

# Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Zinc Deficiency in Low and Middle Income Countries: Prevalence and Approaches for Mitigation
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/33657/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12791
Date	2020
Citation	Gupta, Swarnim, Brazier, Anna and Lowe, Nicola M (2020) Zinc Deficiency in Low and Middle Income Countries: Prevalence and Approaches for Mitigation. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics, 33 (5). pp. 624-643. ISSN 0952-3871
Creators	Gupta, Swarnim, Brazier, Anna and Lowe, Nicola M

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1111/jhn.12791

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

## INVITED REVIEW

# Zinc deficiency in low- and middle-income countries: prevalence and approaches for mitigation

Journal of

Human Nutrition

and Dietetics

S. Gupta, A. K. M. Brazier & N. M. Lowe 🝺

Lancashire Research Centre for Global Development, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK

### Keywords

deficiency, interventions, LMIC, micronutrient, strategies, zinc.

### Correspondence

N. M. Lowe, Nutritional Sciences, Lancashire Research Centre for Global Development, Faculty of Health and Wellbeing, 230 Darwin Building, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, UK PR1 2HE. Tel: +44 (0)1772 893599

Fax: +44 (0)1772 892929 E-mail: nmlowe@uclan.ac.uk

Received 13 January 2020; revised 14 April 2020; accepted 3 June 2020.

### How to cite this article

Gupta S., Brazier A.K.M. & Lowe N.M. (2020) Zinc deficiency in low- and middle-income countries: prevalence and approaches for mitigation. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. https://doi.org/10. 1111/jhn.12791

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Introduction

Zinc is ubiquitous to all biological systems and plays an exceptionally versatile role. Participation of zinc in various vital functions at cellular and subcellular levels can be classified under catalytic, structural and regulatory roles<sup>(1)</sup>, which are attributable to its physicochemical properties, including redox-inertness, as well as a flexible and dynamic coordination geometry<sup>(2)</sup>. Zinc is a component of more than 300 enzymes and numerous other proteins, and plays multiple roles in optimal nucleic acid and protein metabolism, cell growth and differentiation<sup>(3,4)</sup>. It is also involved in cell-mediated immunity<sup>(5,6)</sup>. The

### Abstract

This review addresses the prevalence of zinc deficiency in Low- and Middleincome Countries (LMICs) and assesses the available strategies for its alleviation. The paucity of national-level data on the zinc deficiency in LMICs is partially a result of the lack of a reliable biomarker. Zinc deficiency appears to be a public health problem in almost all the LMICs, irrespective of the recommended indicators (plasma zinc concentration, dietary zinc adequacy and stunting prevalence) used. Based on plasma/serum zinc concentration (PZC), which is the most appropriate indicator at present, the prevalence of zinc deficiency in LMICs is of concern. Among the 25 countries for which national PZC data were available, 23 had a zinc deficiency prevalence of >20% for at least one physiological group. Zinc supplementation is largely restricted as an adjunct therapy for diarrhoea management in children, and the best platform and the most effective way of preventive zinc supplementation delivery remains to be established. Impact assessment for current zinc fortification programmes in LMICs and the effectiveness of zinc supplementation as part of a multi-micronutrient powder is to be determined. Dietary diversification, though promising for LMICs, is in the nascent stages of development at present. Inclusion of meat and animal products can be an important way of improving zinc status. Programmatic experience with the promotion of home processing techniques to increase absorbable zinc in the diet is lacking. Conventional biofortification techniques are gaining recognition in LMICs; however, transgenic biofortification as a strategy remains controversial.

> universal involvement of zinc in all life processes makes it essential for human health and wellbeing. Functional consequences of zinc deficiency are well known and encompass compromised physical growth, immune competence, reproductive function and neurobehavioural development (although the exact mechanisms are not yet fully elucidated)<sup>(7)</sup>. These functional consequences have the greatest impact in settings with low intakes of absorbable zinc, such as in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where they are associated with impaired childhood growth, increased child morbidity and mortality, and adverse maternal health and pregnancy outcomes. Supplementation with zinc in populations at-risk of deficiency

have shown a reduction in the incidence of premature delivery, decreased morbidity from diarrhoea and acute lower respiratory tract infection, reduced duration and severity of diarrhoeal episodes, and improved linear growth and weight gain in children less than 5 years of age<sup>(8,9,10,11,12,13)</sup>. Despite the known detrimental consequences of zinc deficiency and recognised benefits of supplementation in zinc-deficient populations, there have been limited attempts to specifically map the prevalence and severity of deficiency, particularly in LMICs, at a national level to help the development and evaluation of zinc intervention programmes. This could partly be a result of the high financial burden, operational challenges and the lack of a robust biomarker.

Recommended indicators for the estimation of the prevalence of zinc deficiency in a population are: (i) plasma or serum zinc concentration (referred to henceforth as PZC) in a representative sample of all relevant subpopulation groups; or (ii) assessment of intake by employing 24-h dietary recall or other locally validated quantitative dietary assessment methods. Ideally both the above approaches should be used together to derive reliable conclusions (7,14). However, because of paucity of such data, proxy indicators (such as stunting among children <5 years of age and dietary zinc intake using national food balance sheets) have been suggested for the assessment of at-risk populations and to initiate programme planning for zinc interventions <sup>(3,7,14,15,16)</sup>. The suggestive evidence indicates that zinc deficiency is widespread in LMICs and it is estimated to cause substantial morbidity and mortality among children. Approximately 4.4% of childhood deaths could be prevented by addressing zinc nutrition alone <sup>(17)</sup>.

This aim of this review is to reflect on the prevalence of zinc deficiency in LMICs using suggestive evidence, as well as reports from the countries that have recently incorporated assessment of zinc status into their periodic nutrition monitoring programmes/surveys, and presenting data from observational studies or trials where zinc assessment was carried out specifically. This review further examines various ongoing as well as possible intervention strategies to address zinc deficiency in LMICs.

### **Causes of zinc deficiency**

The main causes of zinc deficiency include insufficient intake, increased requirements, malabsorption, increased losses and impaired utilisation <sup>(18)</sup>. Inadequate intake of zinc is considered to be one of the most significant determinants for the development of zinc deficiency <sup>(14)</sup>. A daily adequate intake is essential because the body has no specialised storage system for zinc. However, millions of people in LMICs have inadequate levels of zinc in the diet

as a result of limited access to foods that are rich in zinc, such as animal products, oysters and shellfish, because of economic, cultural and/or religious reasons (19). Plantbased sources of zinc include wholegrains, nuts and beans. However, zinc assimilation in such sources depends on the soil zinc content. Beside the intake, the absorption of zinc from the diet is another important factor that needs to be considered. Bioavailability of zinc is known to be greatly influenced by the presence of several inhibitors, including phytic acid, calcium and perhaps polyphenols <sup>(20,21,22)</sup>. If diets are rich in inhibitors (as is the case with plant-based diets), even at the acceptable intake levels of zinc, the absorption may be insufficient. Phytate is accepted as the most potent inhibitor of zinc absorption and a meta-analysis of 30 studies by Bel-Serrat et al. (23) revealed an overall lowering of fractional zinc absorption by 45% of the control values when the phytate: zinc molar ratio of the test meal or diet was greater than 15. Phytic acid forms an insoluble complex with zinc in the small intestine, rendering it unavailable for absorption from cereal-pulse-based phytate rich diets. <sup>(3,19,20)</sup>. Calculation of zinc bioavailability from diets is a challenge mainly because (i) there are constraints to conducting large-scale dietary assessments in LMICs such as cost, time burden, technical difficulty and restricted investment in dietary research infrastructure, including the necessary tools and databases required to collect individual-level dietary data in large surveys (ii) even when dietary intake data are available, information on zinc content and its bioavailability in local foods is fragmentary.

Zinc requirement is often exacerbated by physiological conditions such as during pregnancy and periods of rapid growth, which may precipitate overt zinc deficiency especially when the zinc intake is marginal <sup>(18)</sup>. Conditions that impair intestinal integrity not only reduce absorption, but also result in increased endogenous losses of zinc, particularly in the presence of marginal dietary intakes <sup>(24)</sup>.

Faecal excretion of zinc has been shown to increase during acute diarrhoea; however, there is a lack of clarity on the contribution to this loss from unabsorbed dietary zinc and endogenous zinc. Because zinc deficiency increases the susceptibility to childhood diarrhoea and diarrhoeal diseases are common in LMICs, such an infection may further deplete the body zinc and trap the child in a vicious cycle of zinc malnutrition.

### Prevalence of zinc deficiency

Recommended indicators for estimating zinc deficiency at a community or population level are: (i) percentage of population with PZC below an appropriate cut-off; (ii) prevalence of dietary intake of zinc below the estimated average requirement; and (iii) percentage of children <5 years of age with height-for-age Z scores below -2 SD of the World Health Organization (WHO) reference as a proxy <sup>(14)</sup>. Because the data on PZC zinc and 24 h recall for assessment of dietary zinc intake are rarely available for LMICs, investigations using the amount of absorbable zinc from national food supplies have been recommended to evaluate the prevalence of zinc deficiency. Apart from PZC, the analyses/studies using proxy indicators, such as height- for- age (only for under 5-year-olds) and dietary intake of zinc using national food balance sheets (FBS) were also considered for this review. The two proxies (absorbable zinc from national food supplies and stunting), if used synergistically or in combination with PZC, appear to be of value until specific assessments are undertaken.

Walker et al. (17) showed that zinc deficiency results in a sizable disease burden among children less than 5 years of age, who are predominantly affected by diarrhoea, malaria and pneumonia. In three regions of the world (Latin America, Africa and Asia), they reported that zinc deficiency was responsible for up to 453 207 deaths (4.4% childhood death) and 1.2% of the burden of disease (3.8% in children 6 months to 5 years). This amounts to over 16 million disability-adjusted life years (DALY). Africa had the highest prevalence of zinc deficiency, followed by Asia and Latin America. In India, Nigeria, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia and Afghanistan, zinc deficiency accounted for 47% of all deaths. Globally, diarrhoea was responsible for approximately half of deaths related to zinc deficiency, and thus is a leading cause of zinc-deficient deaths in each region/ subregion. For the above analysis, prevalence of zinc deficiency among children under 5 years of age was assessed using stunting rates and the risk of inadequate zinc intake based on the estimated absorbable zinc in the diet. The fraction of disease-specific morbidity and mortality attributable to zinc deficiency was then estimated based on reductions in both morbidity and mortality as observed from randomised controlled supplementation trials. Finally, the attributable fraction was applied to the earlier estimates for disease-specific deaths and DALYs among children aged 1-59 months to generate an estimate of the total number of deaths and DALYs that could be prevented if zinc deficiency were eliminated in the age group of 6-59 months.

