

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Factors associated with the perpetration of interpersonal violence and abuse in young people's intimate relationships
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/37645/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2021.1910223
Date	2021
Citation	Barter, Christine Anne, Lanau, Alba, Stanley, Nicky, Aghtaie, Nadia and Överlien, Carolina (2021) Factors associated with the perpetration of interpersonal violence and abuse in young people's intimate relationships. Journal of Youth Studies. ISSN 1367-6261
Creators	Barter, Christine Anne, Lanau, Alba, Stanley, Nicky, Aghtaie, Nadia and Överlien, Carolina

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1080/13676261.2021.1910223

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>





ට		
Ê		
		ľ
<u>.111</u>		
۵	ß	
CrossMark	ß	

Routledge Taylor & Francis Group

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

Factors associated with the perpetration of interpersonal violence and abuse in young people's intimate relationships

Christine Barter^a, Alba Lanau^b, Nicky Stanley^a, Nadia Aghtaie^b and Carolina Överlien ^{oc,d}

^aUniversity of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK; ^bUniversity of Bristol, Bristol, UK; ^cNorwegian Centre for Violence and Traumatic Stress Studies, Oslo, Norway; ^dTraumatic Stress Studies, Oslo, Norway

ABSTRACT

This paper examines individual, peer and societal factors associated with young people's instigation of physical, sexual and emotional abuse, including abuse and control through new technologies, in their intimate relationships. The mixed-method research included a survey of 4564 young people aged 14–17 across ve European countries. Young people's advisory groups were convened in each country to work alongside the research teams. Across the European sample, 38% of boys and 45% of girls stated they engaged in some form of emotional violence, 10% of girls and 6% of boys reported the use of physical violence and 20% of boys and 4% of girls reported using sexual violence (pressure and/or physical force). A range of intersecting factors, including wider experiences of violence and abuse, gendered attitudes and consumption of online pornography, were associated with the use of intimate violence, although these di ered by gender. The research provides new European insights through measuring the association with three discrete forms of IPVA as well as exploring perpetration by both males and females. A Prevention and intervention programmes seeking to respond to violence in voung people's relationships need to develop e ective strategies to address the interplay of these factors across young people's lives.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 7 September 2019 Accepted 24 March 2021

KEYWORDS Gender; risk; attitudes; interpersonal violence; prevention

Introduction

International research on the prevalence of interpersonal violence and abuse (IPVA) in young people's relationships has established the signi cance and the impact of the problem. This research has informed numerous abuse prevention programmes that target adolescents with the aim of ending abusive experiences in both present and future relationships. However, such initiatives have been described as under-theorised and as failing to take account of the diversity of adolescent populations (Hellevik et al. 2015). This European study focuses on the complex interplay of factors found in association with perpetration of IPVA in adolescence and considers how interventions can build on this understanding.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

CONTACT Christine Barter 🖾 cabarter@uclan.ac.uk

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.

2 👄 C. BARTER ET AL.

Prevalence rates for IPVA vary considerably depending on the populations sampled, de nitions used, forms of IPVA included and acts incorporated, making global comparisons di cult (Hérbert et al. 2019). Stonard et al.'s (2014) evidence synthesis on the prevalence of IPVA victimisation in adolescent relationships (under the age of 18) determined that between 51–59% of girls and 45–55% of boys reported emotional abuse and between 22–29% of girls and 19–27% of boys reported physical violence. Similarly, Wincentak, Connolly, and Card's (2017) meta-analysis found higher levels of physical perpetration by female adolescents, aged 13–16, compared to males. Meta-analysis by Park and Kim (2018) with a wider age range of 13–22, and Wincentak, Connolly, and Card (2017) both showed that young women reported greater sexual violence victimisation compared to young men.

However, to fully understand the issues involved we need to also address both prevalence and impact. (Barter et al. 2017) found statistically signi cant di erences in respect of gender and IPVA subjective impact: young women were more likely than young men to attribute a negative impact (feeling scared and upset) to their experiences of victimisation whilst young men were more likely to state an a rmative only impact (thought it was funny) or report no e ect. Similarly, in New Zealand, Jackson, Cram, and Seymour (2000) found that girls reported more negative emotional responses to their experiences of IPVA than boys. Correspondingly, research in the US has shown similar associations between gender and impact, including the impact of physical injury (Hamby et al. 2012, Foshee 1996; 2001; Molidor and Tolman 1998). A review of the mental health impacts of IPVA (Barter and Stanley 2016) found that young women aged 18 and under generally reported greater adverse health outcomes, including depression and eating disorders, compared to young men.

Alongside prevalence and impact, research has sought to identify risk factors associated with both victimisation and perpetration of IPVA in young people's relationships. The evidence has consistently shown that young people with a history of familial domestic violence, child abuse or punitive parenting are at greater risk of IPVA victimisation and perpetration in their own intimate relationships (Temple et al. 2013; Hérbert et al. 2019; Park and Kim 2018). Family violence represents one of the most consistently identi ed risk factors for adolescent IPVA, although most studies are based in North America (Park and Kim 2018). In a systematic review of research on factors associated with digital IPVA Hellevik (2018) found that previous exposure to IPV was the most frequent factor in the reviewed studies. The review also found that the vast majority of included studies (70%) were conducted in the USA.

Two theories have been widely drawn upon to explain this associations: Social Leaning Theory (SLT) and Attachment Theory. SLT argues that observation of violence in the family or peer groups creates attitudes, concepts and norms about how to behave within intimate relationships and an associated acceptability of violence within speci c contexts (Corvo and deLara 2010). Attachment Theory asserts that family violence or punitive parenting styles can in uence the development of negative patterns of social behaviour during childhood which can then be transferred to adolescent intimate relationships (Bowlby 1969). It is argued that an absence of close and supportive relationships in childhood may result in insecure attachments in later intimate relationships (Rapoza and Baker 2008), characterised by fearful, anxious and mistrusting behaviour (Stonard 2016). Di erent attachment traits may therefore result in a fear of intimacy or obsession and extreme jealousy and anger (Bowlby 1984). However, we also know that many young people who experience family violence do not experience violence in their own relationships, thus other moderating factors come into play, such as wider social beliefs and attitudes to violence (Temple et al. 2013; Pease and Flood 2008). A recent review (Radford et al. 2019) highlights the complexity of the relationship between childhood exposure to domestic violence and subsequent victimisation or perpetration and argues for the signi cance of gender and socio-ecological factors.

