (n = 20) and participated in a semi-structured interview each. Half-time talks were coded using a modified version of the Coach Analysis and Intervention System, and semi-structured interviews were analysed following thematic analysis procedures. Instruction and feedback were the most employed behaviours for four coaches, with younger age-group coaches employing greater divergent questioning and in-talk player participation. Furthermore, data suggested that coaches conferred with their staff, before entering the changing room and rapidly progressed from divergent to convergent questions and feedback and instruction. The team's 'level of play' was the most perceived relevant factor affecting the verbal and vocal strategies of coaches' messages, albeit the score gained importance for coaches of older age-groups. This study is pioneering, examining how coaches attempt to influence their players during half-time talks of competitive youth football.

46

Keywords: coach behaviour, player talk, half time, team management, soccer.

48

47

49

50

52 Introduction

53 The multiple stimuli existing within team-sport games do not provide coaches with 54 enough time to communicate with players and affect their in-game performance. Indeed, 55 game-breaks inside competition (i.e., time-out, half-time, and end of quarter) appear more 56 appropriate situations to intervene.² For example, Lorenzo et al.³ identified that basketball 57 coaches use more elaborate instructions and questions during these periods than during the 58 game. Although the half-time interval in football is the only occasion enabling a prolonged 59 interaction with players throughout the game, 4 previous studies have examined the type of 60 messages provided during combined game-break types.^{5,6} However, only a few have specif-61 ically addressed the perceived factors underpinning coaches' half-time delivery qualita-62 tively, ^{7,8} and no attempts have systematically observed the full spectrum of behaviours em-63 ployed by elite youth football coaches during half-time. Therefore, integrating systematic 64 observations and qualitative interviews can provide more depth regarding the cognitive pro-65 cesses that guide coach behaviour during half-time talks. Contextual situations surrounding games (e.g., opposition quality and game type) are per-66 67 ceived as relevant factors for adjusting team-talks' content. For example, Vargas and Guan¹⁰ 68 identified nine different contextual pre-match scenarios and stated 'before beginning play in 69 an important tournament' or 'when competing against a higher-ranked opponent' as coaches 70 preferred situations for delivering more informational or emotional talks, respectively. 71 Moreover, the game score has been highlighted as a potential influencing factor of coaches 72 and their communication approach. In fact, the score appears to modulate coaches' amount 73 and type of messages provided during game-breaks. Coaches have been observed employing 74 a more positive approach during winning game-breaks,^{5,11} and increasing and decreasing 75 psychological units and tactical-content time during losing half-times.⁴ In addition, coaches' 76 non-verbal expressions can be an indicator of the current score during games. Indeed,

77 participants with varied football experience have accurately recognised far and close 78 wins/loses based on coaches' non-verbal expressions during selected sequences of real elite 79 games. 12 Hence, it is suggested that the match status at game-breaks can affect coaches' 80 emotions and their communication approach, thus, having an impact on players.

- Emotion as social information (EASI) theory suggests that an individual's non-verbal expressions can influence observers' emotions, cognitions, and behaviours. ^{13,14} For instance, coaches combining standardised verbal feedback and non-verbal expressions have been shown to influence junior football players' emotions and performance positively or negatively after completing soccer-specific tasks. ¹⁵ During half-time talks, Van Kleef et al. ¹⁶ observed tained contradictory findings regarding coach-player emotional contagion. Whilst coaches' non-verbal anger expressions were associated with players' anger during half-time, a similar selfect for happiness was only found during pre-match. It was argued that the numerous dynamics occurring throughout a game could hinder the effects of coaches' happiness on players experiencing the same emotion at half-time. Nevertheless, both coaches' happiness and anger expressions led players to perceive better and worse team performance, respectively. Thus, despite the insufficient evidence to claim a direct coach-player emotional contagion in the previous study, coaches' emotional expressions appear to condition players' infersections of first half performance.
- Whilst the impact of half-time talks on players has recently been examined in basketball⁴, 96 understanding of coaches' complete verbal activity during this period and with players of 97 various development stages is still scarce. In fact, previous literature has claimed that leaders 98 (i.e., coaches) are typically defined by the outcomes achieved on their followers (i.e., play-99 ers) rather than their actual behaviours.¹⁷ Only Avugos et al.⁷ and Madden⁵ have referred to 100 this coaching situation as a monologue where coaches mainly use solution messages (i.e., 101 instructions) and comments about performance (i.e., feedback) predominantly involving

102 criticism. However, these descriptions are vague and do not contribute to capture an accurate 103 picture of what half-time coaching involves or its underlying cognitive processes.

These aspects are relevant to understand the context-specific intricacies of coaches' work105 ing realities and encourage discussion and reflection upon practice. Therefore, this study
106 aimed to explore the behaviours of elite youth football coaches and underpinning perceptions
107 regarding their half-time talks. Specifically, it was sought to understand: 1) the behavioural
108 profiles of coaches of different age-groups and their players' levels of involvement; and 2)
109 coaches' cognitive processes determining their half-time talks' structure, contents, delivery
110 approach, and factors affecting their team-management strategies.

111

112 Materials and methods

113 Setting and context

This study was conducted at a Spanish *La Liga Santander* football club academy. The 115 academy was structured into a 7-a-side phase (under 9-12 age-groups); an 11-a-side devel-116 opment phase (under 13-15's); and a 11-a-side performance phase (under 16's, 18's, and 117 19's), with all age-groups playing competitive home or away fixtures on a weekly basis. All 118 games involved a first and second half, interspersed by a regulation half-time break, during 119 which, players and staff returned to their allocated dressing room.

120

121 Sampling and participants

Sampling was restricted to participants from a single club, determined by the study design and facilitated by the club's accessibility. Lead coaches were invited to participate if they had responsibility for leading half-time team talks and technical and support staff were extended a priori. Thus, based on the academy size, a maximum of 10 coaches (one per age 126 group) were eligible for participation in the study.

127 A two-week cooling off period was employed for coaches familiarising with the study's 128 procedures and deciding their desire to participate. After this process, five male head 129 coaches, with representation within the 7-a-side, 11-a-side development, and 11-a-side per-130 formance phases, agreed to participate. They had a mean age of 32.2 years (24-47, *SD* = 8.8) 131 and mean coaching experience of 14.6 years (7-27, *SD* = 8.1). Participant numbers between 132 three and five have been deemed acceptable for enabling diversity and examining patterns 133 and contrasts in coach behaviour and underpinning rationales. In addition, it was intended 134 to generate authentic and transferable context-dependent knowledge 19 rather than normative 135 behaviour profiles. Therefore, considering the lower frequency of half-time breaks compared 136 to training sessions and following previous mixed-method case studies (e.g., Stonebridge & 137 Cushion²⁰), each participants' half-time talks were captured on four occasions. Brief pen 138 pictures of each participant can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Participants' profiles.

	donyms				
Characteristics	Jacinto	Amador	Rogelio	Damián	Rafael
Age	24	47	28	31	34
Age-group coached	U10	U13	U14	U15	U18
Coaching qualification	UEFA A	UEFA Pro	UEFA A	UEFA Pro	UEFA Pro
University qualification	BSc	BSc	N/A	MSc	N/A
No. of years coaching	7	27	14	8	17
No. of years coaching youth	7	19	14	8	17
No. of years leading half-time talks	5	27	14	7	15
No. of years playing professionally	0	0	0	0	0

 $14\overline{1}$ 142

139

143 Procedure

This was a cross-sectional case study design, with data collected using systematic obser-145 vations and qualitative interviews. The study was approved by an institutional ethics com-146 mittee (ref: xxx/xxxx/xxxx). The first author (A1) approached the academy regarding their 147 potential involvement in the study. The academy manager agreed to facilitate the study and 148 allowed the research team to contact coaches regarding their involvement.