An analysis by Wessells and Brown <sup>(16)</sup> aimed to estimate global and regional prevalence of zinc deficiency by exploiting two proxies: zinc availability in national food supplies and the prevalence of stunting among children. This combination of the indicators was assumed to be complementary because the national food balance sheets are expected to represent food intake by adults, whereas Zinc deficiency in low- and middle-income countries

stunting can be an indirect indicator for risk of deficiency among children. Based on the zinc intake data, approximately 17-29.6% of the population in South and South-East Asia, Sub-Saharan Africa and Central America were at the risk of inadequate zinc intake. The risk was highest (>25%) for south Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa. Countrywise estimated risk of inadequate zinc intake was found to decrease significantly with increasing energy content (r = -0.62; P < 0.01), zinc content (r = -0.60; P < 0.01)and percentage of zinc obtained from animal food (r = -0.90; P < 0.01) which is also a rich source of zinc with no inhibitory phytate. The total dietary phytate and phytate:zinc molar ratio positively correlated (r = 0.03and 0.92, respectively, P < 0.01) with the zinc inadequacy. Stunting in children <5 years significantly correlated with the estimated prevalence of inadequate zinc intake (r = 0.48, P < 0.001), although there was much variance around the best fit line. Approximately 60% (84 of 114) of LMICs had a stunting prevalence of >20% and 32 of the countries were classed as being at high risk of inadequate zinc intake when the composite index (prevalence of stunting >20% and prevalence of inadequate zinc intake >25%) of both indicators was used. A suggested explaination for this discrepancy was that the high prevalence of zinc deficiency using stunting as an indicator was the result of a high requirement and rate of infection among children living in the LMICs.

The major limitation of two above mentioned studies is the use of stunting rates for estimating zinc deficiency. Because stunting is also caused by factors other than zinc deficiency, it is therefore assumed to overestimate the zinc deficiency prevalence. However, an assessment of zinc deficiency based on PZCs from 19 national-level survevs and its comparison with stunting and FBS methods concluded that the stunting prevalence is a better proxy because the two indicators (i.e. plasma zinc and stunting) resulted in similar categorisation of countries into high versus low risk groups, with a few exceptions, whereas the FBS underestimated the prevalence <sup>(25)</sup>. Although plasma zinc may not be always reliable for making individual diagnoses, it has been recommended as an indicator of population zinc status and can be used to assess the impact of supplementation programmes at the population level because PZCs normally respond to zinc supplementation, especially in individuals with a low, or moderately low baseline (14,26). Low plasma zinc prevalence in LMICs for various physiological groups (cut-offs used by each country for defining zinc deficiency based on low plasma zinc prevalence are included in Table 1), updated in the light of recent national surveys, is presented in Table 1. Plasma zinc data were available for only 25 LMICs, with a focus on women of reproductive age and children. Surveys from these countries (other

than Fiji) invariably included an assessment of PZC among young children (<5 years of age) and a few countrywide surveys also covered older children in the age range 5-14 years. The prevalence of low plasma zinc was >20% for children from all the countries except Azerbaijan, Afghanistan, China, India, Iran, Maldives and Sri-Lanka. Nigeria was at borderline risk with approximately 20% of young children with serum zinc concentration below the defined cut-offs. However, the cut-offs used by Afghanistan, Pakistan and Maldives for defining low PZC were lower, and, for Nigeria, these cut-offs were higher than the International Zinc Nutrition Consultative Group (IZiNCG) cut-off (65  $\mu$ g dL<sup>-1</sup>) that has been used by most countries. Therefore, it is possible that the prevalence in Afghanistan, Pakistan and Maldives has been underestimated.

Out of the 25 countries for which PZC data were disaggregated by physiological groups, 18 countries reported PZC for women of reproductive age. Irrespective of the physiological status, these women were at a high risk of zinc deficiency in all the countries (except Fiji). The prevalence of low PZC ranged from 23% in Afghanistan to as high as 82% in Cameroon. A prevalence of 50% or more was common in approximately half of the countries for which the data on PZC were available for women. PZC among adolescents were available for only five countries and varied considerably (11% for Iran; 27-32 % for India, Mexico and Philippines; 68% for Malawi). Surprisingly, the prevalence of low PZC in men (reported by four countries) was approximately 66%, 77%, 42.6% and 31% for Malawi, Kenya, Mexico and Philippines, respectively. This zinc deficiency prevalence rate was comparable with that of women. The high prevalence found in men, along with gender-disaggregated data for adolescent groups, suggests that zinc deficiency is not limited to children and women of reproductive age. However, in contrast to women and children, there is a paucity of evidence relating zinc deficiency to health outcomes in adult men. Studies understanding the consequences of zinc deficiency and assessment of prevalence in males are warranted.

The prevalence of zinc deficiency appears to be further augmented by the economic status. A much higher estimate (43.8%) of low plasma zinc prevalence among children belonging to low socio-economic index was reported in study (n = 1655) from five provinces in India <sup>(27)</sup> as compared to the findings from national survey (20%). The national survey from India reported a prevalence difference of 4% among the poorest (20%) and richest (16%) households. Similarly, the surveys from Nepal, Sri-Lanka and Cameroon reported zinc deficiency to be associated with low socio-economic status. A recent study using the secondary data from 2010 Colombian

S. Gupta et al.

National Nutrition Survey for children aged between 12 and 59 months found that zinc deficiency is associated with household income [very poor, odds ratio (OR) = 1.48; poor OR = 1.39] food security (OR = 0.75) and enrolment in nutritional support programmes (OR = 0.76) <sup>(28)</sup>.

The prevalence of low PZC for various countries by rural or urban residence is presented in Fig. 1. Overall, a greater % prevalence of low PZC was observed in rural households across all the population groups, except for a few groups which include- children from Pakistan (5-59 months), Malawi (6-14 years) and Mexico (1-11 years); Indian and Irani adolescents; women from Kenya, Malawi and Iran, as well as Mexican adults. It was interesting to observe a distinct opposite trend for Malawi, non-pregnant females, who had a prevalence of 85% among urban compared to 60% in the rural area. Also, there were regional variations in low PZC prevalence among various countries. These differences were greatest for India, with a low of 1% in Nagaland to a high of 41% in Himachal Pradesh among children aged 1-4 years<sup>(29)</sup>. Among children aged 5-9 years, zinc deficiency ranged from 2% in Nagaland to 38% in Himachal Pradesh and, among adolescents aged 10-19 years, from 4% in Nagaland to 55% in Gujarat. In Nigeria, the prevalence of zinc deficiency among women and children in Kwara state (highest prevalence) was 54-70% higher with respect to Osun or Edo (lowest prevalence) (30). Engle-stone et al. (31) reported that living in the northern region, and in rural areas are risk factors for low PZC among children and women in Cameroon. It could be worthwhile analysing such data aiming to determine whether the composition of the diet can explain this or whether there are additional factors influencing the prevalence. Understanding these factors may help to inform the development of nutritional programmes to address zinc deficiency in the local regions.

Zinc deficiency is expected to co-exist with iron deficiency in LMICs because of an overlap of food sources and dietary factors inhibiting or facilitating the absorption of the two nutrients. A very recent cross-sectional study among children from Guatemala reported that 56% of infants and toddlers (<24 months) were anaemic, in contrast to 12% of anaemia prevalence in the preschool group (36-60 months) (32). Iron and zinc deficiencies were high in these anaemic infants and toddlers. The odds of being anaemic were 3.4 times higher among the infants and toddlers who were zinc deficient (PZC) compared to those who were not. Such an association between anaemia and zinc was not observed for the preschoolers. This indicates that multiple micronutrient deficiencies exist among younger children from rural south-west Guatemala who may have higher dietary

	Year of	Children		Women		Adolescent		Men			
	the	-	%	-	%	-	%	-	~		
Country	survey	sample	Prevalence	sample	Prevalence	sample	Prevalence	sample	Prevalence	Cut-ofts used	Reterence
Afghanistan	2013	6-59 months (n = 950)	15.1	15–49 years ( <i>n</i> = 950)	23.4	I	I	I	I	<60 µg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(103)
Azerbaijan	2013	6-59 months (n = 1040)	10.7	1	I	1	I	1	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(104)
Bangladesh	2011– 2012	6-59 months (n = 662)	44.6	15–49 years, non- pregnant non- lactating ( <i>n</i> = 1073)	57.3	1	1	I	1	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(105)
Cameroon	2009	12–59 months ( <i>n</i> = 845)	82.6	15-49 years, ( $n = 879$ )	81.6	1	1	I	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(31)
China	2013	36-72 months ( <i>n</i> = 1483)	4	1	I	I	I	I	I	<70 µg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(25)
Colombia	2008– 2010	12-59 months ( <i>n</i> = 4279)	43	1	I	I	I	I	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(25)
Cambodia	2014	6-72 months (n = 656)	67.5	15–49 years, non- pregnant ( <i>n</i> = 720)	62.8	I	I	1	I	<65 µg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(106)
Ecuador	2011– 2012	12-59 years ( $n = 2045$ )	28	12-49 years ( <i>n</i> = 8186)	56	I	I	I	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(25)
Ethiopia	2015	6-59 months ( <i>n</i> = 1143)	35	15–49 years, Non- pregnant ( $n = 1625$ )	33.8	I	I	1	I	< 70 µg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(107)
		5-14 years ( $n = 1569$ )	35.8	1	I	I	I	I	I		
Fiji	2010	1	I	15–45 years, Non- pregnant ( $n = 869$ )	0	I	I	I	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(108)
Guatemala	2009– 2010	12-59 months ( <i>n</i> = 1196)	35		I	I	I	1	I	< 70 µg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(25)
India	2016– 2018	1–4 years ( <i>n</i> = 8662)	18.9	1	I	Girls 10– 19 years $(n = 5737)$	28.4	I	I	IZINCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(29)
	2016– 2018	5–9 years ( <i>n</i> = 11 556)	16.8	1	I	Boys 10– 19 years ( <i>n</i> = 5638)	35.1	I	I		
Iran	2011– 2015	15–23 months ( <i>n</i> = 4372)	19.1	Only pregnant women ( <i>n</i> = 4395)	28	Girls 14– 20 years ( <i>n</i> = 4685)	13.3	I	I	Pregnant women < 65 μg dL <sup>-1</sup> ; Other age groups < 70 μg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(109)