Evidence indicates adolescents who bully their peers may also act in similar ways with their intimate partners (Miller et al. 2013; Park and Kim 2018). The meta-analysis by Gracia-Leiva et al. (2019) found an association between being physically, psychologically or cyber bullied by peers or bullying and an increased risk of IPVA perpetration for young people aged 12–26. Longitudinal studies have shown that bullying behaviour precedes physical intimate violence perpetration for both females and males (Foshee et al. 2014, 2016) and therefore constitutes a risk factor for later IPVA. Lastly, children who bully can also be victims themselves (Olweus 1978), and Foshee et al. (2016) found that being both a 'bully' and a 'bully-victim' was associated with increased risks of physical IPVA perpetration. A conceptual overlap has been suggested to explain this association (Miller et al. 2013; Falb et al. 2011), based on the premise that perpetration of di erent forms of violence has a common background in the desire to exercise power and control over others (Falb et al. 2011) as well as the existence of inequitable attitudes and peer norms (Reyes et al. 2016).

Risks for both victimisation and perpetration include having friends who have experienced IPVA victimisation, perpetrated IPVA and/or associating with peers who are generally anti-social, aggressive or violent (Arriaga and Foshee 2004; Garthe et al. 2017; Park and Kim 2018, Hérbert et al. 2017, Gracia-Leiva et al. 2019); Conversely, having pro-social friends and peers can act as a protective factor (Loeb, Deardor, and Lahi 2014, Garthe et al. 2017). Several studies suggest that peer behavioural norms are suciently in uential to a ect individual adolescent relationship IPVA behaviours (Leen et al. 2013; Gracia-Leiva et al. 2019). The Social Norm Approach (SNA) theorises that people have mistaken perceptions of the attitudes and behaviour of others and that the prevalence of risky behaviour is usually overestimated, while protective pro-social behaviours are underestimated (Berkowitz 2005). This may justify and increase risky behaviour as well as the likelihood of an individual remaining silent about any harm caused and thereby reinforcing social tolerance.

Linked to the above, research has shown that negative gendered attitudes and associated roles are key risk factors in understanding IPVA victimisation and perpetration. Young people who normalise or support the use of intimate violence through the endorsement of negative gender attitudes, including the rape myth (women and girls are to blame for sexual violence due to 'leading boys and men on') or validate victim blaming discourses, are more at risk of IPVA victimisation and instigation in their intimate relationships (Reyes et al. 2016; McCauley et al. 2013; Foshee et al. 2001; Wolfe et al. 2004; Temple et al. 2013). The Theory of Gender and Power (TGP) originally developed by Connell (1987), hypothesises that exposure to traditional beliefs around gendered cultural norms associated with scripts of hegemonic masculinity (active, controlling, embodied) and femininity (passive; vulnerable, disembodied) reinforces IPVA behaviour. Furthermore, research has shown that young people who hold attitudes which condone IPVA, 4 🔄 C. BARTER ET AL.

and speci cally male violence to women, are themselves more likely to use violence and abuse in their own relationship or experience IPVA themselves (author's own). However, these attitudes are themselves underpinned by wider structural mechanisms which seek to support IPVA through institutionalised gender-based inequalities (Pease and Flood 2008, Authors own 2018).

In addition, recent studies have indicated that watching online pornography may reinforce negative attitudes towards girls and women especially in relation to supporting the acceptability of male sexual aggression (Jochen and Valkenburg 2016). The impact of online pornography consumption on young people's IPVA behaviour has been insu - ciently examined, and studies exploring this association have focused exclusively on sexual coercion (Stanley et al. 2016; Jochen and Valkenburg 2016).

Overall, studies on adolescent IPVA risk factors have generally concentrated on a limited number of associated factors and mainly, although not exclusively, addressed physical and sexual forms of abuse. Gracia-Leiva et al. (2019) argues from their metaanalysis that future research should seek to analyse how these risk factors are interrelated and to study their accumulative e ects. They also identify a need for studies to be conducted outside North America arguing that research is required to explore the association between cultural di erences and IPVA risks, especially in Latin America and Europe. In response to this gap in understanding, this paper will explore a range of factors associated with young men's and young women's perpetration of physical, sexual and emotional (both online and face-to-face) IPVA, based on a European sample, and thereby provide new insights to inform the development of more e ective prevention and intervention strategies.

The current study

Methodology

The Safeguarding Teenage Relationships (STIR) study included a school-based crosssection survey of 4564 adolescents and in-depth interviews with 100 young people in England, Italy, Norway, Bulgaria and Cyprus. The study explored young people's online and face-to-face experiences of IPVA and associated risk factors. A young people's advisory group was convened in each country to comment on all aspects of the study from design of the research questions, instruments, analysis, outputs and dissemination including presenting conference papers (see (authors own) for a fuller explanation) This paper concentrates on young people's survey responses to questions concerning IPVA perpetration and associated risk factors and does not include young people's experiences of IPVA victimisation which has been addressed in previous papers (authors own).

Sample

The ve European countries were selected to provide a wide geographical spread as well as diversity in levels of gender equality. We used the European Institute for Gender Equality Index (2013) to ensure our sample contained countries with high levels (England and Norway) and lower levels (Bulgaria, Cyprus and Italy) of gender equality as measured by

this European instrument. Although we aimed to achieve a representative sample in each country this was not always possible due to recruitment di culties.

The paper survey was administered in 45 schools across ve countries. A variety of recruitment strategies were employed including using the research teams current school contacts, snowballing and random emailing of schools across regions. A total of 4564 young people aged 14–17 completed the survey, with mean ages ranging between 14.7 years in England and 15.3 in Italy. Although we recognise that IPVA can be experienced at a younger age (Gadd et al. 2015), we were unable to gain approval in some countries to include participants below the age of 14 and it was therefore decided to implement a higher age threshold to ensure consistency. In each country, approximately 1000 participants completed the survey except in Cyprus where, due to the smaller population size, 500 young people took part. An equal number of males and females participated except in Italy where slightly more boys completed the survey than girls.

Almost three-quarters of survey participants reported having had at least one relationship and this did not di er substantially between countries. The analyses presented in this paper are based on the sub-sample of 3277 young people who said they had been in a relationship.

Ethics

Young people gave their written and informed consent before completing the con dential survey. Parents received an information sheet and a consent form regarding the study. Care was taken to ensure that students worked on the questionnaires individually and without being overseen. Young people were informed they could stop at any time, without providing a reason. They could either leave the room, or if they preferred, remain and complete a quiz at the end of the survey until the session ended. After the eldwork researchers stayed in the school so that young people could talk to them in private if they wished. All young people were given a lea et containing contact details for relevant support services and school counsellors. Ethical scrutiny and approval were provided by the relevant University Ethics Committee.