Potential participants (i.e., coaches) were provided with the study information sheet and 150 had the opportunity to ask any questions that they had about the study. Informed assent was 151 obtained from those indirectly involved in observational data collection (i.e., players and 152 staff) and all participants provided written informed consent for this project to take place. 153 Coaches who consented to participate informed the research team about their upcoming 154 home fixtures, including dates and kick-off times. It was decided to only include home-based 155 half-time talks to avoid the potential contextual influence of match location on coaches' 156 behavioural activity. Opposition quality (i.e., games vs higher/lower-ranked teams) was not 157 controlled due to this data being collected at the start of the first leg of league competitions 158 when not all teams have played against each other and, therefore, not being a fully reliable 159 indicator of 'team quality'.

160

161 Systematic observations

Half-time talks of home fixtures were filmed over a nine-week in-season period (27th September to 1st December 2019). A digital video camera (Sony HDR-CX900E, China) was 164 mounted on a tripod and positioned in the changing rooms so it could capture all players and 165 the coach. To capture all half-time interactions within the room, recording was set before 166 anyone entered the changing room and stopped when all staff and players had left for the 167 second half. A habituation process was followed, whereby an initial half-time talk for each 168 coach would be recorded but not included in analyses.²¹

The Coach Analysis and Intervention System (CAIS),²² which has been validated for ex-170 amining coach behaviour within non-performance states during the match competition (i.e., 171 timeout, half-time, end of quarter), was employed. However, during initial coding, high 172 volumes of 'uncodable' were obtained because a mixture of primary (i.e., 'what') and sec173 ondary (i.e., 'where' and 'who') behaviours occurred frequently but were not contemplated
174 by the original tool. These included coach feedback about players' answers (i.e., positive
175 and negative reinforcement) and players' game-related verbalisations (i.e., pre-talk player
176 participation and in-talk player participation: response or self-initiated).

Thus, we followed procedures adopted by Raya-Castellano et al.²³ to adapt the CAIS in178 strument including necessary additional behaviours. To ensure enhanced validity, the habit179 uation sessions were pilot coded to ensure agreement of new categories' codes and associ180 ated definitions before these were operationalised. Additional amendments involved combi181 nation of the CAIS' primary categories into the major categories of positive and negative
182 feedback, modelling, and management (see Table 2). Following habituation procedures, four
183 half-time talks per coach including various match outcomes (see table 3) and totalling 183.72
184 minutes, were analysed.

Table 2. Primary behaviour categories at half-time (Adapted from Cushion et al.²²).

Behaviour	Description and examples
Instruction	Verbal cues, reminders or prompts provided by the coach that instruct the oppositions' actions AND/OR direct the own players to skills or plays related to the second half performance or counteracting the oppositions' strategy. e.g. 'Be patient in possession. That doesn't mean we move it slowly. Move it with tempo but be patient'; 'Force the long ball. Don't let them play short'.
Positive feedback	Positive or supportive statements OR non-verbal gestures provided by the coach (either general OR specifically <u>aiming to provide information about the quality of performance</u>). e.g. 'That's brilliant, that's exactly what I wanted'; 'I really liked how you shaped your body before turning'; 'I'm
Negative feedback	proud of the first half'; 'Great no-touch turn on the right side, Scott'. Negative or unsupportive statements OR non-verbal gestures provided by the coach (either general OR specifically aiming to provide information about the quality of performance). e.g., 'That wasn't good enough'; 'You aren't getting in the half turn'; 'I'm disappointed with your attitude during the first half'.
Corrective feedback	Corrective verbal statements provided by the coach that contain information that specifically <u>aim</u> to improve the player(s) first half performance at the next skill attempt. e.g., 'Try to get wider next time in that situation'; 'You probably don't want to be levelled with the wide player'; 'When their right centre back gets it, make sure you force their play into the right-side next time'.
Modelling	Skill demonstration- with or without verbal instruction/feedback that shows performer the correct OR incorrect way to perform.
Physical assistance	Physically moving the performer's body to the proper position or through the correct range of movement.

Positive & negative reinfor-	General statements agreeing or disagreeing with the intervention or response/s provided by one or more players, e.g., <u>Positive</u> : 'Exactly'; 'Liked that'. <u>Negative</u> : 'No'; 'I don't agree with that'; 'Not sure about that'.
Praise	Positive or supportive verbal statements or non-verbal gestures which demonstrates the coach's general satisfaction or pleasure to a player(s) that <u>DO NOT</u> specifically <u>aim to improve the player(s) performance at the next skill attempt.</u> e.g. 'your work rate has been excellent before'; 'good effort'; 'Don't worry about it'.
Scold	Negative or unsupportive verbal statements or non-verbal gestures demonstrating displeasure at a player(s) performance that <u>DO NOT</u> specifically <u>aim to improve the player(s) performance at the next skill attempt.</u> e.g. Shaking of the head, swearing at a player(s)
Humour	Jokes or content designed to make players laugh or smile, e.g., 'Have you eaten a steak for lunch?', 'Brilliant pass that one' (irony).
Hustle	Verbal statements or gestures <u>linked to effort</u> to activate or intensify previously directed behaviour. e.g., 'You can do it'; 'Keep working hard'; 'I wanna see intensity and concentration from the start'.
Punishment	Specific punishment following a mistake or for disruptive behaviour, e.g., "Get out", "Given your lack of attitude you're being substituted"
Convergent questioning	Coach asks player(s) about skill, strategy, procedure, physical condition, welfare, etc. and the question includes limited number of correct answers/options – closed responses, e.g., 'What is the right thing to do in this situation dribbling or passing?', 'Who's the free man?'.
Divergent questioning	Coach asks player(s) about skill, strategy, procedure, physical condition, welfare, etc. and the question includes multiple responses/options – open to various responses, e.g., 'What would you do in this situation?', 'Tell me what you think you need to do better in the second half'.
In-talk player participation: response	A player answers a question from the coach by verbalising and/or demonstrating the right or wrong decision or execution of a skill, technique, movement, positioning, etc. at any given point of the half-time talk.
In-talk player participation self-initiated	A player/group of players intervene(s) by asking a question or making a comment, different to the theme being currently talked. e.g., 'What's the best way to defend their striker?'; 'The wide free kick worked out really well'.
Pre-talk player participation	A player/group of players praise/scold(s) a teammate, describe(s) a game situation that occurred in the first half AND/OR tell(s) how to solve the situation effectively before the coach starts the team talk. e.g., 'Keep doing it Adam'; 'I think you should press his right foot'; 'When the ball gets wide, I need your support. I am always defending a 2 vs 1'.
Silence on- task	Coach is in silent and monitors the half-time talk without reacting verbally or non-verbally. e.g., pauses while presenting arguments, prolonged silences to emphasise points, etc.
Silence off- task	Coach is in silent within the changing room, not visibly engaged in the team talk. e.g., preparing the tactical board, talking individually to one player or member of staff, making notes, or performing any other action such as standing, walking, eating, etc.
Management	Management that contributes to organising turns allocations, the talks' structure, content, or information presented; the equipment, the location where player sit; or demonstrates displeasure at a player(s) behaviour during the talk. e.g., 'Today is about dealing with their transitions'; 'Let's see Paul's thoughts'; 'Has anyone seen the boards' pencil?'; 'Stop talking while I'm talking, Keenan'.
Confer with assistants Uncodable	Coach confers with assistants to talk about, manage or reflect on anything concerned with the game which happens inside the changing room. Any other behaviour not fitting any of the previous categories.
Uncodable	Any other behaviour not fitting any of the previous categories.