Zinc deficiency in low- and middle-income countries

p
Continue

Table 1 Continued	ontinued										
	Year of	Children		Women		Adolescent		Men			
Country	the survey	sample	% Prevalence	sample	% Prevalence	sample	% Prevalence	sample	% Prevalence	Cut-offs used	Reference
	2011– 2015	6 years $(n = 4577)$	13.6	1	1	Boys 14– 20 years ( <i>n</i> = 4508)	9.5	1	1		
Kenya	2011	6-59 months (n = 771)	81.6	15–49 years non- pregnant women, ( <i>n</i> = 617)	79.9	I	1	I	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>+</sup>	(110)
	2011	5–14 years ( <i>n</i> = 901)	79	15–49 years, pregnant women ( <i>n</i> = 109)	67.9	I	I	15–54 years ( <i>n</i> = 239)	77.4		
Malawi	2015– 2016	6–59 months ( <i>n</i> = 1086)	60.4	15–49 years, non- pregnant women ( <i>n</i> = 757)	62.5	Girls 11– 14 years ( <i>n</i> = 183)	69	15–54 years ( <i>n</i> = 218)	65.7	IZINCG cut-offs <sup>+</sup>	(111)
	2015– 2016	6-14 years $(n = 765)$	60.2	1	I	Boys 11– 14 years ( <i>n</i> = 162)	66	I	I		
Maldives	2007	6–59 months (1255)	16	15–49 years ( <i>n</i> = 1282)	27	I	I	I	I	<60 µg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(25)
Mexico	2006	1–11 years ( <i>n</i> = 1127)	26.6	>20 years ( <i>n</i> = 1932)	33.8	Girls 12– 19 years ( <i>n</i> = 1 019)	28.1	>20 years (N = 924)	42.6	For children and adults IZINCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup> ; Adolescents < 65 μg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(112,113,114)
	2006	I	I	1	I	Boys 12– 19 years ( <i>n</i> = 734)	24.5	I	I		
Nepal	2016	6-59 months ( <i>n</i> = 1709)	20.7	15-49 years ( $n = 2144$ )	24.3	1	I	1	I	IZINCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(115)
Nigeria	2001	<60 months ( <i>n</i> = 2725)	20	Mothers of participating children $(n = 3779)$	28.1	I	1	I	1	<80 µg dL <sup>−1</sup>	(30)
	2001	1	I	Pregnant women $(n = 795)$	43.8	I	I	I	I		
Pakistan	2011	6–59 months ( <i>n</i> = 6847)	36.5	15–49 years, non- pregnant mothers ( <i>n</i> = 5953)	41.6	I	I	I	1	<60 µg dL <sup>-1</sup>	(116)
	2011	I	I	15–49 years, pregnant mothers ( <i>n</i> = 791)	48.3	I	I	1	I		

Zinc deficiency in low- and middle-income countries

© 2020 The Authors. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of

Iable I Continued	ontinuea										
	Year of	Children		Women		Adolescent		Men			
Country	the survey	sample	% Prevalence	sample	% Prevalence	sample	% Prevalence	sample	% Prevalence	% Prevalence Cut-offs used	Reference
Philippines	2008	6–59 months ( <i>n</i> = 2370)	21.6	20 < 60 years (n = 2892)	31.2	Girls 13– 19 years ( <i>n</i> = 986)	20.6	20 < 60 years men ( <i>n</i> = 2905)	30.7	IZINCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(117)
	2008	6-12 years ( $n = 3789$ )	30.8	>60 years ( <i>n</i> = 678)	24.5	Boys 13– 19 years ( <i>n</i> = 1208)	32.2	>60 years men (n = 574)	33.6		
	2008	I	I	Pregnant women $(n = 461)$	21.5	I	I	I	I		
	2008	1	I	Lactating women ( <i>n</i> = 836)	39.7	1	I	I	I		
Senegal	2010	12-59 months ( <i>n</i> = 1151)	50	15-49 years ( $n = 1082$ )	59	1	1	1	1	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(25)
South Africa	2005	1-3 years $(n = 154)$	51	1	I	I	I	I	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>†</sup>	(25)
Sri-Lanka	2012	6-59 months ( $n = 4463$ )	5.1	1	1	1	I	1	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>+</sup>	(118)
Vietnam	2010	6-75 months (n = 563)	51.9	15–49 years, non- pregnant $(n = 1522)$	67.2	I	I	I	I	IZiNCG cut-offs <sup>↑</sup>	(119)
<sup>†</sup> Cut-offs su for fasting	uggested by status in the	International Zinc morning, <66 µg	Nutrition Con: dL <sup>-1</sup> for non-	fative Group (IZiNCG): fasting in the morning,	: <65 μg dL <sup>-1</sup> and <59 μg d	in the mornin JL <sup>-1</sup> non-fastin	g, and <57 μg g in the aftern	I dL <sup>-1</sup> in the after toon for non-pre	ernoon fo gnant fe	or chi male:	$^{+}$ Cut-offs suggested by International Zinc Nutrition Consultative Group (IZINCG): <65 $\mu$ g dL <sup>-1</sup> in the morning, and <57 $\mu$ g dL <sup>-1</sup> in the afternoon for children below 10 years of age; <70 $\mu$ g dL <sup>-1</sup> for fasting status in the morning, <66 $\mu$ g dL <sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, and <57 $\mu$ g dL <sup>-1</sup> in the afternoon for children below 10 years; <56 $\mu$ g dL <sup>-1</sup> in the for hom-fasting in the afternoon for non-pregnant females aged $\geq$ 10 years; <56 $\mu$ g dL <sup>-1</sup> in the first

# $10^{-1}$ is the first remains and $1^{-1}$ in second or third trimester for pregnant women aged $\ge 10$ years; < 54 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for fasting status in the morning, <70 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, <70 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, and <61 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, <70 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, and <61 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, <70 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, and <61 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, <70 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> for non-fasting in the morning, and <61 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> non-fasting in the morning in the morning and <61 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> non-fasting in the morning and status in the morning and <61 μg dL<sup>-1</sup> non-fasting in the morning and status and statu

### S. Gupta et al.

Zinc deficiency prevalence (%) Vietnam Children (6-75 months) Non-pregnant women (15-49 years) Pakistan Pregnant women (15-49 years) Children (6-59 months) Pregnant women Nigeria Children (<60 months) Non-pregnant women (15-49 years) Nepal Children (6-59 months) Adults (>20 years) Mexico Adolescents (12-19 years) Children (1-11 years) Men (20-54 years) Non-pregnant women (15-49 years) Malawi Children (6-14 years) Children (6-59 months) Men (15-54 years) Non-pregnant women (15-49 years) Kenya Pregnant women (15-49 years) Children (5-14 years) Children (6-59 months) Pregnant women Adolescents (14-20 years) lran Children (6 years) Children (15-23 months) Adolescents (10-19 years) India Children (5-9 years) Children (1-4 years) Ethio-pia Women (15-49 years) Camaroon Women (15-49 years) Children (12-59 months) Bangladesh NPNL Women (15-49 years) Children (6-59 months) Azerbaijan Children (6-59 months) 0 20 40 60 80

Rural Urban

Figure 1 Prevalence of zinc deficiency by urban or rural residence in low- and middle-income countries. Prevalence of zinc deficiency is based on the assessment of plasma/serum zinc concentration in national surveys. Cut-offs used by the countries are provided in Table 1. NPNL, non-pregnant non-lactating.

© 2020 The Authors. Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of

100

S. Gupta et al.

requirements to support growth, lower dietary diversity and an increased susceptibility to infection compared to the preschoolers. This may call for examining and improving complementary feeding practices, access to animal sources of food and multiple micronutrient supplements. By contrast, it is interesting to note that a high prevalence of zinc (PZC) but not iron-deficiency among women was reported in rural Malawi in a study by Sivame et al. (33) that aimed to understand zinc deficiency in relation to selenium and iron intake. Zinc deficiency (>90 %) was greater than iron deficiency anaemia (6%) or iron deficiency (5%) and this was attributed to diets low in zinc (median 5.7 mg day<sup>-1</sup>) with high phytate:zinc molar ratios (20.0) but high in iron (21.0 mg day<sup>-1</sup>) from soil contamination. However, PZC in the referred study was found to be a determinant of haemoglobin and is in-agreement with previous findings from the other LMICs where zinc deficiency has been found to be associated with anaemia in young children. Although the study did not account for malaria and genetic haemoglobin disorders and was limited by use of a convenience sample, it suggests the importance for micronutrient assessment and understanding the factors relating to the regional context.

The latest Global Nutrition Report has emphasised the estimation of micronutrient deficiencies, highlighted the paucity of information relating to zinc from LMICs (34). Although there are numerous regional small-scale studies from LMICs for various physiological groups, the national-level data are scant. The available data, irrespective of the indicators employed, suggest that zinc deficiency is a public health problem in LMICs. The prevalence data that are available for 25 countries highlight the gravity of the zinc deficiency issue not only particularly among women and children, but also possibly among other groups such as male adults and adolescents. Therefore, there is an urgent need to assess zinc status among various physiological groups for all LMICs. IZiNCG has committed to conduct key informant interviews with survey representatives from the countries where a recent national nutrition survey included plasma/ serum zinc or omitted it. This would help to identify the factors that enable plasma/serum zinc assessment along with the challenges that persist to facilitate availability and utilisation of such data.

### Strategies for addressing zinc deficiencies

The past two decades have witnessed an increasing awareness of zinc nutrition in LMICs. Several zinc intervention (supplementation) trials in settings with inadequate intake have shown beneficial effects on various aspects of human health. However, as mentioned earlier, large-scale intervention programmes have been impeded partially by a lack of reliable biomarkers. Presently, the approaches suggested for tackling zinc deficiency are identical to that in place for iron deficiency and include supplementation, fortification, dietary diversification and the emerging area of biofortification. The choice of intervention will be influenced by the urgency with which the situation needs to be addressed, the resources available to develop and maintain the infrastructure, and/or technology necessary to deliver and sustain the interventions and evidence in the support of the intervention type <sup>(7)</sup>. Preferably, the above-mentioned approaches should be integrated not only to derive maximum effects, but also to facilitate a smooth transition from short term strategies such as supplementation to the most sustainable strategy of dietary diversification. In addition, complementary approaches should be combined with ongoing national food, nutrition and health programmes, and promoted using nutrition education and social marketing techniques to enhance their effectiveness and sustainability. The success of programmes and policies requires harmonisation and integration of the work of various sectors, such as government, education, public health and industry, as well as international and consumer organisations (35).