IPVA measures

Measures were developed in collaboration with the young people's advisory groups. All measures were included in a single paper survey, translated into the required languages. The survey incorporated questions about experiences of both perpetration and victimisation in relation to di erent forms of IPVA and their impacts. The measures used were based on previous studies of young people's experiences of IPVA (Barter et al. 2009; Radford et al. 2011) and a shorter version of the measure has been independently validated (Yakubovich et al. 2019).

Three types of IPVA were included: emotional violence (either online or o ine); physical violence; and sexual violence. Although we asked young people about their experiences of sending and/or receiving sexual images, including if they had felt pressured, unfortunately we did not ask about young people's perpetration of online sexual violence in a way which could be included in this analysis (see Wood et al 2015). A range of questions were used to measure each form of abuse and responses were combined to produce an overall measure for each abuse type. For each question young people could respond: never; once; a few times or often. To consolidate sample size for analysis we used a binary category of no/yes for perpetration. We also asked young people why they had acted in this way however due to signi cant missing responses we were unable to analyse this data. Young people self-reported their use of violence. We acknowledge that the under-reporting of violence perpetration is likely to have been an issue due to social desirability (Sugarman and Hotaling 1997). However, despite this, a relatively high proportion of young people reported using violence or abuse against a partner (see Table 1). Overall, the probability of identifying as a perpetrator increased with age from 33% at the age of 13–59% at the age of 17. Perpetration was most common in Italy (62%, n = 525), followed by Bulgaria (51%, n = 320), and Cyprus (48%, n = 240) with the lowest perpetration rates observed in Norway (26% n = 139).

Most young people reported an opposite sex partner only. Just over 1% of young women and 1% of young men had a same sex partners only (n = 35 and 33 respectively), a smaller proportion reported both male and female partners. Unfortunately, the numbers prohibited separate analysis for this group.

Emotional abuse was the most commonly reported form of abuse used by young people. The measure considered both online and face-to-face (o -line) aspects of abuse. Young people were asked whether they had ever engaged in a range of behaviours. Questions about face-to-face abuse included: Have you ever ... 'put your partners down in a nasty way; shouted at your partners/ screamed in their face/ called them names; said negative things to your partners about their appearance/body/friends/family; and, threatened to hurt any of your partners physically'. The questions about online behaviour asked whether the participant had ever ... ' put your partner down in a nasty way or sent them nasty messages online or via mobile phones; ever posted nasty messages about your partners online that others could see; ever sent your partner threatening messages online or by mobile phones; used mobile phones or social networking sites to try and control who your partner can be friends with or where they can go; constantly checked up on what your partners have been doing / who they have been seeing; used mobile phones or social networking sites to stop your partner's friends liking them'. Thus, we sought to understand the ways in which young people attempt to restrict and control their intimate partners' movements across both physical and virtual spaces. As measures of online forms of abuse are under-developed, we calculated the Cronbach alpha to con rm that the items formed a reliable scale which they did (Cronbach alpha = 0.81).

To summarise, questions about face-to-face behaviour identi ed acts of direct criticism and abuse, while those addressing online activity primarily re ect coercively controlling

		No		Yes	
		%	N=	%	N=
Emotional violence	Male	62%	1044	38%	645
	Female	55%	846	45%	684
Physical violence	Male	94%	1600	6%	107
,	Female	90%	1382	10%	158
Sexual violence	Male	80%	1347	20%	330
	Female	96%	1449	4%	66

Table 1. Young people's self-reports of perpetrating interpersonal violence and abuse.

behaviours (Stark 2009) and surveillance. Overall, 45% of young women and 38% of young men reported perpetrating emotional abuse.

Physical violence perpetration was measured using two questions: 'Have you ever used physical force such as slapping, pushing, hitting or holding them down?' and 'Have you ever used more severe physical force such as punching, strangling, beating them up, hitting them with an object?'. The proportions reporting the use of physical violence were substantially lower compared to emotional IPVA but still substantial: 10% of girls and 6% of boys had used physical force against a partner. However, a di erent gender picture emerges when we look at the use of severe physical force only where 1.2% of girls and 2.8% of boys reported using this level of violence.

Finally, sexual violence perpetration was measured by four questions which addressed both emotional pressure and physical force (Kelly 1987). Participants were asked: 'Have you ever pressured any of your partners into kissing or intimate touching'; 'forced any of your partners'; and intimate touching'; 'pressured any of your partners into having sexual intercourse'; and 'forced any of your partners into having sexual intercourse'; Findings showed a marked gender pattern; Young men were more likely to identify as instigators of sexual violence compared to young women (20% and 4% respectively). The sample size for young women (n = 66) prohibited further analysis in respect of this group.

Overall, and re ecting previous research, young women were slightly more likely than young men to report having ever used physical or emotional violence against their partner(s) whilst young men reported much higher levels of sexual perpetration. However, we need to acknowledge that the gendered impact of IPVA remains pertinent when viewing prevalence rates for IPVA.

Associated risk factors

We examined two main sets of risk factors: The rst set measured young people's individual experiences of childhood violence and abuse while the second set explored young people's wider experiences and attitudes. For this analysis, the second set of measures included gender attitudes and regular consumption of online pornography. All of the above factors are often correlational rather than causal and some may be indicative of various in uences.

Set 1: Wider experiences of childhood violence and abuse

To measure family violence, participants were asked whether any adults in their household 'regularly used physical force (punching, hitting, slapping)' or 'constant name calling/shouting' against the respondent, other children and/or other adults in their household. If participants reported any physical or emotional violence against any member of the household, then the young person was de ned as living in a violent household.

To measure peer violence, respondents were asked if they had ever been bullied and if they have ever bullied anyone else. Due to classroom time restraints, we did not ask any wider questions on the form or severity of the bullying or wider experiences of peer violence. Following the bullying literature (Olweus 1978), young people were classi ed into four sub-groups: not a bully or a victim; bully only; victim only; and bully and victim. Lastly, 8 🔄 C. BARTER ET AL.

in this section we asked participants if their friends used aggression or intimidation against other young people. Young people could respond: yes; no; or don't know.

Set 2: Attitudes and wider experiences

To measure gender attitudes, participants were asked to what extent they agreed with the following statements:

For the most important jobs it is better to choose a man than a woman; Women lead men on sexually and then complain about the attention they get; and It is sometimes acceptable for a man to hit a woman if she has been unfaithful.