188 189 *Feedback and instruction categories were coded when supported or not by visual tactical board aids.

Table 3. First half outcomes for each coach/age group.

First half	Jacinto	Amador	Rogelio	Damián	Rafael
outcomes	U10	U13	U14	U15	U18
Large win	0	0	0	1	2
Close win	4	2	1	1	1
Total wins	4	2	1	2	3
Total draws	0	2	1	2	0
Total loss	0	0	2	0	1
Close loss	0	0	1	0	1
Large loss	0	0	1	0	0

^{*}Close and large scores are defined as wins/loses of one and two-goal differences, respectively.

195

Cohen's Kappa was employed to determine inter- and intra-observer reliability for fre-197 quency and duration (seconds) data. Inter-observer reliability was examined comparing A1 198 and an independent trained observer's (qualified coach) codes of the same four half-time 199 talks performed at two separate occasions. K values of .89 and .85 were obtained for fre-200 quency and duration data, respectively. For intra-observer reliability, A1 coded the same two 201 half-time talks at three separate instances throughout the coding process. K values ranged 202 between .76 to .84 and .76 to .79 for frequency and duration data, respectively. All scores 203 were within the range of strong agreement (k = .75-1).²⁴

204

205 Interviews

Each participant was engaged in one digitally recorded individual interview during the 207 second week of December 2019 within a private office at the club's training ground. An 208 interview schedule was deductively developed and adjusted following a pilot interview with 209 an external qualified coach. This resulted in five questions' style and order being amended, 210 with the final interview schedule including: 1) biographical and profile questions; 2) consid-211 erations about the structure and delivery of half-time talks; 3) questions regarding their uti-212 lisation of different behaviours within this environment; and 4) video-stimulated recall about 213 actual behaviours utilised.

A flexible semi-structured approach was employed with open-ended and follow-up prob215 ing questions being prepared for each interview section. A1 conducted all interviews by ac216 tively listening and valuing participants' responses while maintaining a neutral attitude that
217 did not lead coaches to their personal views or desirable answers.²⁵ This strategy was delib218 erately employed to encourage participants to share their own thoughts and ideas about be219 haviour adoption.²⁶

Video-stimulated recall questions were deemed necessary to enable participants recalling 221 their cognitive activity during original events and enhancing their 'think aloud' pro222 cesses. After participants had developed their thoughts underpinning the utilisation of 223 behaviour (interview section 3), A1 showed them a video example involving an own previ224 ous coaching event related to the topic they were describing. Coaches were allowed to stop 225 the video sequence at any point to verbalise their emerging thoughts. When the passage 226 had ended, A1 posed open-ended questions such as 'what were your thoughts at the time?' 227 to promote recall of the original events and minimising the effects of retrospective reflec228 tion. Interviews ended offering participants the opportunity to seek clarification or ask any 229 questions about the research project. They lasted between 46 minutes 18 seconds and 61 230 minutes 43 seconds (average: 52 minutes and 25 seconds).

231

232 Data Analysis

Observational data were imported into Sportscode© Gamebreaker (version 10) and coded 234 using the adapted bespoke coding panel. Coded data were manually checked for double 235 counting and behaviour durations, and then exported to Microsoft Excel (2010) with final 236 frequency counts and durations for each behaviour across each talk being calculated. Mean 237 frequency counts for each coach were determined dividing the sum of each coach's behaviour count by four (i.e., the total number of talks analysed per coach and excluding the initial

239 habituation talk). Behaviour durations were converted to seconds before calculations were 240 conducted. Mean percentage time for each behaviour was estimated by dividing the mean 241 behaviour duration by the total behaviour duration and multiplied by 100.

242 Interview data were transcribed verbatim immediately after the interview process and 243 yielded 52 pages of single-line-spaced text. Thematic analysis was conducted following 244 Braun's et al.³¹ six-phase procedure. Initially, A1 familiarised with data and labelled codes 245 within the data set. This process started deductively with inspection of text fragments that 246 contained information about the half-time talk's structure, contents, and coach behaviours 247 then followed by inductive analysis. Codes with shared meanings around a core concept 248 were grouped into similar candidate themes. These were then developed, reviewed, and re-249 fined ensuring they matched both data and coded extracts, until a final structure of higher 250 and first order themes were decided (figure 1). Once the refined themes had been defined 251 and named, they were exported into a matrix that enabled comparison of the coded categories 252 between coaches.³² To enhance rigour, the thematic structure, theme definitions and names, 253 associated codes, and quotations examples were presented to co-authors.³³ They acted as 254 critical friends appraising A1's analytical decisions and promoting reflective discussions. 255 This resulted in two higher-order theme names and definitions being changed and the col-256 lapsing of two former first-order themes into one ("Adapting your feedback valence and 257 interventions to the context"). This process addressed the first author's isolation within the 258 analysis and data overload.³⁴

259

260

261

262

	First order themes	Higher order themes
264		
265		
266	Planning the half-time talk's objectives and contents	
267		Reviewing first half performance
268	Meeting your staff outside while players "rest" inside	and preparing the talk
269)
270		_
271	Using introductory divergent questioning to explore	
272	players' views	I In denote a ding a derveno? Cost helf non
273		Understanding players' first half per
274	Progressing to convergent question to get to the	ceptions and steering conversations
275	(my) relevant points	J
276		
277)
278	Providing clear information addressing players'	
279	needs	
280	Adapting your feedback valence and interventions to	Managing the specific half-time
281	the context	situation
282	VII. COIII.	Sivauron
283	Realistically involving your assistant coach or not	
284	Realistically involving your assistant coach of not	J
285		C:
286	Figure 1. Higher and first order them	nes of interviews.
287		

290 Results

288 289

Results demonstrate that coaches mainly provided instruction and feedback. Only Jacinto 292 (U10's) employed fewer of these behaviours, whilst also demonstrating increased management, use of questioning, reinforcement, and in-talk player participation compared to the 294 other coaches. Moreover, in-talk player participation decreased as a function of age-group 295 coached – that is, older age groups presented lower levels of in-talk player participation 296 (Table 4).

Table 4. Mean % time and standard deviations of total behaviours during half-time.

Total Behaviours	Jacinto	Amador	Rogelio	Damián	Rafael
	U10	U13	U14	U15	U18
Pre-talk player par-	0 (0)	3.29 (2.99)	13.80 (8.85)	13.93 (6.44)	5.46 (3.99)
ticipation					

Silence	5.79 (2.21)	7.75 (3.88)	9.80 (3.70)	6.54 (1.71)	14.61 (6.61)
Questioning	10.92 (4.43)	7.75 (2.00)	5.08 (1.44)	5.62 (2.16)	7 (2.35)
In-talk player parti-	27.17 (11.70)	10 (2.66)	4.21 (1.71)	3.40 (2.80)	2.43 (1.05)
cipation					
Reinforcement	5.42 (3.42)	1.07 (0.47)	2.11 (1.19)	1.31 (0.86)	0.55 (0.33)
Instruction	23.52 (15.51)	35.72 (5.24)	29.90 (11.98)	36.33 (11.07)	45.58 (7.35)
Feedback	7.85 (2.67)	16.93 (3.43)	19.31 (3.83)	17.98 (2.09)	12.76 (5.20)
Modelling	0.38 (0.38)	1.65 (0.74)	1.86 (1.11)	0.12 (0.17)	0 (0)
Physical assistance	0 (0)	0 (0)	0.07 (0.15)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Management	15.82 (6.76)	6.32 (3.32)	6.93 (3.54)	7.75 (3.60)	4.01 (2.17)
Un/supportive	0.49 (0.20)	4.02 (1.05)	3.12 (1.42)	6.15 (0.74)	5,70 (1,03)
behaviour					
Confer with assis-	0 (0)	0.94 (1.04)	0.12 (0.25)	0.36 (0.71)	0 (0)
tant					
Uncodable	2.63 (2.11)	4.58 (1.54)	3.68 (4.01)	0.52 (0.85)	1.90 (2.46)

^{299 *}Un/supportive behaviour is composed by praise, scold, humour, hustle, and punishment.