### Supplementation

Supplementation programmes are particularly valuable for targeting vulnerable population subgroups whose nutritional status needs to be improved within a relatively short period and are often looked upon as a short-term strategy. A strong argument in support of zinc supplementation in LMICs arises not only because supplementation has been shown to reduce ill effects associated with zinc deficiency, but also it appears to be a viable strategy for reaching specific target groups that do not have access to processed food or whose requirement for zinc (such as young children with frequent episode of diarrheal infections) cannot be met even with a fortification and/or dietary diversification program.

The potential of zinc supplementation programmes in LMICs as a protective approach against diarrhoea, pneumonia, respiratory tract infection, mortality among children and adverse pregnancy outcomes among women is widely documented <sup>(9,10,13)</sup>. A recent double-blind study has confirmed the beneficial effects of a 6-month zinc supplementation intervention on the length increment among rural young Iranian children (6–24 months) <sup>(36)</sup>. This study, along with a meta-analysis by Imdad and Bhutta <sup>(37)</sup> that concluded a significant positive effect of zinc supplementation on stunting reduction in children <5 years of age in LMICs, has brought some clarity to the contrasting findings of the previous meta-analyses by two independent groups. Among these, two meta-

analyses by Brown *et al.*  $^{(9,38)}$  concluded that zinc supplementation produces a highly significant positive effect on height gain in prepubertal children and is in contrast to a finding by Ramakrishnan *et al.*  $^{(39)}$  where no such association was observed among children <5 years.

In LMICs, supplementation programmes are often expensive, rely heavily on donor support and on individual compliance, which may require an alteration in usual behaviour. In such a setting, a health system capable of providing a consistent supply, distribution, and delivery of the supplement to the targeted group is a prerequisite <sup>(35)</sup>, alongside effective compliance monitoring and support. Accordingly, despite the evidence, implementation of preventive zinc supplementation remains a challenge. Zinc supplementation is generally restricted to therapeutic purposes and an increasing number of LMICs have introduced national policies for zinc in the treatment of diarrhoea among children <5 years, based on recommendations by WHO and United Nations Children's Fund <sup>(40)</sup>. These recommendations were made in response to a growing body of evidence showing a reduction in the duration and severity of diarrhoeal episodes, as well as reduced incidence in the subsequent months. According to the guidelines, 10 mg Zn day<sup>-1</sup> for 10-14 days for children under 3 years and 20 mg for older children should be administered. However, the coverage for this zinc supplementation as an adjunct therapy for the treatment of diarrhoea remains low in the absence of effective scale-up efforts (41). Also, this approach appears to be suboptimal for the prevention of zinc deficiency because children only have access to supplemental zinc after they become ill, and if their caregivers actively seek treatment for diarrhoea.

Public health experts, government and donors may be reluctant to scale up a preventive programme that requires daily zinc supplementation, especially when several micronutrient deficiencies often co-exist <sup>(42)</sup>. The use of the existing supplementation platforms, such as those for iron and folic acid, is suggested with respect to reducing the cost of zinc supplementation. Multiple micronutrient interventions such as multiple micronutrient powders (MNP) that often include zinc have attracted attention (43,44). However, evidence supporting the beneficial impact of MNP on zinc status and on health and growth outcomes is inconsistent compared to preventative zinc supplementation provided in the form of a single micronutrient <sup>(45,46,47,48)</sup>. Furthermore, there are some concerns about the potential risks of MNP, which include altered gut microbiota, intestinal inflammation and an increased risk of morbidity in some studies, possibly related to the provision of supplemental iron in MNP and possibly modified by individuals' underlying iron status (47,49,50). Zinc is absorbed most effectively when taken

between meals, and in the absence of other micronutrients. However multiple micronutrient supplementation taken with a meal may dilute the inhibitory effect of other micronutrients on zinc and vice versa. Interactions between zinc and other minerals including copper, calcium and non-haem iron have been reviewed elsewhere<sup>(20,23)</sup>. The exact mechanisms underlying these interactions are not clearly known. Shared absorptive pathways for iron, copper and zinc through DMT-1 (divalent metal transporter 1), CRT1 (copper tansporter1) and individual pathways in the apical membrane of the intestinal cell are possibly implicated (4,51,52,53,54). Calcium alone has no inhibitory effect on zinc absorption but, in the presence of phytate, may form insoluble calciumzinc-phytate complexes that cannot be absorbed (4,20). Thus, additional research is needed to not only to determine the efficacy of MNP as a preventive zinc supplement, but also to further understand the benefits and risks associated with it (55,56). A different approach for fostering zinc supplementation (by increasing cost-effectiveness) could be through intermittent/weekly zinc supplementation (57); however, more robust evidence is required (56,58).

A chemical form that is high in bioavailability, does not evoke a metallic taste, is safe, and yet is cheap, is central to the supplementation programme in any LMIC. Different chemical forms of zinc that can be used as supplements include acetate, chloride, citrate, gluconate lactate, methionine, zinc oxide, zinc stearate and heptahydrate/anhydrous zinc sulphate. Studies conducted to assess the absorption of different chemical forms of supplemental zinc have provided varying results and sometimes conflicting data in terms of their relative absorption. In general, water-soluble compounds, such as zinc acetate, zinc gluconate and zinc sulphate, are considered more readily absorbable than compounds with limited solubility at neutral pH (7). Based on limited human studies, it appears that zinc gluconate, zinc acetate, zinc citrate and zinc sulphate are absorbed to a similar extent and that zinc oxide is slightly less well absorbed when given without food (7,59,60,61,62). Zinc methionine/histidine may have enhanced absorption than zinc sulphate because of the facilitation of zinc absorption by the amino acid ligands (63,64). However, the possible benefit of improved zinc absorption from these compounds may not justify their higher costs. WHO recommends the use of the water-soluble compounds zinc sulphate (23% zinc), zinc acetate (30% zinc) or zinc gluconate (14% zinc) in the form of syrups or dispersible tablets for diarrhoea management in infants based on randomised placebo-controlled trials reporting similar efficacy (40). However, zinc sulphate and zinc acetate have a strong metallic, bitter and astringent taste that needs to

be masked, whereas the low zinc content of zinc gluconate makes this compound more expensive. Wegmüller *et al.* <sup>(59)</sup> used the double-isotope tracer method to compare zinc absorption in humans from zinc citrate with zinc gluconate and zinc oxide using a randomised, double-masked, three-way crossover design. The group concluded that zinc citrate (which is odourless and has a relatively lower cost), given as a supplement without food, is as well absorbed by healthy adults as zinc gluconate and could serve as a useful alternative. However, further studies using zinc citrate are required to confirm the efficacy.

### Fortification

Food fortification is the addition of one or more nutrients to a food during processing to increase the intake for the correction or prevention of micronutrient deficiencies <sup>(65)</sup>. Being cost effective and safe, this strategy has gained popularity among developed countries, and is attracting the attention of LMICs. Hess and Brown (66) have set forth a case in favour of zinc fortification, largely based on the clear evidence that zinc fortification enhances dietary zinc intake. However, it was uncertain whether zinc fortification has an impact on PZC or functional indicators of zinc status. Das *et al.* <sup>(67)</sup> reported that zinc fortification is associated with an increased serum concentration, although overall evidence of the effectiveness of fortification remains inadequate. A relatively recent systematic review attempted to assess the beneficial and adverse effects of fortification of staple foods with zinc on healthrelated outcomes and biomarkers of zinc status in the general population from middle-income countries where zinc deficiency is expected to be a public health problem <sup>(68)</sup>. It was found that foods fortified with zinc increased the PZC levels in comparison with foods without added zinc [mean difference (MD) 2.12  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>], although participants consuming foods fortified with zinc versus participants consuming the same food without zinc had a similar risk of stunting (relative risk = 0.88). Furthermore, the group expressed ambiguity with respect to the effect of zinc fortification, as a result of the very small difference in PZC among the participants consuming foods fortified with zinc plus other micronutrients, compared to participants consuming the same foods with micronutrients but no added zinc (MD 0.03  $\mu$ mol L<sup>-1</sup>). Because most of the studies included in the above review had a small number of participants and there were inconsistencies in the results across different studies, a further synthesis of evidence is required in support of the effectiveness of zinc fortification.

The vehicles for zinc fortification include cereal flours and products such as porridge, edible fats, sugar,

condiments, seasonings, milk and beverages, bread and infant formulae (67,68). WHO has given an interim consensus statement on wheat and maize flour fortification with a variety of micronutrients including zinc (for both low and high extraction flour)<sup>(69)</sup>. Chemical forms of zinc used for fortification purposes are mainly the cheapest ones (i.e. zinc sulphate and zinc-oxide), although several compounds mentioned under the supplementation section may be exploited. MNP also referred to as 'point of use fortificants' (generally added to food at a single point of time in a day) have been suggested for zinc fortification to enhance the cost-effectiveness in settings where several micronutrient deficiencies co-exist. Lipid-based nutrient supplements are semi-solid pastes usually prepared from vegetable oil, groundnut paste, milk and sugar, and may include zinc simultaneously with other micronutrients, serving as yet another form of zinc fortification (70,71). The concerns with regards to the use of multiple micronutrients/MNP for improving zinc nutrition has been discussed earlier in this review.