The responses were collected using a ve-points Likert scale from 'agree a lot' to 'disagree a lot'. A summative index of gendered attitudes was created by accumulating the answers to three questions. Respondents were classi ed into three groups according to whether they displayed mainly positive (egalitarian), neutral or negative gender attitudes. The aim was to create groups large enough to enable statistical analysis while providing a good representation of the data. The groupings also re ect the fact that the summative index was positively skewed: around 35% of the respondents disagreed or strongly disagreed with all three sentences (creating a summative value of below 6), although the results did di er across countries with England and Norway reporting less agreement with the statements, perhaps re ecting the high genderequality levels of these countries. Young people scoring 6 or below were categorised as having positive/egalitarian gender attitudes. In contrast, values above 10 re ect agreement with at least one statement and were categorised as indicating negative gender attitudes.

Using di erent index thresholds did not signi cantly alter the results. Descriptive analysis showed that, across countries, higher values in the index were associated with an increased probability of IPVA instigation, for both girls and boys. The index has already been shown to be a useful predictor of sexual IPVA (Wood et al. 2015). It is also recognised that these norms and beliefs are further mediated by wider inequalities based on race, ethnicity, culture, religion, socio-economic background and disability (Authors own 2015). However, due to our small sample size, we were not able to include these variables in this analysis.

Lastly, we asked participants about their consumption of online pornography. As many young people may inadvertently or occasionally view online pornography, participants were asked 'if they regularly watched online pornography'. Boys (45%) were much more likely to be regular pornography viewers than girls (5%).

Analysis

Logistic regression was used to explore the risk factors associated with perpetrating violence and abuse in teenage intimate relationships. Logistic regression allows for the exploration of predictors of violence while controlling for the impact of other known predictors. This is important given that the predictors of violence often overlap. For example, there is a positive association between violence in the household and negative gender attitudes. In addition to the variables listed above, age and country of residence are used as control variables.¹

The regressions are based on pooled data for the ve countries included in the study. The decision to pool the data in a single regression was undertaken to maximise the sample size. This was justi able as the predictors of IPVA were largely consistent across countries.²

Limitations

The cross-sectional survey design means we can only demonstrate associations and not causation as we cannot ascertain the direction of the relationship identi ed; we do not know if the factors included in our analysis predicted or followed IPVA perpetration. Longitudinal data would allow the testing of these e ects; in the absence of such data, the interpretation o ered relies on existing theory in the eld. Nevertheless, we can say if factors are associated with increased levels of IPVA perpetration. We were also unable to gain a representative sample across all countries and we cannot therefore assume that our sample breakdowns match adolescent populations in each country.

Findings

This section presents the results from the multivariate analyses. Unless otherwise stated, 'signi cance' means statistical signi cance. Table 2 shows the results of a set of logistic regressions that assess the probability of being a perpetrator of physical and emotional violence for boys and girls, as well as sexual violence for boys. The table shows odds ratios, which compare the conditional probability³ of being a perpetrator against the probability of not being a perpetrator. An odds ratio above one indicates that a factor is associated with increased probability of being a perpetrator, while values below one indicates a reduced risk.

Young people's wider experiences of violence

The results from the logistic regression support the association between IPVA and violence in other spheres of young people's lives. Being exposed to violence in the household showed a positive signi cant association with perpetration of IPVA across all IPVA types for both genders (OR between 2.2 and 2.79). Additional tests (not shown) indicated that the e ect persisted regardless of whether the young person was a victim of violent behaviour (child abuse) or if violence was directed towards other members of the household (domestic violence). Thus, both direct victimisation and exposure to family violence were associated with all forms of IPVA perpetration in young people's relationships.

Violence against peers was captured through two variables, bullying and aggressive friendship groups. While there was some correlation between these variables, including both allowed for a more nuanced examination of peer e ects. Being a bully or a bully-victim was associated with increased probability of being a perpetrator with some di erences by gender. For male respondents being a bully or a bully-victim was associated with increased probability of a bully or a bully-victim was associated with increased probability of a bully or a bully-victim was associated with increased probability of a bully or a bully-victim was associated with increased probability of a bully or a bully-victim was associated with increased probability of emotional (OR = 1.62 bully, 2.48 bully-victim), physical (OR 2.15,

C. BARTER ET AL.

		Male		Female	
	Physical	Emotional	Sexual	Physical	Emotional
Age(ref:14)					
15	0.99	1.48*	1.2	1.45	1.67**
	[0.54,1.83]	[1.09,2.02]	[0.81,1.76]	[0.85,2.49]	[1.22,2.28]
16	1.24	1.84***	1.11	1.65	2.20**
	[0.66,2.33]	[1.34,2.53]	[0.74,1.65]	[0.96,2.85]	[1.59,3.06]
17	2.29*	3.44***	1.24	2.00*	2.04**
	[1.07,4.90]	[2.21,5.35]	[0.72,2.13]	[1.05,3.78]	[1.36,3.07]
Gender attitudes (ref: egalita	,				
Neutral	1.03	1.03	1.39	0.96	1.66**
	[0.47,2.26]	[0.75,1.42]	[0.91,2.13]	[0.66,1.41]	[1.31,2.10]
Negative	3.16**	1.73**	2.87***	1.1	1.47
<i></i>	[1.47,6.83]	[1.21,2.47]	[1.81,4.56]	[0.56,2.17]	[0.90,2.39]
Violence in the					
household	2.79***	2.20***	2.39***	0/1***	0/1**
	2.7.7			2.61***	2.61**
lieuring Donnography	[1.77,4.42]	[1.64,2.94]	[1.71,3.33]	[1.77,3.84]	[1.98,3.42]
/iewing Pornography	1 00	1.41**	2.46***	1.02	1.02
	1.28			1.03	1.03
Bullying (ref: no bullying)	[0.78,2.09]	[1.11,1.79]	[1.80,3.34]	[0.54,1.99]	[0.63,1.66]
	1.97*	2.48***	17/*	1 70	1 20
Bully and victim	[1.04,3.74]	2.48 [1.71,3.59]	1.76* [1.12,2.76]	1.78 [0.98,3.24]	1.39 [0.89,2.15]
Only victim	1.48	1.28	1.22	[0.96,3.24]	1.14
	[0.73,3.01]	[0.91,1.81]	[0.78,1.91]	[0.92,2.20]	[0.87,1.50]
Only bully	2.15*	1.62**	1.60*	1.98*	2.63**
Only bully	[1.19,3.88]	[1.16,2.27]	[1.07,2.38]	[1.02,3.86]	[1.56,4.45]
Aggressive friends (ref:	[1.17,5.00]	[1.10,2.27]	[1.07,2.30]	[1.02, 3.00]	[1.30,4.43]
No)					
Yes	1.67	1.78***	1.49	1.26	1.67**
103	[0.95,2.94]	[1.29,2.46]	[1.00,2.23]	[0.75,2.14]	[1.16,2.39]
Do not know	0.68	1.42*	1.05	0.8	1.24
Do not know	[0.36,1.32]	[1.06,1.90]	[0.73,1.52]	[0.48,1.34]	[0.93,1.67]
Country (ref: Bulgaria)	[0:00/1:02]	[1100/11/0]	[01/0/1102]		[0170/1107]
Cyprus	0.88	1.11	0.97	0.94	1.42
5)p. 40	[0.40,1.93]	[0.72,1.69]	[0.56,1.68]	[0.51,1.73]	[0.93,2.17]
England	0.91	0.69	0.48*	0.62	0.95
g	[0.40,2.07]	[0.45,1.07]	[0.26,0.89]	[0.32,1.18]	[0.62,1.46]
Italy	1.68	2.60***	5.62***	0.59	2.18**
·· ,	[0.90,3.13]	[1.81,3.73]	[3.58,8.82]	[0.30,1.15]	[1.42,3.34]
Norway	1	0.45***	0.36**	0.43*	0.61*
	[0.43,2.33]	[0.28,0.72]	[0.18,0.74]	[0.22,0.88]	[0.39,0.95]
Sample size	1599	1585	1581	1458	1448
Mc Fadden R ²	0.16	0.15	0.22	0.08	0.10