300

Primary and secondary behaviour analysis revealed that almost all coaches asked a higher 302 number of convergent questions than divergent questions. Only Jacinto (U10's) exhibited 303 higher divergent than convergent questions, and Amador (U13's) presented balanced ques-304 tion type ratios. Both Jacinto and Amador also engaged players in greater time of in-talk 305 player participation response and self-initiated than the other participants. Furthermore, 306 Jacinto, Rogelio (U14's), and Damián (U15's) were more balanced between positive and 307 negative/corrective feedback values than the other coaches; with four coaches providing 308 higher negative feedback compared to corrective. The highest pre-talk player participation 309 before coaches entered the changing room was amongst the U14 and U15 age-groups, 310 whereas lower values were found amongst all other age groups (Table 5).

Qualitative findings were grouped into three higher-order themes which were subdivided 312 into further first-order themes. Higher-order themes included: 1) reviewing first half perfor-313 mance and preparing the talk, 2) understanding players' first half perceptions and steering 314 conversations, and 3) managing the specific half-time situation (see figure 1). Considering 315 the mixed-method study design, qualitative findings are presented in the following section 316 and integrated with discussions and quantitative results.

Table 5. Mean frequency count (FC), % Time, and standard deviations of primary and secondary behaviours during half-time talks.

Behaviours	Ja	cinto	Ama	dor	Rog	gelio	Da	mián	Rat	fael
	FC	% Time	FC	% Time	FC	% Time	FC	% Time	FC	% Time
Pre-talk player participation	0(00)	0(00)	2.75(2.06)	3.29(2.99)	9(6.06)	13.80(8.85)	10(2.00)	13.93(6.44)	3.75(2.63)	5.46(3.99)
Silence off-task	1(1.41)	1.50(2.24)	2.50(2.38)	4.56(5.64)	1.50(1.00)	2.45(1.17)	3.75(0.50)	2.62(1.62)	3.25(3.30)	9.04(9.50)
Silence on-task	10.75(4.3)	4.29(1.11)	17.25(5.50)	3.19(1.16)	35(7.62)	7.36(3.81)	23.25(7.14)	3.92(1.37)	24.25(2.06)	5.57(1.90)
Convergent questioning	5(3.65)	3.90(3.19)	6.25(4.72)	3.43(1.67)	11(6.00)	3.52(1.06)	10.25(6.13)	3.16(1.97)	8.75(4.35)	3.89(2.37)
Divergent questioning	8(6.88)	7.02(5.40)	5.25(3.95)	4.32(1.56)	4.75(2.99)	1.56(1.07)	5(4.24)	2.46(2.40)	3.75(2.99)	3.11(2.61)
In-talk player participa- tion: response	19(13.93)	22.70(9.32)	9(5.03)	7.28(3.12)	15.50(4.65)	3.58(0.66)	12.50(4.43)	3.40(0.70)	5.75(2.99)	1.65(0.79)
In-talk player participation: self-initiated	3.25(0.96)	4.47(3.35)	3.75(1.71)	2.72(1.69)	1.75(2.36)	0.63(0.79)	0(0)	0(0)	1.25(1.26)	0.78(1.20)
Positive reinforcement	8.25(5.12)	4.98(3.62)	2.25(2.22)	0.81(0.42)	7(4.97)	1.73(1.40)	2.25(0.96)	1.11(1.02)	2(0.82)	0.55(0.22)
Negative reinforcement	1.25(1.89)	0.44(0.60)	0.50(0.58)	0.25(0.36)	1(0.82)	0.38(0.38)	0.75(0.96)	0.20(0.24)	0(0)	0(0)
Positive feedback	2.75(1.30)	3.20(1.82)	6.25(2.64)	4.68(2.39)	11.50(6.07)	9.29(5.23)	10(2.88)	8.70(3.16)	3.25(1.19)	3.10(2.28)
Negative feedback	0.25(0.30)	0.24(0.34)	11(6.32)	8.94(4.71)	7.50(3.15)	5.10(2.30)	5.25(2.39)	6.25(2.60)	5(2.51)	4.87(2.70)
Corrective feedback	2.50(1.91)	4.41(4.57)	4.25(1.50)	3.31(1.77)	7.25(1.71)	4.92(3.02)	3.25(2.50)	3.03(2.59)	4.25(4.03)	4.79(2.06)
Instruction	13.25(5.4)	23.52(15.51)	32.75(15.95)	35.72(5.24)	31.75(10.31)	29.90(11.98)	34(6.63)	36.33 (11.07)	36.75(1.89)	45.58(7.35)
Modelling	0.50(0.46)	0.38(0.38)	2.25(0.83)	1.65(0.74)	2(0.93)	1.86(1.11)	0.25(0.35)	0.12(0.17)	0(0)	0(0)
Physical assistance	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0.25(0.50)	0.07(0.15)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
Management	11.50(3.8)	15.82(6.76)	5.25(3.25)	6.32(3.32)	11.25(5.03)	6.93(3.54)	8.75(3.90)	7.75(3.60)	8.50(4.53)	4.01(2.17)
Praise	0.25(0.50)	0.12(0.24)	3.25 (4.57)	1(1.25)	3(3.46)	2.22(2.74)	4.50(3.32)	2.97(3.04)	2.75(1.89)	2.61(2.24)
Scold	0(0)	0(0)	0.75(1.50)	0.48(0.96)	0.75(1.50)	0.46(0.92)	0(0)	0(0)	1.25(1.89)	1.30(2.09)
Humour	0.75(0.50)	0.37(0.25)	2.50(2.38)	1.74(1.34)	1.25(1.26)	0.34(0.32)	2.25(2.06)	1.63(1.27)	0.75(0.96)	0.35(0.52)
Hustle	0(0)	0(0)	2(1.41)	0.79(0.70)	0.75(0.50)	0.11(0.08)	2.50(1.73)	1.55(1.64)	1.50(1.73)	1.44(1.07)
Punishment	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)	0(0)
Confer with assistant	0(0)	0(0)	1.50(1.73)	0.94(1.04)	0.25(0.50)	0.12(0.25)	0.25(0.50)	0.36(0.71)	0(0)	0(0)
Uncodable	2.25(1.26)	2.63(2.11)	4(0.82)	4.58(1.54)	4.75(3.77)	3.68(4.01)	0.50(1.00)	0.52(0.85)	1(1.41)	1.90(2.46)