Although food fortification appears to be a feasible approach in lower-income countries, there are several underlying determinants that critically impact the successful implementation of fortification programmes in these regions, including government commitment, legislation, education, awareness and cost of fortification. Despite the potential for a positive impact on zinc nutriture, national-level zinc fortification programmes in LMICs are rudimentary. Cereal flours are attractive vehicles for fortification programmes in LMICs and have been shown to have positive impact on zinc nutrition (72). Mass fortification of cereal flour with zinc was initiated in Mexico and China. At present, there are 24 LMICs that have regulations for mandatory, and another six for voluntary wheat and/or maize flour fortification (Table 2), although evidence on programme effectiveness is scant. Large-scale fortification programmes with robust impact assessment need to be carried out to cover larger populations in all age groups for informed decisions. Cameroon has recently evaluated the impact of mandatory flour fortification with iron, B12, folic acid and zinc (1 year of intervention) through a nationwide survey and reported greater post-fortification mean PZC for both women and children. A significant reduction in the prevalence of low PZC was reported in women by 18% (P < 0.001) and children by 19% (P < 0.001) <sup>(73)</sup>. Very few countries have an ongoing voluntary/mandatory rice fortification programme (Table 2). Apart from cereal flour, roots and tubers could be explored as a potential vehicle for zinc fortification. Recently, Vergara Carmona et al. (74)demonstrated post-harvest priming of potato tubers with zinc solution could enhance the zinc content. An improved bioavailability of zinc from the uncooked

S. Gupta et al.

		Wheat flour	fortification	Maize flour f	ortification	Rice fortifica	tion
Region	Country	Category	Levels (ppm)	Category	Levels (ppm)	Category	Levels (ppm)
Central Africa	Cameroon	Mandatory	95	_	_	_	_
East Africa	Burundi	Mandatory	88	Mandatory	49	-	-
	Djibouti	Mandatory	40	_	-	_	-
	Kenya	Mandatory	40	Mandatory	30	_	-
	Uganda	Mandatory	60	Mandatory	30	_	_
	Tanzania	Mandatory	40	Mandatory	22.5	_	_
South Africa	Malawi	Mandatory	80	Mandatory	40	_	_
	Mozambique	Mandatory	30	Mandatory	20	_	_
	South Africa	Mandatory	15	Mandatory	15	_	_
	Zimbabwe	Mandatory	40	Mandatory	40	_	-
	Eswatini	Voluntary	20	_	_	_	_
West Africa	Ghana	Mandatory	28.3	-	-	-	-
	Liberia	Mandatory	95	_	_	_	_
	Nigeria	Mandatory	50	Mandatory	50	_	_
	Тодо	Mandatory	55	_	_	_	_
	Sierra Leone	Voluntary	28.3	_	-	_	_
East Africa	Rwanda	_	_	Voluntary	49	_	_
East Asia	Fiji	Mandatory	30	_	-	_	_
	Indonesia	Mandatory	30	_	_	_	_
	Kiribati	Mandatory	30	_	-	_	_
	Mongolia	Mandatory	18.7	_	_	_	_
	Solomon Islands	Mandatory	30	-	-	Mandatory	45
	Vietnam	Mandatory	101.3	-	_	-	_
	China	Voluntary	25	-	-	-	-
Central Asia	Kazakhstan	Mandatory	25	_	_	_	_
West Asia	Jordan	Mandatory	20.08	-	-	-	-
South Asia	Afghanistan	Voluntary	50	_	_	_	_
	Bangladesh	-	-	-	-	Voluntary	40
	India	Voluntary	12.5	_	_	Voluntary	12.5
Mexico and Central America	Costa Rica	_	-	_	_	Mandatory	7.5
	Guatemala	_	_	Mandatory	15	_	_
	Mexico	Mandatory	40	Mandatory	40	-	-
	Nicaragua	_	_	_	_	Mandatory	25
	Panama	-	-	_	-	Mandatory	25
South America	Peru	_	_	_	_	Voluntary	32

Table 2 Regulations on wheat flou	r, maize flour and rice fortification	n with zinc in the Low- and Middle-inco	me Countries
-----------------------------------	---------------------------------------	---	--------------

Data source: Food Fortification Initiative<sup>(120)</sup>; ppm, parts per million.

potato was suggested, based on a lowered phytate:zinc ratio (<5) in primed compared to non-primed (Zn: phytate ratio 5–15). Further studies are necessary to substantiate the effectiveness of this method on biofortification and bioavailability of zinc *in vivo* and using the cooked/ processed form.

An increase in PZC when zinc was taken in the form of a supplement between meals, but not when the same amount was provided in form of fortified food, is intriguing <sup>(4)</sup>. One of the probable reasons could be the difference in the absorption. Because the diets in LMICs are generally low in animal food and high in phytate, it may be worthwhile to investigate the feasibility of co-fortification with promoters of zinc absorption such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, or the use of phytase to derive maximum benefits from fortification <sup>(22)</sup>. A recent randomised controlled cross-over trial in young Gambian children (aged 18–23 months) reported that the addition of exogenous phytase to small-quantity lipid-based nutrient (SQ-LNS) supplements enhanced the fractional and total absorption of zinc from a millet-based porridge consumed with SQ-LNS by around two-fold compared to the meal containing SQ-LNS without phytase <sup>(75)</sup>.

### Diet diversification/modification

Dietary diversification/modification (DDM) is a more sustainable, long-term, economically-feasible and culturally-acceptable strategy. It is highly suited for the needs of LMICs because it does not rely on a constant financial

support/infrastructure, which is the case with supplementation and fortification, and it can be used to alleviate several micronutrient deficiencies simultaneously without any risk of antagonistic interactions <sup>(19)</sup>. It entails both enhancing zinc intake as well as its absorbability, in contrast to fortification that addresses only intake.

The potential of DDM strategies to improve zinc intake and absorption has been reviewed in-depth by Gibson and Anderson (76). These DDM strategies at the community/household level include (i) agricultural interventions; (ii) production and promotion of animal-source foods through animal husbandry or aquaculture; and (iii) processing strategies at the commercial or household level to enhance zinc absorption from plant-based diets that have potential to improve zinc nutrition. Agricultural interventions can increase the production, accessibility and consumption of plant-based foods and hence have the potential to improve intake of several micronutrients including zinc. However, the evidence regarding specifically improving zinc intakes and bioavailable zinc is missing because the agriculture interventions in the past have been conducted largely for the improvement of vitamin A nutrition. An issue with the above approach is that agricultural interventions, focussed on plant-based foods, may only have a small impact on the intake of bioavailable zinc. Because the diets in LMICs are largely plantbased, a combination of dietary strategies involving the increased consumption of animal-source foods and phytate reduction is a preferred method for enhancing both the content and bioavailability of zinc in the household diets. Besides animal foods being rich sources of readily available zinc, there is evidence that the inclusion of even a small amount of animal protein, such as fish, poultry, guinea fowl, rabbit, goat and eggs, increases zinc absorption from a plant-based diet (77). This effect may be attributable to amino acids, released from animal protein, which keep the zinc soluble and counteract the inhibitory effect of phytate in the meal (20). Promoting animal foods thus appears the best strategy for enhancing the zinc content of household diets (19). Fish flour can be used to enrich cereal-based porridges for feeding infants and young children (78). The cost-effectiveness may be further enhanced by exploring fish powder from cheaper fish and by-products <sup>(79)</sup>. This source of zinc has the additional advantage of not requiring refrigeration and can be consumed by economically weaker sections and communities in which cultural/religious factors prevent meat and poultry consumption. Nutrition education has been shown to impact the intakes of animal-source foods and thus bioavailable zinc and therefore appears to be a crucial component of DDM (76).

Several household/commercial food preparation and processing methods can be used to reduce the phytate

content of foods based on cereals and legumes. Milling is the most commonly used method for removing phytic acid from grains. This technique removes the phytic acid but has a drawback that it also removes minerals including zinc (80). Other household techniques, including soaking, germination and fermentation, have been reviewed in detail elsewhere <sup>(81)</sup>. Soaking followed by decanting can also be used to reduce the phytate content of cereal and legume flours by passive diffusion of the water-soluble sodium, potassium and magnesium phytates and may activate endogenous phytase under optimal conditions. Phytic acid degradation during germination and fermentation is based on the enzymic hydrolysis of phytic acid to lower inositol phosphates. Germination may also reduce the content of inhibitory tannins and other polyphenols in some legumes (82). Organic acids produced during fermentation also have the potential to enhance zinc absorption via the formation of soluble ligands with zinc. However, human studies examining the beneficial effect of fermentation on zinc bioavailability are missing.

The extent of phytate degradation depends on the method and conditions used. Although, with household processes, phytate can be reduced by approximately 50%, almost a complete degradation can be achieved by commercial phytases <sup>(76)</sup>. WHO has evaluated certain phytases from *Aspergillus niger* for use with food and found it safe for human consumption; however, acceptable daily intake has not been specified <sup>(83)</sup>. It is of interest that fermentation of germinated pearl millet sprouts with mixed pure cultures of *Saccharomyces diasticus*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Lactobacillus brevis* and *Lactobacillus fermentum* at 30 °C for 72 h led to 88.3% reduction in phytate content<sup>(84)</sup>. Recipes combining germination and fermentation need to be formulated and investigated for the bioavailability of zinc.

Although promising, the promotion of food-based strategies remains in its nascent stages of development in LMICs. Despite evidence for the improvement of zinc absorption from cereal-based foods prepared with a reduced phytate content, programmatic experience with the promotion of home processing techniques to increase absorbable zinc in diet is limited. Information on locally available, low-cost, culturally acceptable zinc-rich foods and identification of best approach to promote their consumption by those who are at risk of zinc deficiency is required for developing such programmes.

### Biofortification

Biofortification involves increasing the nutrient levels in edible plants during the period of growth through (i) Agricultural, (ii) Agronomic and (iii) Transgenic (genetic modification) means, either alone or in combination. Targeting staple foods for biofortification is appropriate for improving the diet quality in LMICs because it is sustainable and highly cost-effective, and also does not require any changes/modification in the existing practices of food preparation and consumption. Furthermore, it can cover the communities that are hard to reach, who subsist only on staples as a result of the unaffordability of diverse food, where food is grown and consumed locally, and it is applicable to all family members including women and children <sup>(85)</sup>.

The transgenic approach involves inserting genes needed for the accumulation of a micronutrient that would not otherwise exist in that crop. This method provides exciting opportunities not only for making dramatic increases in the contents, but also has the potential to increase bioavailability. Crops including rice, wheat, maize have been genetically engineered to enhance zinc content <sup>(86)</sup>. Furthermore, bioavailability can also be improved through genetic engineering by decreasing inhibitors or possibly improving the synthesis of enhancers <sup>(87)</sup>. It has also been possible to accumulate zinc in edible germ through this technique. Despite these benefits and time-effectiveness compared to conventional plant breeding, transgenic crops have limited acceptability among consumers and regulatory bodies <sup>(88,89)</sup>.

Agronomic bio-fortification can be achieved by applying zinc fertilisers to soil, or leaves, or by priming seeds. Although this requires an appropriate infrastructure, it can be successful in regions where mineral fertilisers are used to increase crop yields and zinc is added to these at the point of manufacture or distribution. As reviewed by Cakmak and Kutman (90), agronomic biofortification with zinc has proved to be effective for crop fortification and has additional benefits such as enhanced vields depending on the extent of soil zinc deficiency, improved seed and seedling vigour, as well as reduced root and shoot accumulation of cadmium. A recently published multicentric study across six LMICs revealed that the seeds biofortified with zinc enhanced crop (rice, wheat and common beans) productivity at many locations with different soil and environmental conditions (91). The use of nanofertilisers offers a new paradigm for biofortification. This method has the potential to significantly improve the efficiency of micronutrient application to crops, reducing nutrient waste and subsequent environmental contamination (92). It is interesting to note that a very recent study investigating the effect of different agronomical methods for biofortification on human zinc absorption reported no difference in zinc absorption (fractional zinc and total absorbed zinc) between the food prepared from wheat biofortified by foliar application or through the root (hydroponic). At the same time, absorption from the biofortified foods, regardless agronomic-biofortification

methodology used, was greater compared to the control  $^{(93)}.$ 

A synergistic usage of application of zinc fertiliser along with breeding/genetic modification is a key to effective biofortification. For enhanced accumulation by conventional breeding or molecular engineering, it is imperative that the soil/vegetative tissues have sufficient zinc for translocation into grain. Although agronomic biofortification has shown a definitive increase in zinc concentration, evidence of the translation of this increase to benefit human health is uncertain <sup>(94,95)</sup>.