Table 2. Logistic regressions.	Instigation of	violence in intimate	relationships.	by gender	(odds ratio).

Note: Odds ratio; 95% con dence intervals in brackets. Signi cance: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

1.97 respectively) and sexual violence (OR 1.6, 1.79 respectively) perpetration. For young women, being a bully was associated with increased probability of perpetration of physical (OR 1.98) and emotional (OR 2.63) violence, but no association was found for bullyvictims. No signi cant e ects were found for victims of bullying.

Having aggressive friendship groups was associated with an increased probability of perpetrating IPVA.⁴ Boys whose friends were aggressive towards others or who 'don't know' were signi cantly more likely to perpetrate emotional violence (OR 1.78 and 1.42 respectively). The e ect was also signi cant for girls; having aggressive friends was associated with increased probability of emotional IPVA perpetration (OR 1.67). Although, for

10

boys, a positive association was also identied for physical and sexual IPVA, this was not at a statistically signicant level.

Young people's general experiences and attitudes

Young people, irrespective of gender, who reported negative gender attitudes were more likely to have been involved in IPVA perpetration. Signi cant e ects were observed for male respondents who expressed the most negative gender attitudes (i.e. agreeing with at least one negative statemen); OR physical 3.16, sexual 2.87, emotional 1.73. Young men exhibiting neutral gender attitudes were generally not at higher risk of perpetration than those with egalitarian attitudes: although a positive association was found for sexual violence perpetration and neutral attitudes this was not statistically signi cant. Young women exhibiting neutral gender attitudes (i.e. who did not fully disagree with the statements) were more likely to report perpetration of emotional violence (OR 1.66). The limited number of girls who reported negative gender attitudes meant that the association, while positive, was not statistically signi cant.

Amongst boys, regularly watching pornography was associated with increased probability of perpetrating emotional (OR 1.41) and notably sexual (OR 2.46) IPVA. The coecient for physical violence was positive but not signi cant. Given the low levels of pornography consumption reported by young women, it was to be expected that no signi cant association was found.

Discussion

Our ndings add to the evidence base on risk factors and IPVA perpetration in young people's relationships. However, we need to reiterate here that our cross-sectional design can only demonstrate associations and not causation. Nevertheless, a key strength of the study was the inclusion of a wide range of IPVA behaviours, including emotional and online forms of abuse. Although, the intersection of risk factors di ered by gender it remains clear that no single factor is allied with IPVA perpetration. It is evident that young people's perpetration of IPVA is a complex and multifaceted issue and young people require di erential levels of support depending on the risks they encounter.

Consistent with other studies, family violence and abuse were central factors associated with perpetration of IPVA (Barter et al. 2009; Temple et al. 2013; Hérbert et al. 2019, Garthe et al. 2017; Park and Kim 2018). However, our research has provided new insights through measuring the association with three discrete forms of IPVA as well as exploring perpetration by both males and females. Family violence was signi cantly associated with all forms of IPVA perpetration irrespective of gender; representing one of only two risk factors associated with girls' use of physical violence. Our ndings resonate with social learning theory which argues that violence within the family home may contribute to children and young people learning that violence and abuse are acceptable or normalised aspect of intimate relationships and may seek to replicate these behaviours in their own intimate interactions (Heilman and Barker 2018). However, this is one factor among others and not every child who experiences family violence will also go on to initiate violence in their own relationships. Amongst male respondents, being a bully or a bully-victim was associated with increased probability of being a perpetrator of all forms of IPVA. In contrast, for female respondents the only signi cant association was in respect to being a bully and emotional IPVA. Numerous studies have identi ed di erences between bullies and bully-victims with most nding that, for bully-victims, victimisation predates their use of bullying behaviours (Olweus 1978). Bully-victims compared to bullies generally display de cits in emotional control, adjustment problems and self-regulation (Shetgiri 2013) and heightened levels of hostility (Olweus 1993). In contrast, Wolke et al. (2000) refer to bullies as 'cool manipulators' as their actions are intentional and instrumental in achieving their goals, constituting an e cacious social strategy (Perry, Perry, and Kennedy 1992).

Foshee et al. (2016) in their study of IPVA risk factors also identied similar associations across bullying categories although only in relation to physical IPVA. They also argue that bullies and bully-victims have greater risk of IPVA instigation than young people who are not involved in bullying although for di erent reasons: bully- victims because of their emotional stress and lack of self-control and bullies due to their ability to exert control and gain social status over peers and partners which serves to reinforce their wider social standing (Wolfe et al. 2004). Our ndings support this contention, although only in respect to boys as female bully-victims were not at a higher risk of IPVA perpetration. Female bully-victims may therefore restrict their negative behaviours to peers as, unlike boys, either they do not feel the need to replicate these behaviours with intimate partners or they are less able to do so, perhaps due to gendered scripts around hegemonic femininity and masculinity, continuously reinforced through institutionalised heteronormality (Renold and Ringrose 2008; Tolman, Davis, and Bowman 2016; Aghtaie et al. 2018). However, recent literature has identi ed a growing problem with adolescent female aggression and such work argues that, due to the historical focus on boys' negative behaviours, we know less about risk factors for girls (Cotter and Smokowski 2017).