320 Findings and discussion

321 Reviewing first half performance and preparing the talk

322 Football half-time talks have been suggested to be centred on informational (i.e., game-323 strategy) content and including minor emotional messages. However, hockey coaches have 324 highlighted context as a relevant factor for varying the content of their talks during intermis-325 sion speeches. Here, participants viewed their talks to be focussed on both 'technical-tacti-326 cal' and 'emotional' aspects of the game and reliant on 'the surrounding situation'. Specifi-327 cally, these talks were intended to understand and manage players' feelings, analyse own 328 and opponents' performance, prepare players for the expected second-half scenario or cor-329 recting improvable aspects of the first half: 330 The main thing is understanding how the player feels during those 40 minutes and his prob-331 lems...You already know what you've seen and got to learn from what they see. There is also 332 an emotional part that you've got to touch. There'll be times that one last 8 and the other 2 333 and vice versa... (Rogelio, U14) 334 335 My main aim is trying to rectify those things that haven't come up as you wanted... (Amador, 336 U13) 337 338 Coaches agreed that their half-time talks were typically composed of routines outside and 339 inside the changing room, with the four older age-group coaches allowing players to return 340 into the changing room while the staff gathered outside initiating preparation. Previous stud-341 ies have found various levels of half-time planning. Whilst Alex Ferguson (former Man-342 chester United Football Club Manager) prepared the information to be provided during the 343 last minutes of the first half,³⁵ some senior coaches have affirmed writing notes during the 344 first half or deciding their messages on their way to the dressing room⁷. It is argued that 345 planning in this context is relevant because of the limited time to analyse immediate game 346 events and address players,⁶ in addition to athletes having depreciated leaders/coaches' 347 speeches that are not sufficiently fluent.^{36,37}

In this study, apart from Jacinto (U10's), participants confirmed that they conferred with staff outside the changing room about the first half performance and the messages to include in their talk. Meanwhile, observational data show that older players generally exchanged more comments about the first half between themselves whilst waiting for coaches to lead the team talk (see table 5). This observation was confirmed by Rogelio (U14's) and Rafael (U18's), who went on to suggest that these conversations can provide meaningful information to the coach. Indeed, after preparing the talk outside, coaches affirmed overhearing discussions *en route* to the changing room and enabling these to continue when entering the formation of dressing room (i.e., hearing players' interactions or providing individual feedback privately). However, Rafael spent more time in silence off-task (9.04 %) within the changing room and, interestingly, his players exhibited lower pre-talk player participation (5.46 %) than the under 14 and 15 coaches (Rogelio: 13.80 %; Damián: 13.93 %). These routines and their radiouales were explained as follow:

Before getting in, I always meet my staff...They are focused on other aspects. We see what we are doing well, what we can improve and how to do that... I come in and say have a rest, drink, eat and we will talk. In the meantime, I might take individually someone and congratulate or tell him about the man he's been dealing with. (Damián, U15)

365366

...when you get to the dressing room, I can be in silence when they are talking and drinking water to see what you can hear from them... (Jacinto, U10)

368369

367

If I knew these conversations are happening, I'd take more time to get in the dressing room... (Rogelio, U14)

370371

372 Understanding players' first half perceptions and steering conversations

All coaches stated they started the team talk by asking a general divergent question about 374 the first halves' positive and improvable aspects of performance. Coaching literature has

are emphasised the benefits of divergent questions for facilitating players' higher-order cognitive activities compared to convergent questions. At half-time, it has been suggested that
the first question posed can be a useful tool for capturing players' attention. Our participants
indicated that they usually started with this behaviour to compare players' perceptions of the
first half with their own, and to understand players' emotions. Such approaches were presented as appropriate for 'letting players express themselves'; with one participant, highlighting how this approach had made him aware of some difficulties players were experi-

Mainly, seeing what reality they're living. Because it might be a different reality of what I am living. I wanna know what reality they live...I think they [questions] help me more than them.

They help me to understand them... (Rogelio, U14)

385386

387

388

The highest you get, sometimes players might have problems that you haven't seen and you've got to give a solution shortly... Coach, I've got this problem and you realise you hadn't notice. (Amador, U13)

389390

Previous studies insinuate that longer player participation might relate to a greater use of 392 divergent questions.³⁹ However, participants expressed that time pressures meant divergent 393 questioning was difficult to incorporate within the context of half-time, because of the con-394 fined time to cover all perceived necessary aspects.⁸ Indeed, in-talk player participation de-395 creased for higher age-groups (Rogelio-U14: 4.21 %, Damián-U15: 3.40 %, and Rafael-396 U18: 2.43 %), with only the values of Jacinto (U10's) and Amador (U13's) constituting at 397 least 10 % of their talks' total time. This behaviour was particularly high for Jacinto, who 398 engaged players talking for 27.17 % of his talks and who presented the highest values of 399 divergent questioning among all participants.

While convergent questioning has been criticised for coaches positioning themselves as 401 knowledge gatekeepers, 40 participants justified adopting this approach to prevent delivering 402 a rushed and unclear message towards the end of the talk. 41 Indeed, four participants used

403 convergent questions (i.e., Amador-U13: 6.25, Rogelio-U14: 11, Damián-U15: 10.25, and 404 Rafael-U18: 8.75 mean times) more frequently than divergent (i.e., Amador: 5.25, Rogelio: 405 4.75, Damián: 5, and Rafael: 3.75 mean times). Rafael explained that his lower use of diver-406 gent questioning was necessary to reduce "excessive" number of opinions from players that 407 could cause division within the group. Indeed, under 14, 15, and 18's coaches recognised 408 rapidly progressing from an initial divergent question to convergent questions that steered 409 players towards the coach desired response. Furthermore, under 10 and 18's coaches sug-410 gested that their questions typically required players to describe the performance environ-411 ment rather than offering solutions to specific problems:

At the start, I'm more divergent and I progressively convert questions in convergent. I wanna see what they perceive and then I wanna help them in the game. Obviously, we've got to have clear what we are going to do in the second half... (Jacinto, U10)

I ask them what's happening. Some answer. I might have a conversation with him. They can give their opinion. When they tell me the problem, I tell them how to solve it... The player is not prepared to be answering all the time... They need someone telling them that's right. So it's reinforced. That's why we are coaches and players. (Rafael, U18)

Such approaches appear to confirm findings from previous work within different contexts 422 (e.g., during training; Cope et al.³⁸), and have implications for inhibiting players' problem-423 solving and critical thinking about their in-competition performance. In this study of half-424 time, introductory divergent questions appeared to be a tool to understand players' realities 425 more than facilitating players' thinking. Nonetheless, medium-term development of superior 426 tactical knowledge and in-game decision-making has been shown to be assisted by adopting 427 open questioning. ⁴² Therefore, it is argued that the same might be true during in-competition 428 breaks, albeit its implementation might reduce time to cover further aspects.

431 Managing the specific half-time situation

The notion that coaches' half-time talks are transformative to players' performance is 433 somehow dubious because of athletes' limited capabilities for retaining talks' infor-434 mation. 43,44 Our data show that participants perceived their views and knowledge necessary 435 to transfer to players, which is further emphasised through the prominence of instruction and 436 feedback behaviours during half-time. This supports the preliminary findings of Madden 437 whose coaches' solution messages (i.e., instructions) and performance commentaries (i.e., 438 feedback) were most frequently employed. However, the total frequency of instruction and 439 feedback observed in the present study was considerably higher, and, excluding Jacinto 440 (U10's), ranged from 29.90 to 45.58 and 12.76 to 19.31, respectively.