Conventional breeding is the most accepted technique for the production of new biofortified crop varieties. Using this strategy, parent lines with high nutrient content are crossed with a recipient line of desirable agronomic traits over several generations to produce plants with the desired nutrient and agronomic traits. As reviewed by Lockyer et al. (96), biofortified zinc wheat has been released in China, India, Pakistan and Bolivia; rice in China and Bangladesh; and iron-zinc enriched lentils in India, Nepal and Bangladesh. Among the three small studies conducted to assess the effectiveness of conventionally-bred biofortified cereals, two studies (one with wheat and another maize) found a greater net absorption of zinc from the biofortified crop. The study with rice failed to show any increase in total or in fractional zinc absorption. A study carried out in India aiming to determine the absorption of iron and zinc among children (<2 years) from biofortified pearl millet concluded that the biofortified pearl millet, when consumed as a major food staple, is more than adequate for meeting the physiological requirements for these micronutrients (97). In addition to cereals and millets, there is some evidence of improved zinc absorption from biofortified beans (high iron and zinc) (98). Biofortified beans were released in Colombia in 2016. Its acceptability has been tested to understand the feasibility of incorporation of biofortified beans into the national school-feeding programme (99). Larger studies are needed to draw a more definitive conclusions with regard to the effectiveness of biofortified crops. A large-scale effectiveness study on the potential of biofortified wheat (Zincol-2016) to improve zinc and iron status among adolescent girls and children living in underway (Trial Pakistan is registration No. ISRCTN17107812).

Furthermore, there is some evidence that plant breeding techniques can be applied to improve the bioavailability of zinc. Previously, low phytate content maize, produced through plant breeding techniques, has been shown to improve the fractional absorption of zinc (single day) <sup>(100,101)</sup>. However, a longer-term study in which low-phytate maize was supplied to school-aged children in Guatemala for 10 weeks failed to demonstrate any

improvement in zinc absorption compared to control maize <sup>(102)</sup>. The reason for such unexpected findings is uncertain. Confirmation of the efficacy of long-term consumption of low-phytate hybrids is warranted because this strategy has the potential to conveniently improve the absorbable zinc intake of populations subsisting on plant-based diets. At present, further exploration of this novel approach to enhance mineral absorption from plant-based diets has been hindered by the association of the low-phytate trait with reduced yields, as well as by technical and cultural constraints such as the need for long-term breeding projects specifically devoted to the low-phytate trait <sup>(81)</sup>.

### Conclusions

The UN Sustainable Development Goal for zero hunger and good health and wellbeing for all cannot be met without alleviating zinc malnutrition. There is paucity of information on zinc deficiency for various physiological groups at a national level in LMICs and the lack of a reliable biomarker is one of the underlying factors that has impeded the assessment of zinc status in national monitoring and surveillance. Zinc deficiency appears to be a public health problem in almost all LMICs, irrespective of the indicators used for assessment (prevalence of low height- or length-for-age >20% among children under 5 years of age; prevalence of low plasma zinc among the population >20%; prevalence of insufficient zinc intake >25%). Using the PZC, which appears to be the most appropriate indicator at present, the prevalence rate of zinc deficiency in LMICs is of concern. Among 25 countries for which the national data was available for PZC, 23 were found to have a prevalence of zinc deficiency greater than 20% for at least one of the physiological groups. Our review suggests that zinc deficiency is common not only among women and children, but also presumably among adolescent and adult males. Because there is a wide intra-regional variation in the prevalence of zinc deficiency, with those belonging to the poorer sections and living in rural areas mostly affected, regional identification of the underlying factors is necessary to devise context-specific strategies and delivery platforms for improving the intake of bioavailable zinc.

Various approaches can be used simultaneously to achieve the improvement in zinc status. Although there is evidence to support zinc supplementation, this approach has been largely restricted as an adjunct therapy for diarrheal management in children. Optimisation of delivery platforms, zinc formulations, and frequency of supplementation are needed to enhance the cost-effectiveness of preventive zinc supplementation. Regulations for mandatory/voluntary zinc fortification are in place for many LMICs, although there is a dearth of impact assessment. Because zinc has been mostly found to be effective when supplemented alone, the effectiveness of MNP and point of use fortificants needs to be ascertained. Dietary diversification, although promising, is still in the nascent stages of its development. Programmes targeting the inclusion of animal products can be an important way of improving zinc status, particularly in children. Programmatic experience with the promotion of home processing techniques to increase absorbable zinc in the diet is lacking.

Supplementation, fortification and dietary diversification are feasible strategies for enhancing zinc status among various population groups; however, conventional breeding and agronomic biofortification techniques are gaining recognition in LMIC settings and point toward a brighter future with respect to a sustainable solution to the global challenge of zinc deficiency.

### **Transparency Declaration**

The lead author affirms that this narrative review is an honest, accurate and transparent review of some key publications in this field. It does not claim to be a comprehensive Systematic Review of all literature published on this topic.

# Conflict of interests, source of funding and authorship

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interests. The review work was supported by the GCRF (Grant Reference No. BB/S013989/1).

NL conceived the structure of the review. SG undertook the literature search and wrote the draft. AB contributed to the initial literature search on zinc deficiency prevalence and the editing of the tables. NL critically reviewed, revised and finalised the manuscript. All the authors read, revised and approved the final manuscript submitted for publication.

### References

- 1. King JC (2011) Zinc: an essential but elusive nutrient. *Am J Clin Nutr* **94**, 679S–684S.
- Krężel A & Maret W (2016) The biological inorganic chemistry of zinc ions. Arch Biochem Biophys 611, 3–19.
- Brown KH, Wuehler SE & Peerson JM (2001) The Importance of zinc in human nutrition and estimation of the global prevalence of zinc deficiency. *Food Nutr Bull* 22, 113–125.
- King JC, Brown KH, Gibson RS *et al.* (2016) Biomarkers of nutrition for development (BOND)-Zinc review. J Nutr. 146, S858–885S.

- 5. Shankar AH & Prasad AS (1998) Zinc and immune function: the biological basis of altered resistance to infection. *Am J Clin Nutr* **68**, 447S–463S.
- 6. Fraker PJ, King LE, Laakko T *et al.* (2000) The dynamic link between the integrity of the immune system and zinc status. *J Nutr* **130**, 1399S–1406S.
- Brown KH, Rivera JA, Bhutta Z et al. (2004) International Zinc Nutrition Consultative Group (IZiNCG) technical document #1. Assessment of the risk of zinc deficiency in populations and options for its control. *Food Nutr Bull* 25, S99–S203.
- 8. Yakoob MY, Theodoratou E, Jabeen A *et al.* (2011) Preventive zinc supplementation in developing countries: impact on mortality and morbidity due to diarrhea, pneumonia and malaria. *BMC Public Health* **11**, S23.
- 9. Brown KH, Peerson JM, Baker SK *et al.* (2009) Preventive zinc supplementation among infants, preschoolers, and older prepubertal children. *Food Nutr Bull* **30**, S12–S40.
- Hess SY & King JC (2009) Effects of maternal zinc supplementation on pregnancy and lactation outcomes. *Food Nutr Bull* **30**, S60–S78.
- Liu E, Pimpin L, Shulkin M *et al.* (2018) Effect of zinc supplementation on growth outcomes in children under 5 years of age. *Nutrients* 10, 377. Published online: 20 March 2018. 10.3390/nu10030377.
- Haider BA & Bhutta ZA (2009) The effect of therapeutic zinc supplementation among young children with selected infections: a review of the evidence. *Food Nutr Bull* 30, S41–59.
- Aggarwal R, Sentz J & Miller MA (2007) Role of zinc administration in prevention of childhood diarrhea and respiratory illnesses: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 119, 1120– 1130.
- de Benoist B, Darnton-Hill I, Davidsson L et al. (2007) Conclusions of the Joint WHO/UNICEF/IAEA/IZiNCG Interagency Meeting on Zinc Status Indicators. Food Nutr Bull 28(Suppl. 3), S480–S484.
- 15. Wieringa FT, Dijkhuizen MA, Fiorentino M *et al.* (2015) Determination of zinc status in humans: which indicator should we use? *Nutrients* **7**, 3252–3263.
- Wessells KR & Brown KH. (2012) Estimating the global prevalence of zinc deficiency: results based on zinc availability in national food supplies and the prevalence of stunting. *PLoS One* 7, e50568.
- Walker CLF, Ezzati M & Black RE (2009) Global and regional child mortality and burden of disease attributable to zinc deficiency. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 63, 591– 597.
- King JC & Cousins RJ. 2014. Zinc. In: Modern nutrition in health and disease, 11th ed., pp 189–205 (Shils ME, Shike M, Ross AC, Caballero B & Cousins RJ, editors). Philadelphia: Lippincott, Williams, & Wilkins.
- Gibson RS (2006) Zinc: the missing link in combating micronutrient malnutrition in developing countries. *Proc Nutr Soc* 65, 51–60.