Our research also identi ed that having aggressive peer groups was signi cantly associated with perpetration of emotional violence for both genders; although a positive association was found for physical and sexual violence, this was not statistically signi - cant. A possible explanation may be that young people who perpetrate IPVA seek out peers who provide a conducive environment in which aggression and coercion are viewed as an acceptable mechanism to achieve social status. Alternatively, young people whose peer groups act aggressively may seek to align themselves with these behavioural and attitudinal norms, including in their intimate relationships. Irrespective of the direction of the association, group norms which position harmful behaviour as both acceptable and widespread require attention. It follows that e ective interventions, especially for severe forms of IPVA perpetration, need to target young people's wider peer norms alongside delivering individual interventions that address past experiences of violence and harm (Firmin 2017).

However, we also know that attitudes and beliefs play an important, although contested, role in mediating these risk factors (Pease and Flood 2008). Our results, re ecting earlier studies (Foshee et al. 2001; Wolfe et al. 2004), showed an association between gendered attitudes and IPVA; where those with less egalitarian attitudes, regardless of gender, were more likely to report IPVA perpetration (girls only for emotional violence). This supports theoretical accounts of the ways in which beliefs which support gender inequality, hegemonic masculinity and perpetration of intimate violence intersect (Heilman and Barker 2018; Aghtaie et al. 2018) As noted earlier our analysis showed that countries with lower levels of gender equality (Bulgaria, Cyprus and Italy) reported higher levels of IPVA Perpetration. This calls for wider structural determinates of IPVA, in this instance gender inequality, to be acknowledged and challenged in both policy and practice aimed at combating IPVA.

Lastly, our ndings also showed how wider in uences, in this instance regular consumption of online pornography, were signi cantly associated with emotional and sexual IPVA perpetration by boys. As we have previously argued in relation to sexual IPVA instigation (Wood et al. 2015), pornography's misogynistic and violent depictions of sexual interactions, which often position women as passive sexualised subjects (Brunskell-Evans 2017), may be in uencing boys' sexual expectations, norms and beliefs. We found in a separate analysis that boys who reported the most negative gendered beliefs were more likely to consume pornography than boys who held more egalitarian attitudes towards women (Stanley et al. 2016). This study has shown that this association was also signi cant for emotional IPVA perpetration (and nearly signi cant for physical instigation), indicating that beliefs around the acceptability of derogatory language and controlling behaviour may be reinforced through viewing online pornography. However, we need to be tentative as we cannot determine from our design if regular consumption of pornography contributes to the use of intimate violence or if young people who already use violence then consume pornography as this serves to normalise their abusive behaviour.

Conclusion

The interplay of factors associated with childhood experience of violence alongside beliefs and attitudes which normalise or support IPVA has been identi ed in our analysis. This intersection of factors indicates that a single theoretical framework will be insu cient to understand the complexity of this issue. Instead, multiple theoretical perspectives need to be knitted together (Kalmar and Sternberg 1988), for example, integrating the sociology of childhood and theories of gender and power (Barter et al. 2009), to enable the complexity of these factors to be understood across young people's lives and to inform an socio-ecological approach to IPVA prevention and intervention.

IPVA prevention and, to a lesser extent, intervention programmes for young people have increased over the past two decades. However, we need to ensure that the complexity of risks situated across young people's lives are recognised and addressed in these programmes. Given the strong association found between di erent forms of violence and abuse, programme developers need to recognise that cross-cutting approaches which seek to prevent a range of negative behaviours, for example bullying, peer community violence and IPVA, maybe more e ective than isolated prevention strategies (DeGue et al. 2013; Flay et al. 2004). This underpins the need to also recognise how wider structural gender inequalities intersect with IPVA perpetration, necessitating the need for population-based prevention programmes.

However, what is also evident is that some young people who experience multiple and overlapping risk factors will require more intensive support and these are unlikely to be e ectively addressed through general prevention programmes which commonly concentrate on knowledge attribution and attitudinal change (Barter et al. 2009; Gadd et al. 14 😉 C. BARTER ET AL.

2015). We also need to remember that the impact of IPVA di ers by gender; girls experience more severe forms of violence with greater negative outcomes compared to boys. This di erence, alongside the interconnection of gendered risks, needs to be acknowledged and explored in programmes if young people are to perceive them as authentic and appropriate.

Notes

- This allows accounting by the fact that the probability of having been a perpetrator tends to increase with age, partially re ecting the fact that older teenagers will have, on average, experienced more and probably longer relationships compared to their younger peers. Country dummies allow controlling by the fact that incidence rates vary across countries.
- 2. These can be provided on request.
- 3. Conditional on the other variables included in the model.
- 4. There is some collinearity between bullying and having aggressive friends. When bullying is not included in the regression the association between having aggressive friends and IPVA perpetration is signi cant for all forms of violence.

Disclosure statement

No potential con ict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by the European Commission's Directorate General Justice Daphne III Programme grant reference JUST/2011/DAP/AG/3330

ORCID

Carolina Överlien D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6856-3422

References

- Arriaga, X. B., and V. A. Foshee. 2004. "Adolescent Dating Violence: Do Adolescents Follow in Their Friends', or Their Parents', Footsteps?" Journal of Interpersonal Violence 19 (2): 162–184.
- Barter, C., M. McCarry, D Berridge, and K. Evans. 2009. "Partner Exploitation and Violence in Teenage Intimate Relationships, London, NSPCC. or's own".
- Barter, C., and N. Stanley. 2016. "Inter-personal Violence and Abuse in Adolescent Intimate Relationships: Mental Health Impact and Implications for Practice" International Review of Psychiatry 28 (5): 485–503.
- Barter, C., N. Stanley, M. Wood, A. Lanau, N. Aghtaie, C. Larkins, and C. Øverlien. 2017. "Young People's Online and Face-to-Face Experiences of Interpersonal Violence and Abuse and their Subjective Impact Across Five European Countries." Psychology of Violence 7 (3): 375–384.
- Berkowitz, A. D. 2005. "An Overview of the Social Norms Approach." In Changing the Culture of College Drinking: A Socially Situated Prevention Campaign, edited by L. Lederman, L. Stewart, F. Goodhart, and L. Laitman, 193–214. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press.