Instructions associated with potential successful outcomes have been perceived by ath442 letes as more effective and inspirational.^{37,45} Indeed, participants outlined the perceived im443 portance of providing clear second half instructions that defined players' roles rather than
444 contributing with very detailed feedback about the first half. In the words of Damián, "play445 ers get in the dressing room expecting your solutions to their problems" and some coaches
446 considered a more effective approach threading these messages to issues brought up by play447 ers during the interactive introduction. Even if a player provided a correct solution to a game
448 situation, Jacinto (U10's) would be keen to reinforce the response with an instruction to

...when the talk finishes, they've got to know what you want from them in the second half.
That's you job...more than giving feedback is talking about it quickly and switch to the second half plan. (Rogelio, U14)

453

I ask because I want them to tell me. So, they get to a point and then, I reinforce their answers...I think with my words, the message gets better to the rest of players than if a player says it... (Jacinto, U10)

A balance between positive and negative feedback has been proposed in coaching to 459 avoid the possible shortcomings of excessive negative feedback on player confidence. At 460 half-time, players and assistant coaches who took part in Zach et al. have suggested that the 461 lead coach's emotional intelligence, positive attitude, and emotional support are relevant to 462 enhance players' second half performance. Nonetheless, under 21 football coaches have 463 been shown to adopt an absence of positive comments and a predominance of criticism. Here, only two participants failed to demonstrate a balanced ratio in their frequencies of 465 positive (Amador-U13: 6.25 and Rafael-U18: 3.25) and negative (Amador: 11 and Rafael: 466 5) feedback. Furthermore, when considering tied first halves, only the rugby coaches taking 467 part in Mouchet and Maso have been shown to include balanced positive and negative feed-468 back.

Considering the small sample of losing half-times collected (see table 3), coaches high-470 lighted two main contextual factors that could influence their talks' positivity. First, Jacinto 471 (U10's) and Amador (U13's) indicated that they would provide greater positive or nega-472 tive/corrective feedback purely depending on whether their teams were playing well or bad. 473 Conversely, the other participants also considered the score as an influential factor. For 474 example, Rogelio (U14's) recognised that a losing score negatively influenced the valence 475 of his half-time message. Moreover, under 14, 15, and 18's coaches highlighted that even 476 when playing well and winning or playing bad and losing, opposite feedback types were 477 required to reverse the situation or prevent overconfidence:

I'm more worried about the how we've done more than the score. Even if we are winning 7-0, if the team does not do things how we planned or how I know they can do, this affects me much more... (Amador, U13)

481 482

483

Winning counts as one action more... We've played great games and we've lost. We've got to be able to be above the score...playing well and winning, I'm more negative. I don't want them

484 to relax. When playing bad and winning I'm not as aggressive because the score supports us. 485 When we are playing bad and losing, I am obviously aggressive [smile]. (Rogelio, U14) 486 Positive messages have been suggested to increase athletes' feelings of competence⁴⁷ and 487 488 belief in teammates.³⁷ Indeed, participants were keen to reinforce good performances with 489 Rafael (U18's) and Rogelio (U14's) acknowledging provision of intentional positive verbal-490 isations to individuals that had made mistakes during the first half. Similarly, all coaches 491 avoided transmitting individual negative messages within group scenarios, where possible. 492 For example, Rafael suggested providing corrections to individuals or in small groups when 493 the team talk ended, if the present circumstances enabled this strategy to be adopted: 494 I didn't want they won the second balls... It was more specific of them two...Manuel and Fer-495 nando (pseudonyms) stood up and were looking at me. It was like come here I'll explain to 496 you two now... (Rafael, U18) 497 498 However, under 14 and 18's coaches also recalled having utilised individual negative/cor-499 rective messages during team half-time talks that would potentially maximise the collec-500 tive's performance. Although there is some evidence for increased skill performance in bad-501 minton after negative or positive-negative-corrective cues, 48 athlete inspiration is likely to 502 decrease when positive messages are followed by negatively framed messages (i.e., infor-503 mation about "what players should not be doing"). ^{37(p.219)} Thus, Amador's strategy of pro-504 gressing from negative (i.e., error) to corrective (i.e., solution) feedback at half-time with 505 their U13's players might be appropriate, though his overall frequency of negative feedback 506 (11) was considerably higher than his corrective statements (4.25): 507 ...it's true that I often start with the negative and then the corrective. Sometimes, I skip the 508 negative and go straight into the corrective...The idea is first explaining where we are mis-509 taking and then giving a solution to overcome it. (Amador, U13) 510

Changes in coach tone and volume during talks have been perceived as powerful tools
512 for affecting emotions amongst male and female team-sport athletes. ^{4,41} In this study, all
513 participants described their approach of regulating volumes and tones to strengthen or atten-
514 uate the meaning of the same message, which is expected to avoid speeches' monotony. ³⁷
515 Likewise, Rafael (U18's) suggested that tactical instructions required pauses for facilitating
516 player understanding ³⁶ and Rogelio (U14's) affirmed varying his discourse's speed to hide
517 or expose negative feedback to the group or selected individuals. Similarly, Damián and
518 Rafael emphasised the importance of employing different approaches to manage similar cir-
519 cumstances with Rogelio rationalising his different interventions for managing two similar
520 past scenarios (i.e., playing bad and losing):

Against Team A, it [the half-time talk] was aggressive but emotional. The typical of kicking the bottle...Against Team B, it was fully emotional. I did not say anything tactically and we were able to score five goals...I talked about the formula Knowledge + Ability x Attitude...in the world of half-times and people...if I kick a bottle every day, it loses its effect... (Rogelio, U14)

526527

528

529

...Drawing, it'd be softer to be more patient. Things are being done well. Very similar to the previous one but perhaps the tone of voice more calmed. Showing faith in the team because we haven't been lucky in front of the goal. (Damián, U15)

530531

...if the team's performance hasn't been good and I'm visibly annoyed, my tone of voice can be more aggressive...Sometimes, I do as if the tone was disappointed. It'll be more calmed but with a tone of not recognising the team I am seeing. (Rafael, U18)

533534

532

Although assistant coaches were not recruited, each participant indicated the roles their same voice transmitting the message, so it is ordered and concise", despite recent calls suggesting more effective leadership when this is shared. In addition, says Rogelio (U14's) explained that his assistants provided some individual information to

540 players once the team talk had finished before players left. Following Mouchet and Maso⁸, 541 Damián (U15's) occasionally asked his assistant to summarise key points for the second 542 half. Only Amador's (U13's) assistant appeared to be fully involved with both arranging 543 informational responsibilities during their outside staff meeting to "avoid repetition". He 544 detailed how both worked together complementing each other's messages to ultimately max-545 imise the players' understanding of second half requirements. This is particularly relevant 546 due to evidence pointing to athletes' dislike of two leaders talking simultaneously.³⁷ For an 547 effective collaboration between head and assistant coaches, Zakrajsek et al.⁵⁰ suggested that 548 a shared vision and strong communication are required. The benefit and procedure of this 549 approach were noted by Amador as follow:

...the focus is not always on the same coach...Also, I like talking to my assistant before going inside. I'll be responsible of this and here you'll take the lead on this...Something else we do is while I'm talking, he intervenes or if he talks, I intervene. It's not predetermined and the player see much more...how to call it? Familiarity. Our understanding, we bring it into the dressing room. (Amador, U13)

554555

550

551

552

553

556 Practical implications

This study provides some practical considerations for coaching practice. First, because 558 coaches have limited ability to accurately recall game events,⁵¹ conferring their views with 559 their staff before entering the changing room might enable a more accurate revision of the 560 first half and planning the talk. This meeting could potentially remove some emotion from 561 coaches^{4,8} and enable them to prepare a more objective message that meets the player 562 needs,^{41,52} regardless of the score.