- 20. Lonnerdal B (2000) Dietary factors influencing zinc absorption. J Nutr 130, 1378s–1383s.
- 21. Solomons NW (2001) Dietary sources of zinc and factors affecting its bioavailability. *Food Nutr Bull* **22**, 138–154.
- 22. Brnić M, Wegmüller R, Zeder C *et al.* (2014) Influence of phytase, EDTA, and polyphenols on zinc absorption in adults from porridges fortified with zinc sulfate or zinc oxide. *J Nutr* 144, 1467–1473.
- Bel-Serrat S, Stammers AL, Warthon-Medina M *et al.* (2014) Factors that affect zinc bioavailability and losses in adult and elderly populations. *Nutr Rev* 72, 334–352.
- 24. Roohani N, Hurrell R, Kelishadi R *et al.* (2013) Zinc and its importance for human health: An integrative review. *J Res Med Sci* **18**, 144–157.
- 25. Hess SY (2017) National risk of zinc deficiency as estimated by national surveys. *Food Nutr Bull* **38**, 3–17.
- Lowe NM, Fekete K & Decsi T (2009) Methods of assessment of zinc status in humans: a systematic review. *Am J Clin Nutr* 89, 2040S–2051S.
- 27. Kapil U & Jain K (2011) Magnitude of zinc deficiency amongst under five children in India. *Indian J Pediatr* **78**, 1069–1072.
- Pinzón-Rondón ÁM, Hoyos-Martínez A, Parra-Correa D et al. (2019) Association of nutritional support programs with zinc deficiency in Colombian children: a crosssectional study. *BMC Nutrition* 5, 42.
- 29. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare India, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), Indian Population Council (2019). Comprehensive National Nutrition Survey (CNNS) 2016–2019 National Report. New Delhi: Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.
- Maziya-Dixon B, Akinyele IO & Oguntona EB et al. (2004). Nigeria Food Consumption and Nutrition Survey 2001–2003. Ibadan, Nigeria: International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA).
- 31. Engle-Stone R, Ndjebayi AO, Nankap M et al. (2013) Stunting prevalence, plasma zinc concentrations, and dietary zinc intakes in a nationally representative sample suggest a high risk of zinc deficiency among women and young children in Cameroon. J Nutr 144, 382–391.
- Palacios AM, Hurley KM, De-Ponce S et al. (2019) Zinc deficiency associated with anaemia among young children in rural Guatemala. *Matern Child Nutr* 16, e12885. 10.1111/mcn.12885.
- 33. Siyame EW, Hurst R, Wawer AA *et al.* (2013) A high prevalence of zinc- but not iron-deficiency among women in rural Malawi: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res* 83, 176–187.
- Development Initiative (2018) 2018 Global Nutrition Report: Shining a light to spur action on nutrition. Development Initiatives. Bristol, UK: Development Initiatives.
- Gibson RS & Ferguson EL (1998) Nutrition intervention strategies to combat zinc deficiency in developing countries. *Nutr Res Rev* 11, 115–131.

- 36. Abdollahi M, Ajami M, Abdollahi Z et al. (2019) Zinc supplementation is an effective and feasible strategy to prevent growth retardation in 6 to 24 month children: A pragmatic double blind, randomized trial. *Heliyon* 5, e02581.
- 37. Imdad A & Bhutta ZA. (2011) Effect of preventive zinc supplementation on linear growth in children under 5 years of age in developing countries: a meta-analysis of studies for input to the lives saved tool. *BMC Public Health* 11, Suppl. 3, S22. Published online: 13 April 2011. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-11-S3-S22.
- Brown KH, Peerson JM, Rivera J et al. (2002) Effect of supplemental zinc on the growth and serum zinc concentrations of prepubertal children: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 75, 1062– 1071.
- Ramakrishnan U, Nguyen P & Martorell R (2008) Effects of micronutrients on growth of children under 5 y of age: meta-analyses of single and multiple nutrient interventions. Am J Clin Nutr 89, 191–203.
- 40. World Health Organization, Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, United States Agency for International Development, United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund. (2006) Implementing the new recommendations on the clinical management of diarrhoea: guidelines for policy makers and programme managers. Geneva: WHO.
- Black RE (2019) Progress in the use of ORS and zinc for the treatment of childhood diarrhea. *J Glob Health* 9, 010101.
- Winichagoon P (2008) Coexistence of micronutrient malnutrition: implication for nutrition policy and programs in Asia. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 17(Suppl 1), 346– 348.
- 43. World Health Organization. Multiple micronutrient powders for point-of-use fortification of foods consumed by infants and children. Guidance summary. https://www.who.int/elena/titles/guidance\_summaries/micronutrie ntpowder\_infants/en/ (accessed December 2019).
- Lamberti LM, Fischer Walker CL & Black RE (2016) Zinc deficiency in childhood and pregnancy: Evidence for intervention effects and program responses. *World Rev Nutr Diet* 115, 125–133.
- 45. Holmes JB, Kroeun H, Houghton LA *et al.* (2019) Including 60 mg Elemental iron in a multiple micronutrient supplement blunts the increase in serum zinc after 12 weeks of daily supplementation in predominantly anemic, nonpregnant Cambodian women of reproductive Age. J Nutr 149, 1503–1510.
- 46. Barffour MA, Hinnouho GM, Kounnavong S *et al.* (2019) Effects of Daily Zinc, Daily Multiple Micronutrient Powder, or Therapeutic Zinc Supplementation for Diarrhea Prevention on Physical Growth, Anemia, and Micronutrient Status in Rural Laotian Children: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Pediatr* 207, 80–89.e82.

Published Online: 21 Dec 2018. doi: 10.1016/ j.jpeds.2018.11.022.

- 47. Soofi S, Cousens S, Iqbal SP *et al.* (2013) Effect of provision of daily zinc and iron with several micronutrients on growth and morbidity among young children in Pakistan: a cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet* (*London, England*) 382, 29–40.
- Campos Ponce M, Polman K, Roos N *et al.* (2019) What approaches are most effective at addressing micronutrient deficiency in children 0–5 years? A review of systematic reviews. *Matern Child Health J* 23, 4–17.
- Zimmermann MB, Chassard C, Rohner F *et al.* (2010) The effects of iron fortification on the gut microbiota in African children: a randomized controlled trial in Côte d'Ivoire. *Am J Clin Nutr* **92**, 1406–1415.
- Prentice AM, Verhoef H & Cerami C (2013) Iron fortification and malaria risk in children. *JAMA* 310, 914–915.
- Arredondo M, Martinez R, Nunez MT *et al.* (2006) Inhibition of iron and copper uptake by iron, copper and zinc. *Biol Res* 39, 95–102.
- 52. Gunshin H, Mackenzie B, Berger UV *et al.* (1997) Cloning and characterization of a mammalian protoncoupled metal-ion transporter. *Nature* **388**, 482–488.
- 53. Yamaji S, Tennant J, Tandy S *et al.* (2001) Zinc regulates the function and expression of the iron transporters DMT1 and IREG1 in human intestinal Caco-2 cells. *FEBS Lett* **507**, 137–141.
- 54. Espinoza A, Le Blanc S, Olivares M et al. (2012) Iron, copper, and zinc transport: inhibition of divalent metal transporter 1 (DMT1) and human copper transporter 1 (hCTR1) by shRNA. Biol Trace Elem Res 146, 281–286.
- 55. Hasan MI, Hossain SJ, Braat S *et al.* (2017) Benefits and risks of iron interventions in children (BRISC): protocol for a three-arm parallel-group randomised controlled field trial in Bangladesh. *BMJ Open* 7, e018325. Published online: 15 November 2017. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018325.
- 56. Wessells KR, Brown KH, Kounnavong S *et al.* (2018) Comparison of two forms of daily preventive zinc supplementation versus therapeutic zinc supplementation for diarrhea on young children's physical growth and risk of infection: study design and rationale for a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Nutrition* **4**, 39.
- 57. Brown KH, Hess SY, Vosti SA *et al.* (2013) Comparison of the estimated cost-effectiveness of preventive and therapeutic zinc supplementation strategies for reducing child morbidity and mortality in sub-Saharan Africa. *Food Nutr Bull* **34**, 199–214.
- Becquey E, Ouedraogo CT, Hess SY *et al.* (2016) Comparison of preventive and therapeutic zinc supplementation in young children in Burkina Faso: A cluster-randomized, community-based trial. *J Nutr* 146, 2058–2066.
- 59. Wegmüller R, Tay F, Zeder C *et al.* (2014) Zinc absorption by young adults from supplemental zinc

citrate is comparable with that from zinc gluconate and higher than from zinc oxide. *J Nutr* 144, 132–136.

- 60. Tran CD, Miller LV, Krebs NF *et al.* (2004) Zinc absorption as a function of the dose of zinc sulfate in aqueous solution. *Am J Clin Nutr* **80**, 1570–1573.
- Henderson LM, Brewer GJ, Dressman JB et al. (1995) Effect of Intragastric pH on the Absorption of Oral Zinc Acetate and Zinc Oxide in Young Healthy Volunteers. J Parenteral Enteral Nutr 19, 393–397.
- Wolfe SA, Gibson RS, Gadowsky SL *et al.* (1994) Zinc status of a group of pregnant adolescents at 36 weeks gestation living in southern Ontario. *J Am College Nutr* 13, 154–164.
- Schölmerich J, Freudemann A, Köttgen E *et al.* (1987) Bioavailability of zinc from zinc-histidine complexes. I. Comparison with zinc sulfate in healthy men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 45, 1480–1486.
- Rosado JL, Muñoz E, López P *et al.* (1993) Absorption of zinc sulfate, methionine, and polyascorbate in the presence and absence of a plant-based rural mexican diet. *Nutr Res* 13, 1141–1151.
- 65. World Health Organization, Food and Agricultural Organization (2006) *Guidelines on food fortification with micronutrients* (Allen L, de Benoist B, Dary O & Hurrell R editors) Geneva: WHO.
- 66. Hess SY & Brown KH (2009) Impact of zinc fortification on zinc nutrition. *Food Nutr Bull* **30**, S79–107.
- Das JK, Kumar R, Salam RA *et al.* (2013) Systematic review of zinc fortification trials. *Ann Nutr Metab* 62 (suppl. 1), 44–56.
- 68. Shah D, Sachdev HS, Gera T *et al.* (2016) Fortification of staple foods with zinc for improving zinc status and other health outcomes in the general population. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, Cd010697. Published online: 9 Jun 2016. doi: 10.1002/ 14651858.CD010697.pub2.
- 69. World Health Organization, Food and Agricultural Organization, United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund, Micronutrient Initiative, Food Fortification Initiative (2009) *Recommendations on wheat* and maize flour fortification meeting report: interim consensus statement. Geneva: WHO https://apps.who.int/ iris/bitstream/handle/10665/111837/WHO\_NMH\_NHD\_ MNM\_09.1\_eng.pdf (accessed December 2019).
- 70. Hess SY, Bado L, Aaron GJ *et al.* (2011) Acceptability of zinc-fortified, lipid-based nutrient supplements (LNS) prepared for young children in Burkina Faso. *Matern Child Nutr* 7, 357–367.
- 71. Abbeddou S, Yakes Jimenez E, Some JW *et al.* (2017) Small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements containing different amounts of zinc along with diarrhea and malaria treatment increase iron and vitamin A status and reduce anemia prevalence, but do not affect zinc status in young Burkinabe children: a cluster-randomized trial. *BMC Pediatr* 17, 46.