Bowlby, J. 1969. Attachment and Loss: Vol 2. Separation: Anxiety and Anger. New York: Basic Books. Bowlby, J. 1984. "Violence in the Family as a Disorder of the Attachment and Caregiving Systems."

The American Journal of Psychoanalysis 4 (1): 9–27.

- Brunskell-Evans, H. 2017. Internet Pornography: Disciplining Women Through Sexual 'Freedom'. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Connell, R. W. 1987. Gender and Power: Society, the Person, and Sexual Politics. Stanford: Stanford University Press.
- Corvo, K., and E. deLara. 2010. "Towards an Integrated Theory of Relational Violence: Is Bullying a Risk Factor for Domestic Violence?" Aggression and Violent Behaviour 15 (3): 181–190.
- Cotter, K. L., and P. R. Smokowski. 2017. "An Investigation of Relational Risk and Promotive Factors Associated with Adolescent Female Aggression." Child Psychiatry & Human Development 48: 754– 767.
- DeGue, S., G. M. Massetti, M. K. Holt, A. T. Tharp, L. A. Valle, J. L. Matjasko, and C. Lippy. 2013. "Identifying Links Between Sexual Violence and Youth Violence Perpetration: New Opportunities for Sexual Violence Prevention." Psychology of Violence 3: 140–156.
- European Institute for Gender Equality. 2013. The Gender Equality Index Report. http://eige.europa. eu/rdc/eige-publications/gender-equality-index-2015-measuring-gender-equality-europeanunion-2005-2012-report.
- Falb, K. L., H. L. McCauley, M. R. Decker, J. Gupta, A. Raj, and J. G. Silverman. 2011. "School Bullying Perpetration and Other Childhood Risk Factors as Predictors of Adult Intimate Partner Violence Perpetration." Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine 165 (10): 890–894.
- Firmin, C. 2017. Abuse Between Young People: A Contextual Account. Abingdon: Routledge.
- Flay, B. R., S. Graumlich, E. Segawa, J. L. Burns, M. Y. Holliday, and Aban Aya Investigators. 2004.
 "E ects of two Prevention Programs on High-Risk Behaviors among African-American Youth: A Randomized Trial." Archives of Pediatric & Adolescent Medicine 158: 377–384.
- Foshee, V. A. 1996. "Gender Di erences in Adolescent Dating Abuse Prevalence, Types and Injuries." Health Education Research 11 (3): 275–286.
- Foshee, V. A., T. S. Bene eld, M. Reyes, H. Luz, M. Eastman, A. M. Vivolo-Kantor, and R. Faris. 2016. "Examining Explanations for the Link Between Bullying Perpetration and Physical Dating Violence Perpetration: do They Vary by Bullying Victimization?" Aggressive Behavior 42 (1): 66–81.
- Foshee, V. A., F. Linder, J. MacDougall, and S. Bangdiwala. 2001. "Gender Di erences in the Longitudinal Predictors of Adolescent Dating Violence." Preventive Medicine 32 (2): 128–141.
- Foshee, V. A., H. L. Macnaughton-Reyes, A. M. Vivolo-Kantor, K. C. Basile, L. Chang, R. Faris, and S. T. Ennett. 2014. "Bullying as a Longitudinal Predictor of Adolescent Dating Violence'." Journal of Adolescent Health 55 (3): 439–444.
- Gadd, D., C. Fox, M.-L. Corr, I. Butler, and S. Alger. 2015. Young Men and Domestic Abuse. London: Routledge.
- Garthe, R. C., T. N. Sullivan, and M. A. McDaniel. 2017. "A Meta-Analytic Review of Peer Risk Factors and Adolescent Dating Violence." Psychology of Violence 7: 45–57.
- Gracia-Leiva, M., A. Puente-Martínez, S. Ubillos-Landa, and D. Páez-Rovira. 2019. "Dating Violence (DV): a Systematic Meta-Analysis Review." Anales De Psicología / Annals of Psychology 35 (2): 300–313.
- Hamby, S., K. Nix, J. De Puy, and S. Monnier. 2012. "Adapting Dating Violence Prevention to Francophone Switzerland: A Story of Intra-Western Cultural Di erences." Violence and Victims 27 (1): 33–42.
- Heilman, B., and G. Barker. 2018. Masculine Norms and Violence: Making the Connections. Washington, DC: Promundo-US. https://promundoglobal.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/ Masculine-Norms-and-Violence-Making-the-Connection-20180424.pdf.
- Hellevik, P. 2018. "Digital Intimate Partner Violence and Abuse Among Youth: A Systematic Review of Associated Factors." In Responding to Domestic Violence Emerging Challenges for Policy, Practice and Research in Europe, edited by S. Holt, C. Øverlien, and J. Devaney, 192–214. Jessica Kingsley Publishers.
- Hellevik, P., C. Øverlien, C. Barter, M. Wood, N. Aghtaie, C. Larkins, and N. Stanley. 2015. "Traversing the Generational Gap: Young People's Views on Intervention and Prevention of Teenage Intimate Partner Violence." In Domestic Violence and Protecting Children: New Thinking and Approaches. edited by N. Stanley & C. Humphreys, 34–38. London and Philadelphia: Jessica Kingsley Publishers.

16 👄 C. BARTER ET AL.