Second, the initial questioning introduction seem to be essential to read the athletes' emo-564 tions⁴¹ and enable coaches to adapt their messages⁷ to players. Previous studies (García-565 González et al.⁴²) have demonstrated players' superior knowledge and decision-making 566 when combining post-match footage with open questioning. At half-time, most participants affirmed employing reduced divergent questions and facilitating limited in-talk player par-568 ticipation due to time constraints and a need to cover all (perceived) relevant aspects of per-569 formance. In fact, only the under 10's and 13's coaches included superior number of diver-570 gent questions and enabled higher in-talk player participation than older age-group coaches. 571 Thus, setting routines of pre-talk player participation while staff meets outside⁵² enabling 572 enough player-led discussions might facilitate their knowledge development, while max-573 imising the total time to address players.

Finally, participants working with older age-groups affirmed rapidly progressing to 575 providing information to players and included higher levels of instruction and feedback re-576 gardless of players having demonstrated preference for short but meaningful talks. 41 More-577 over, when observing positively or negatively framed messages during leader's speeches, 578 athletes' have reported feelings of belief in teammates or inspiration decrease, respectively. 37 However, participants generally included higher negative feedback compared to corrective 580 and only the under 10, 14, and 15's coaches provided greater positive than negative. There-581 fore, there might be a benefit in balancing the valence of feedback and considering a less-is-582 more approach to instruction. Specifically, the use of less verbal messages combined with 583 more eloquent vocal factors and non-verbal expressions might be critical for players per-584 ceiving these as more meaningful, 41 persuasive about first half performance, 16 or inspira-585 tional. 37

586

587 Limitations and future research

The design restricted the number and gender of recruitable participants to the study and, 589 thus, the generalisability of results and findings is limited to the study context. In addition, 590 all half-time talks were home-based, and the mean values of coaches' behaviours were cal-591 culated at half-time with various outcomes. Moreover, the singularity of participants meant

592 that qualitative findings are limited in the exploration and understanding of the breadth and 593 scope of this context with alignment between quantitative and qualitative data not always 594 being possible. Finally, the inclusion of assistant coaches, technical staff, or players would 595 have undoubtedly enhanced the data set.

Thus, assessing players' subjective perceptions of talks could increase our understanding 597 of how athletes interpret coaches' behaviours⁴¹ and future studies involving (quasi)experi-598 mental designs could also compare various half-time coaching strategies and determine their 599 effectiveness. Furthermore, considering the emotional nature of half-time¹⁶, it is also recom-600 mended to explore male and female coaches' behaviours at home and away venues during 601 this situation. Additionally, whilst recent coach development research⁵³ has managed to 602 align coaches' intentions and behaviours after engaging them in video-based reflection and 603 discovery tasks, it would be interesting to verify the impact of these activities on coaches' 604 behaviours during more 'emotional' situations such as half-time.

605

606 Conclusion

This study has facilitated the understanding of five youth coaches' behaviours and per608 ceptions about their half-time talks and suggests similarities and differences attributable to
609 their individual beliefs and phases of development coached. Most coaches mainly employed
610 instruction and feedback during half-time except the under 10's coach, who enabled players
611 to express themselves for greater time compared to any other behaviour. In addition, only
612 the under 10 and 15's coaches presented balanced values between positive and negative/cor613 rective feedback, with all participants highlighting their tones and volumes as essential mod614 ulators of their messages' meaning. Moreover, only the under 13's assistant coach appeared
615 to be fully involved planning and complementing the lead coach's half-time talk. Hence, it
616 is suggested that the amount, valence, vocal factors, and transmitter of messages in addition

617 to facilitating players with opportunities for thinking and discussing are relevant aspects for 618 delivering half-time talks in youth team sports.

619

620 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the XXX Ministry of XXX under Grant [number xxx/xxxx].

622

623 Declaration of interest statement

The author(s) report there are no competing interests to declare.

625

References

- Mouchet A, Harvey S and Light R. A study on in-match rugby coaches' communications with players: a holistic approach. *Phys Educ Sport Pedagogy* 2014; 19: 320-336. DOI: 10.1080/17408989.2012.761683
- Mason RJ, Farrow D and Hattie JA. An analysis of in-game feedback provided by coaches in an Australian Football League Competition. *Phys Educ Sport Pedagogy* 2020; 25: 464-477. DOI: 10.1080/17408989.2020.1734555
- 3. Lorenzo J, Navarro R, Rivilla et al. The analysis of the basketball coach speech during the moments of game and pause in relation to the performance in competition. *Rev Psicol Deporte* 2013; 1: 227-230.
- 4. Zach S, Avugos S, Bakalo-Kuffler L, et al. Winning the second half: The perceived and actual impact of the coach's half-time speech on basketball players' performance. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*. Epub ahead of print 28 March 2022. DOI: 10.1177/174795412210897
- 5. Madden CC. The nature and relative importance of coaching communications in Australian Rules Football. *Int J Sport Psychol* 1995; 26: 524-540.

- Allain J, Bloom GA and Gilbert WD. Successful high-performance ice hockey coaches' intermission routines and situational factors that guide implementation. *Sport Psychol* 2018; 32: 210-219. DOI: 10.1123/tsp.2017-0088
- 7. Avugos S, Zach S, Malca AD, et al. An intimate glance into "The Holiest of Holies": An exploratory study of the half-time talk. *Int J Sport Exerc Psychol* 2018; 18: 129-142. DOI: 10.1080/1612197X.2018.1511624
- 8. Mouchet A and Maso F. Subjective lived experience: A resource for coaches' education.

 LASE J Sport Sci 2018; 9: 60-77.
- Partington, M and Cushion, C. An investigation of the practice activities and coaching behaviours or professional top-level youth soccer coaches. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2013; 23:374-382. DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0838.2011.01383.x
- Vargas T and Guan J. Athletes' preferences for informational and emotional pre-game speech content. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2007; 2: 171-180. DOI: 10.1260/174795407781394338
- Halperin I, Chapman DW, Martin DT et al. Coaching cues in amateur boxing: An analysis of ringside feedback provided between rounds of competition. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2016;
 44-50. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2016.04.003
- 12. Thrien F and Furley P. Nonverbal expressions of soccer coaches during the game and their potential effects on observers. *Int J Sports Science Coach* 2021; 16: 1-11. DOI: 10.1177/17479541211028520
- 13. Van Kleef GA. How emotions regulate social life: The emotions as social information (EASI) model. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 2009; 18: 184-188. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01633.x
- 14. Van Kleef GA. The interpersonal dynamics of emotion: Toward an integrative theory of emotions as social information. Cambridge University Press, 2016, p. 310.