- Brown KH, Hambidge KM & Ranum P (2010) Zinc fortification of cereal flours: current recommendations and research needs. *Food Nutr Bull* **31**, S62–74.
- 73. Engle-Stone R, Nankap M, Ndjebayi AO *et al.* (2017) Iron, zinc, folate, and vitamin B-12 status increased among women and children in Yaounde and Douala, Cameroon, 1 year after introducing fortified wheat flour. *J Nutr* 147, 1426–1436.
- 74. Vergara Carmona VM, Cecilio Filho AB, Almeida HJ *et al.* (2019) Fortification and bioavailability of zinc in potato. *J Sci Food Agric* **99**, 3525–3529.
- 75. Zyba SJ, Wegmuller R, Woodhouse LR *et al.* (2019) Effect of exogenous phytase added to small-quantity lipid-based nutrient supplements (SQ-LNS) on the fractional and total absorption of zinc from a milletbased porridge consumed with SQ-LNS in young Gambian children: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* **110**, 1465–1475.
- Gibson RS & Anderson VP (2009) A review of interventions based on dietary diversification or modification strategies with the potential to enhance intakes of total and absorbable zinc. *Food Nutr Bull* 30, S108–143.
- Gibson RS (2007) The role of diet- and host-related factors in nutrient bioavailability and thus in nutrientbased dietary requirement estimates. *Food Nutr Bull* 28, S77–100.
- 78. Temple L, Gibson RS & Hotz C (2002) Use of soaking and enrichment for improving the content and bioavailability of calcium, iron, and zinc in complementary foods and diets of rural Malawian weanlings. *J Food Sci* 67, 1926–1932.
- 79. Abbey L, Glover-Amengor M, Atikpo MO *et al.* (2016) Nutrient content of fish powder from low value fish and fish byproducts. *Food Sci Nutr* **5**, 374–379.
- Solomons NW (1982) Factors affecting the bioavailability of zinc. Am J Clin Nutr 80, 115–121.
- Gibson RS, Raboy V & King JC (2018) Implications of phytate in plant-based foods for iron and zinc bioavailability, setting dietary requirements, and formulating programs and policies. *Nutr Rev* 76, 793–804.
- Pal RS, Bhartiya A, ArunKumar R *et al.* (2016) Impact of dehulling and germination on nutrients, antinutrients, and antioxidant properties in horsegram. *J Food Sci Technol* 53, 337–347.
- Nielsen AV, Tetens I & Meyer AS (2013) Potential of phytase-mediated iron release from cereal-based foods: a quantitative view. *Nutrients* 5, 3074–3098.
- Gupta RK, Gangoliya SS & Singh NK (2015) Reduction of phytic acid and enhancement of bioavailable micronutrients in food grains. *J Food Sci Technol* 52, 676–684.
- Bouis HE & Saltzman A (2017) Improving nutrition through biofortification: A review of evidence from HarvestPlus, 2003 through 2016. *Glob Food Sec* 12, 49–58.

- Kumar S, Palve A, Joshi C *et al.* (2019) Crop biofortification for iron (Fe), zinc (Zn) and vitamin A with transgenic approaches. *Heliyon* 5, e01914.
- Hefferon KL (2015) Nutritionally enhanced food crops; progress and perspectives. Int J Mol Sci 16, 3895–3914.
- Cui K & Shoemaker SP. (2018) Public perception of genetically-modified (GM) food: A nationwide Chinese consumer study. NPJ Sci Food 2, 10.
- Balk J, Connorton JM, Wan Y *et al.* (2019) Improving wheat as a source of iron and zinc for global nutrition. *Nutr Bull* 44, 53–59.
- Cakmak I & Kutman UB (2018) Agronomic biofortification of cereals with zinc: a review. *Eur J Soil Sci* 69, 172–180.
- Rashid A, Ram H, Zou C-Q *et al.* (2019) Effect of zincbiofortified seeds on grain yield of wheat, rice, and common bean grown in six countries. *Nutr Bull* 182, 791–804.
- Dapkekar A, Deshpande P, Oak MD et al. (2018) Zinc use efficiency is enhanced in wheat through nanofertilization. Sci Rep 8, 6832.
- Signorell C, Zimmermann MB, Cakmak I *et al.* (2019) Zinc absorption from agronomically biofortified wheat is similar to post-harvest fortified wheat and is a substantial source of bioavailable zinc in humans. *J Nutr* 149, 840– 846.
- Islam MM, Woodhouse LR, Hossain MB *et al.* (2013) Total zinc absorption from a diet containing either conventional rice or higher-zinc rice does not differ among bangladeshi preschool children. *J Nutr* 143, 519– 525.
- 95. Sazawal S, Dhingra U, Dhingra P *et al.* (2018) Efficacy of high zinc biofortified wheat in improvement of micronutrient status, and prevention of morbidity among preschool children and women - a double masked, randomized, controlled trial. *Nutr J* 17, 86.
- 96. Lockyer S, White A & Buttriss JL (2018) Biofortified crops for tackling micronutrient deficiencies – what impact are these having in developing countries and could they be of relevance within Europe? *Nutr Bull* 43, 319–357.
- 97. Kodkany BS, Bellad RM, Mahantshetti NS *et al.* (2013) Biofortification of pearl millet with iron and zinc in a randomized controlled trial increases absorption of these minerals above physiologic requirements in young children. *J Nutr* 143, 1489–1493.
- Donangelo CM, Woodhouse LR, King SM *et al.* (2003) Iron and zinc absorption from two bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) genotypes in young women. *J Agric Food Chem* 51, 5137–5143.
- 99. Beintema JJS, Gallego-Castillo S, Londoño-Hernandez LF et al. (2018) Scaling-up biofortified beans high in iron and zinc through the school-feeding program: A sensory acceptance study with schoolchildren from two

departments in southwest Colombia. *Food Sci Nutr* 6, 1138–1145.

- Adams CL, Hambidge M, Raboy V *et al.* (2002) Zinc absorption from a low-phytic acid maize. *Am J Clin Nutr* 76, 556–559.
- 101. Hambidge KM, Huffer JW, Raboy V et al. (2004) Zinc absorption from low-phytate hybrids of maize and their wild-type isohybrids. Am J Clin Nutr 79, 1053–1059.
- 102. Mazariegos M, Hambidge KM, Krebs NF et al. (2006) Zinc absorption in Guatemalan schoolchildren fed normal or low-phytate maize. Am J Clin Nutr 83, 59–64.
- 103. Afghanistan Ministry of Public Health, United Nations Children's Fund (2013) National Nutrition Survey Afghanistan (2013): survey report. Kabul: Afghanistan Ministry of Public Health.
- 104. Ministry of Health Azerbaijan, United Nations Children's Fund Azerbaijan (2014). Azerbaijan Nutrition Survey 2013: Report Annex – Folate and B12 deficiencies. Baku, Azerbaijan: UNICEF.
- 105. International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases Research, Bangladesh (ICDDR, B), Global Alliance for Improved Nutrition (GAIN), The United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) (2013) The National Micronutrients Status Survey 2011–12. Dhaka, Bangladesh: International Centre for Diarrhoeal Diseases Research, Bangladesh.
- 106. Wieringa FT, Dahl M, Chamnan C *et al.* (2016) The high prevalence of anemia in cambodian children and women cannot be satisfactorily explained by nutritional deficiencies or hemoglobin disorders. *Nutrients* 8. Published online: 7 June 2016. doi: 10.3390/nu8060348.
- 107. Ethiopian Public Health Institute (2016). Ethiopian National Micronutrient Survey 2014/2015: Preliminary Report. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Ethiopian Public Health Institute.
- 108. Fiji National Food and Nutrition Centre (2012). Impact of Iron Fortified Flour in Child Bearing Age (CBA) Women in Fiji, 2010 Report. Suva, Fiji: National Food and Nutrition Centre.
- 109. Pouraram H, Djazayery A, Mohammad K *et al.* (2018) Second National Integrated Micronutrient Survey in Iran: Study Design and Preliminary Findings. *Arch Iran Med* 21, 137–144.
- 110. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics, Kenya Ministry of Health, Kenya Medical Research Institute. (2011). Kenya national micronutrient survey 2011. Nairobi: Kenya Ministry of Health.
- 111. Malawi National Statistical Office (NSO) Malawi, Community Health Sciences Unit (CHSU) Malawi, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), and Emory University. 2016. Malawi Micronutrient Survey 2015–16: Key Indicators Report. Atlanta, USA: NSO, CHSU, CDC and Emory University.
- 112. Morales-Ruan Mdel C, Villalpando S, Garcia-Guerra A *et al.* (2012) Iron, zinc, copper and magnesium

nutritional status in Mexican children aged 1 to 11 years. *Salud Publica Mex* **54**, 125–134.

- 113. De la Cruz-Gongora V, Gaona B, Villalpando S et al. (2012) Anemia and iron, zinc, copper and magnesium deficiency in Mexican adolescents: National Health and Nutrition Survey 2006. Salud Publica Mex 54, 135–145.
- 114. Mejia-Rodriguez F, Shamah-Levy T, Villalpando S *et al.* (2013) Iron, zinc, copper and magnesium deficiencies in Mexican adults from the National Health and Nutrition Survey 2006. *Salud Publica Mex* 55, 275–284.
- 115. Ministry of Health and Population Nepal, New Era, United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), European Union (EU), United States Agency for International Development (USAID), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2018) Nepal National Micronutrient Status Survey, 2016. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Health and Population Nepal.

- 116. Bhutta Z, Soofi S, Zaidi S, Habib A & Hussain M (2011) Pakistan National Nutrition Survey, 2011. https://ec ommons.aku.edu/pakistan\_fhs\_mc\_women\_childhea lth\_paediatr/262 (accessed December 2019).
- 117. Marcos JM, Perlas LA, Trio PZ *et al.* (2015) Zinc status of Filipinos by serum zinc level. *Philipp J Sci* **144**, 139–148.
- 118. Jayatissa R, Gunathilaka MM, Herath P & Fernando DN (2014) National Nutrition and Micronutrient Survey, Part II. Iron, Zinc, Calcium Deficiency among Children Aged 6–59 Months. Colombo, Sri Lanka: Ministry of Health and UNICEF Sri Lanka.
- 119. Laillou A, Pham TV, Tran NT *et al.* (2012) Micronutrient Deficits Are Still Public Health Issues among Women and Young Children in Vietnam. *PLoS One* 7, e34906.
- 120. Flour Fortification Initiative. Country Profiles for Grain Fortification. http://www.ffinetwork.org/country\_profiles/ index.php (accessed December 2019).

S. Gupta et al.