- Hérbert, M., M. E. Daspe, A. Lapierre, N. Godbout, M. Blais, M. Fernet, and F. Lavoie. 2019. "A Meta-Analysis of Risk and Protective Factors for Dating Violence Victimization: The Role of Family and Peer Interpersonal Context." Trauma, Violence and Abuse, 20 (4) 574–590.
- Jackson, S. M., F. Cram, and F. W. Seymour. 2000. "Violence and Sexual Coercion in High School Students' Dating Relationships." Journal of Family Violence 15 (1): 23–36.
- Jochen, P., and P. Valkenburg. 2016. "Adolescents and Pornography: A Review of 20 Years of Research." The Journal of Sex Research 53 (4-5): 509–553.
- Kalmar, D. A., and R. J. Sternberg. 1988. "Theory Knitting: An Integrative Approach to Theory Development." Philosophical Psychology 1 (2): 153–170.
- Kelly, L. 1987. "The Continuum of Sexual Violence." In Women, Violence and Social Control. Explorations in Sociology (British Sociological Association Conference Volume series), edited by J. Hanmer and M. Maynard, 46–59. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Leen, E., E. Sorbring, M. Mawer, E. Holdsworth, B. Helsing, and E. Bowen. 2013. "Prevalence, Dynamic Risk Factors and the E cacy of Primary Interventions for Adolescent Dating Violence: An International Review." Aggression and Violent Behaviour 18 (1): 159–174.
- Loeb, A., J. Deardor, and M. Lahi. 2014. "High Expectations Across Multiple Domains, Peer Norms, and Physical Dating Violence Among California Adolescents." Journal of Interpersonal Violence 29 (11): 2035–2053.
- McCauley, H. L., D. J. Tancredi, J. G. Silverman, M. R. Decker, S. B. Austin, M. C. McCormick, and E. Miller. 2013. "Gender-equitable Attitudes, Bystander Behavior, and Recent Abuse Perpetration Against Heterosexual Dating Partners of Male High School Athletes." American Journal of Public Health 103 (10): 1882–1887.
- Miller, S., J. Williams, S. Cutbush, D. Gibbs, M. Clinton-Sherrod, and S. Jones. 2013. "Dating Violence, Bullying, and Sexual Harassment: Longitudinal Pro les and Transitions Over Time." Journal of Youth and Adolescence 42 (4): 607–618.
- Molidor, C., and R. M. Tolman. 1998. "Gender and Contextual Factors in Adolescent Dating Violence." Violence Against Women 4 (2): 180–194.
- Olweus, D. 1978. Aggression in the Schools: Bullies and Whipping Boys. Washington: Hemisphere.
- Olweus, D. 1993. Bullying at School: What We Know and What We Can Do. Cambridge, MA: Blackwell Publishers.
- Park, S., and S. H. Kim. 2018. "The Power of Family and Community Factors in Predicting Dating Violence: A Meta-Analysis." Aggression and Violent Behavior 40: 19–28.
- Pease, B., and M. Flood. 2008. "Rethinking the Signi cance of Attitudes in Preventing Men's Violence Against Women." Australian Journal of Social Issues 43 (4): 547–561.
- Perry, D. G., L. C. Perry, and E. Kennedy. 1992. "Con ict and the Development of Antisocial Behaviour." In Con ict in Child and Adolescent Development, edited by C. U. Shantz and W. W. Hartup, 301–329. Cambridge University Press.
- Radford, L., S. Corral, C. Bradley, H. Fisher, C. Bassett, N. Howat, and S. Collishaw. 2011. Child Abuse and Neglect in the UK Today. London: NSPCC. https://www.nspcc.org.uk/globalassets/documents/ research-reports/child-abuse-neglect-uk-today-research-report.pdf.
- Radford, L., H. Richardson-Foster, P. Hargreaves, and J. Devaney. 2019. Research Review: Early Childhood and the 'Intergenerational Cycle of Domestic Violence'. London: NSPCC.
- Rapoza, K., and A. Baker. 2008. "Attachment Styles, Alcohol, and Childhood Experiences of Abuse: an Analysis of Physical Violence in Dating Couples." Violence and Victims 23 (1): 52–65.
- Renold, E., and J. Ringrose. 2008. "Regulation and Rupture: Mapping Tween and Teenage Girls' Resistance to the Heterosexual Matrix." Feminist Theory 9 (3): 313–338.
- Reyes, H. L. M., V. A. Foshee, P. H. Niolon, D. E. Reidy, and J. E. Hall. 2016. "Gender Role Attitudes and Male Adolescent Dating Violence Perpetration: Normative Beliefs as Moderators." Journal of Youth and Adolescence 45 (2): 350–360.
- Shetgiri, R. 2013. "Bullying and Victimization among Children." Advances in Pediatrics 60 (1): 33–51.
- Stanley, N., C. Barter, M. Wood, N. Aghtaie, C. Larkins, A. Lanau, and C. Overlien. 2016 "Pornography, Sexual Coercion and Abuse and Sexting in Young People's Intimate Relationships: A European Study." Journal of Interpersonal Violence 33 (19): 2919–2944.

- Stonard, K. E. 2016. "The Role of Technology in Adolescent Dating Violence and Abuse." Unpublished PhD thesis, Coventry University.
- Stonard, K., E. Bowen, T. Lawrence, and S. A. Price. 2014. "The Relevance of Technology to the Nature, Prevalence and Impact of Adolescent Dating Violence and Abuse: A Research Synthesis." Aggression and Violent Behavior 19 (4): 390–417.
- Sugarman, D. B., and G. T. Hotaling. 1997. "Intimate Violence and Social Desirability: A Meta–Analytic Review." Journal of Interpersonal Violence 12 (2): 275–290.
- Temple, J. R., R. C. Shorey, S. R. Tortolero, D. A. Wolfe, and G. L. Stuart. 2013. "Importance of Gender and Attitudes About Violence in the Relationship Between Exposure to Interparental Violence and the Perpetration of Teen Dating Violence." Child Abuse and Neglect 37 (5): 343–352.
- Tolman, D. L., B. R. Davis, and C. P. Bowman. 2016. "That's Just How It Is': A Gendered Analysis of Masculinity and Femininity Ideologies in Adolescent Girls' and Boys' Heterosexual Relationships." Journal of Adolescent Research 31 (1): 3–31.
- Wincentak, K., J. Connolly, and N. Card. 2017. "Teen Dating Violence: A Meta-Analytic Review of Prevalence Rates." Psychology of Violence 7: 224–241.
- Wolfe, D. A., C. Wekerle, K. Scott, A. L. Straatman, and C. Grasley. 2004. "Predicting Abuse in Adolescent Dating Relationships Over 1 Year: The Role of Child Maltreatment and Trauma." Journal of Abnormal Psychology 113 (3): 406–415.
- Wolke, D., S. Woods, L. Bloom eld, and L. Karstadt. 2000. "The Association Between Direct and Relational Bullying and Behaviour Problems among Primary School Children." Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry 41 (8): 989–1002.
- Wood, M., Barter, N. Stanley, C. Överlien et al. 2015. "Images Across Europe: The Sending and Receiving of Sexual Images (Sexting) and Associations with Intimate Partner Violence in Young People's Relationships." Youth Services Review 59: 149–160.
- Yakubovich, A. R., J. Heron, G. Feder, A. Fraser, and D. K. Humphreys. 2019. "Intimate Partner Violence Victimisation in Early Adulthood: Psychometric Properties of a New Measure and Gender Di erences in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children." British Medical Journal Open 9 (3).