- 15. Moll T and Davies GL. The effects of coaches' emotional expressions on players' performance: Experimental evidence in a football context. *Psychol Sport Exerc*. Epub ahead of print May 2021; 54. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2021.101913
- 16. Van Kleef GA, Cheshin A and Koning L. Emotional games: How coaches' emotional expressions shape players' emotions, inferences, and team performance. *Psychol Sport Exerc* 2019; 41: 1-11. DOI: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2018.11.004
- 17. Arthur CA, Bastardoz N and Eklund R. Transformational leadership in sport: Current status and future directions. *Sport Psychol* 2017; 16: 78-83. DOI: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.04.001
- 18. Berg BL. Qualitative research methods for the social sciences. 6th ed. Boston: Pearson & Allyn Bacon, 2007, p. 384.
- 19. Grünbaum NN. Identification of ambiguity in the Case Study Research Typology: What is a Unit of Analysis? *Qual Mark Res* 2007; 10: 78-97. DOI: 10.1108/13522750710720413
- 20. Stonebridge I and Cushion C. An exploration of the relationship between educational background and the coaching behaviours and practice activities of professional youth soccer coaches. *Phys Educ Sport Pedagogy* 2018; 23: 636-656. DOI: 10.1080/17408989.2018.1485143
- 21. Darst PW, Zakrajsek, DB and Mancini VH. *Analysing physical education and sport instruction*. 2nd Ed. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, 1989, p. 437.
- 22. Cushion C, Harvey S, Muir B., et al. Developing the coach analysis and intervention system (CAIS): Establishing validity and reliability of a computerised systematic observation instrument. *J Sports Sci* 2012; 30: 201-216. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2011.635310

- 23. Raya-Castellano PE, Reeves MJ, Littlewood M et al. An exploratory investigation of junior-elite football coaches' behaviours during video-based feedback sessions. *Int J Perform Anal Sport* 2020; 20: 729-746. DOI: 10.1080/24748668.2020.1782717
- 24. Fleiss JL. *Statistical methods for rates and proportion*. 2nd Ed. New York: Wiley, 1981, p. 223.
- 25. Smith AC and Sparkes A. Men, sport spinal cord injury, and narratives of hope. *Soc Sci Med* 2005; 61: 1095-1105. DOI: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2005.01.011
- 26. Booroff M, Nelson L and Potrac P. A coach's political use of video-based feedback: a case study in elite-level academy soccer. *J Sports Sci* 2016; 34: 116-124. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2015.1039464
- 27. Lyle J. Stimulated recall: A report on its use in naturalistic research. *Br Educ Res J* 2003;29: 861-878. DOI: 10.1080/0141192032000137349
- 28. Whitehead AE, Cropley B, Huntley T et al. 'Think aloud': Toward a framework to facilitate reflective practice amongst rugby league coaches. *Int Sport Coach J* 2016; 3: 269-286. DOI: 10.1123/iscj.2016-0021
- 29. Stodter A and Cushion C. Evidencing the impact of coaches' learning: Changes in coaching knowledge and practice over time. *J Sports Sci* 2019; 37: 2086-2093. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2019.1621045
- 30. Meier AM and Vogt F. The potential of stimulated recall for investigating self-regulation processes in inquiry learning with primary school students. *Perspect in Sci* 2015; 5: 45-53. DOI: 10.1016/j.pisc.2015.08.001
- 31. Braun V and Clarke V. *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. London: Sage, 2021, p. 376.
- 32. Morse JM. Simultaneous and sequential qualitative mixed method designs. *Qual Inq* 2010; 16: 483-491. DOI: 10.1177/1077800410364741

- 33. Smith B and McGannon KR. Developing rigor in qualitative research: Problems and opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. *Int Rev Sport Exerc Psychol* 2018; 11: 101-121. DOI: 10.1080/1750984X.2017.1317357
- 34. Foulger TS. External conversation: An unexpected discovery. About the critical friend in action research inquiries. *Action Res* 2010; 8: 135-152. DOI: 10.1177/1476750309351354
- 35. Elberse A and Dye T. *Sir Alex Ferguson: Managing Manchester United*. Harvard Business School Publishing, 2012.
- 36. Areni CS and Sparks JR. Language power and persuasion. *Psychol Mark* 2005; 22: 507-525. DOI: 10.1002/mar.20071
- 37. Smith MJ, Figgins SG, Jewiss M et al. Investigating inspirational leader communication in an elite team sport context. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2018; 13: 213-224. DOI: 10.1177/1747954117727684
- 38. Cope E, Partington M, Cushion CJ et al. An investigation of professional top-level youth football coaches' questioning practice. *Qual Res Sport Exerc Health* 2016; 8: 380-393. DOI: 10.1080/2159676X.2016.1157829
- 39. Raya-Castellano PE, Reeves M, Fradua L et al. Post-match video-based feedback: A longitudinal work-based coach development program stimulating changes in coaches' knowledge and understanding. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2021; 16: 1259-1270. DOI: 10.1177/17479541211017276
- 40. Potrac P and Cassidy T. The Coach as a 'More Capable Other. In Jones RL (ed) *The Sports Coach as Educator: Re-Conceptualising Sports Coaching*. 1st ed. Abingdon: Routledge, 2006, pp. 39-50.
- 41. Breakey C, Jones M, Cunningham C et al. Female athletes' perceptions of a coach's speeches. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2009; 4: 489-504. DOI: 10.1260/174795409790291376

- 42. García-González L, Moreno P, Moreno A et al. Effectiveness of a video-feedback and questioning programme to develop cognitive expertise in sport. *Plos One* 2013; 8: 1-12. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0082270
- 43. Mesquita I, Rosado A, Januário N et al. Athlete's retention of a coach's instruction before a judo competition. *J Sports Sci Med* 2008; 7: 402-407.
- 44. Mason RJ, Farrow D and Hattie JA. An exploratory investigation into the reception of verbal and video feedback provided to players in an Australian Football League club. *Int J Sports Sci Coach* 2021; 16:181-191. DOI: 10.1177/1747954120951080
- 45. Henderson S, Bloom GA and Alexander D. (2021). Desired coaching behaviours of elite divers during competition. *Int J Sport Exerc Psychol*. Epub ahead of print 22 Nov 2021. DOI: 10.1080/1612197X.2021.2003417
- 46. Groom R, Cushion C and Nelson L. The delivery of video-based performance analysis by England youth soccer coaches: Towards a grounded theory. *J Appl Sport Psychol* 2011; 23: 16-32. DOI: 10.1080/10413200.2010.511422
- 47. Soenens B and Vansteenkiste M. Understanding the complexity of praise through the lens of self-determination theory. In Brummelman E (ed) *Psychological Perspectives on Praise*. Abindon: Routledge, 2020, pp. 27-35.
- 48. Tzetzis G, Votsis E and Kourtessis T. The effect of different corrective feedback methods on the outcome and self-confidence of young athletes. *J Sports Sci Med* 2008; 7: 371-378.
- 49. Fransen K, Vanbeselaere N, De Cuyper B et al. The myth of the team captain as a principal leader: Extending the athlete leadership classification within sport teams. *J Sports Sci* 2014, 32: 1389-1397. DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2014.891291
- 50. Zakrajsek RA, Raabe J, Readdy T, et al. Collegiate Assistant Coaches' perceptions of basic psychological need satisfaction and thwarting from Head Coaches: A qualitative

- investigation. *J Appl Sport Psychol* 2020; 32: 28-47. DOI: 10.1080/10413200.2019.1581855
- 51. Franks M and Miller G. Eyewitness testimony in sport. J Sport Behav 1986; 9: 38-45.
- 52. McKenna M. Exploring coach communication and the role of match analysis in prematch, half-time, and post-match team meetings in an International Youth Football (Soccer) Squad: An ethnographic case study (B00288838). MRes Thesis, University of West Scotland, UK, 2021.
- 53. Raya-Castellano P, McRobert AP, Cárdenas D, et al. Exploring the 'teachable moments' of questioning during training: A work-base coach development programme affecting behaviour change. *Phys Educ Sport Pedagogy*. Epub ahead of print 9 May 2022. DOI: 10.1080/17408989.2022.2083095