

# Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Effects of prophylactic knee bracing on patellar tendon loading parameters
	during functional sports tasks in recreational athletes
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/21080/
DOI	https://doi.org/10.1007/s11332-017-0420-3
Date	2017
Citation	Sinclair, Jonathan Kenneth, Richards, James and Taylor, Paul John (2017)
	Effects of prophylactic knee bracing on patellar tendon loading parameters
	during functional sports tasks in recreational athletes. Sport Sciences for
	Health, 14. pp. 151-160. ISSN 1824-7490
Creators	Sinclair, Jonathan Kenneth, Richards, James and Taylor, Paul John

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11332-017-0420-3

For information about Research at UCLan please go to <a href="http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/">http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/</a>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <u>http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/</u>

1	<u>Effects of prophylactic knee bracing on patellar tendon loading parameters during</u>
2	functional sports tasks in recreational athletes.
3	Keywords: Biomechanics, knee brace, patellar tendon, tendinopathy
4	<u>Word count</u> : 3200
5	Conflict statement: No conflict of interest to declare.
6	
7	Abstract
8	PURPOSE: This study investigated the effects of prophylactic knee bracing on patellar
9	tendon loading parameters.
10	METHODS: Twenty recreational athletes (10 male & 10 female), from a different athletic
11	disciplines performed run, cut and single leg hop movements under two conditions
12	(prophylactic knee brace/ no-brace). Lower extremity kinetics and kinematics were examined
13	using a piezoelectric force plate, and three-dimensional motion capture system. Patellar
14	tendon loading was explored using a mathematical modelling approach, which accounted for
15	co-contraction of the knee flexors. Tendon loading parameters were examined using 2
16	(brace)*3 (movement)*2 (sex) mixed ANOVA's.
17	<b>RESULTS:</b> Tendon instantaneous load rate was significantly reduced in female athletes, in
18	the run (brace = $289.14$ BW/s no-brace = $370.06$ BW/s) and cut (brace = $353.17$ BW/s/ no-
19	brace = $422.01$ BW/s) conditions whilst wearing the brace.
20	CONCLUSIONS: Female athletes may be able to attenuate their risk from patellar
21	tendinopathy during athletic movements, through utilization of knee bracing, although further
22	prospective research into the prophylactic effects of knee bracing is required before this can
23	be clinically substantiated.
24	
25	Introduction

Chronic patellar tendinopathy is an extremely common musculoskeletal condition in both 26 recreational and elite athletes, and has previously been reported to account for as many as 27 25% of all soft tissue injuries (1). Patellar tendinopathy is characterized by pain localized at 28 the lower pole of the patella, and pain symptoms that are augmented by activities which place 29 high demands on the knee extensors, notably in physical disciplines which repeatedly store 30 and release elastic energy in the tendon itself (2). Patellar tendinopathy is more common in 31 32 skeletally mature individuals, and there remains disagreement as to whether this condition is most common in male or female athletes (3). Chronic patellar tendinopathy is established 33 34 after 1-3 months, as degenerative alterations occur in the tendon itself (4). Degenerative alterations at the tendon are mediated primarily by the absence of inflammatory cells within 35 the tendon itself, which reduces healing of the tendon and ultimately leads to decreased 36 37 tensile strength and disorganization of the collagen fibers (5). Patellar tendinopathy can be 38 debilitating; Cook et al., (6) showed that 1/3 of athletes with patellar tendinopathy are unable to return to physical activity within 6 months, and it has also been evidenced that 53% of 39 40 athletes who present with this condition were forced to permanently cease physical activities.

41

Knee braces are utilized extensively in both recreationally active and competitive athletes, in 42 order to attenuate their risk from knee pathology (7). Knee braces are external devices which 43 are designed to improve the alignment of the knee joint (8). Prophylactic knee braces aim to 44 protect athletes from sustaining injury, whilst being minimally restrictive, allowing athletes to 45 utilize full knee range of motion during their physical activities (9). Recently, the effects of 46 prophylactic knee braces on the biomechanics of the knee joint during dynamic sports tasks 47 48 have received significant attention in clinical literature. Sinclair et al., (7), examined the effects of knee bracing on knee joint kinetics and kinematics in netball specific movements. 49 They showed that the brace did not alter knee kinetics but did reduce range of motion in the 50

transverse plane. Ewing et al., (10), examined muscle kinetics with and without the presence 51 of a prophylactic knee brace during double limb drop landings. Hamstring and vasti muscles 52 produced significantly greater flexion and extension torques, and greater peak muscle forces 53 in the brace condition. Lee et al., (11), analyzed the effects of a prophylactic bilateral hinge 54 brace, fitted with torque transducers during four functional sports tasks; drop vertical jump, 55 pivot, stop vertical jump and cut. Their results showed that the knee brace hinges absorbed up 56 57 to 18% of the force and 2.7% of the torque at the knee, during the different athletic motions. Which they concluded, was minimal evidence that the brace was able to reduce the 58 59 mechanical load at the knee. Although knee braces have been studied in terms of both their therapeutic and prophylactic effects, there is currently no literature which has considered 60 their role in the prevention of patellar tendinopathy. 61

62

Therefore, the aim of the current investigation was to investigate the effects of a prophylactic knee brace on patellar tendon loading parameters linked to the aetiology of patellar tendinopathy, in male and female recreational athletes. Research of this nature may provide important clinical information, regarding the potential role of prophylactic knee bracing for the prevention of patellar tendinopathy.

68

#### 69 Methods

70 *Participants* 

Twenty participants (10 male; age =  $26.70 \pm 4.24$ , mass =  $73.90 \pm 5.3$ , stature =  $176.50 \pm 4.25 \& BMI = 23.73 \pm 1.80 \&$  and 10 female age =  $27.60 \pm 4.72$ , mass =  $60.40 \pm 7.86$ , stature =  $166.50 \pm 5.06 \& BMI = 21.86 \pm 2.21$ ), volunteered to take part in the current investigation. Participants were all recreational level athletes who came from squash, netball, basketball and association football athletic backgrounds, with a minimum of 2 years of experience in their chosen discipline. In addition, all were free from lower extremity pathology at the time of
data collection, and had not previously experienced an injury to the patellar tendon. Written
informed consent was provide,d in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki and the rights
of all participants were protected. The procedure was approved by the Universities Science,
Technology, Engineering, Medicine and Health ethics committee, with the reference STEMH
295.

82

83 Knee Brace

A single knee brace was utilized in this investigation, (Trizone, DJO USA), which was worn on the dominant limb in all participants. The brace examined in the current investigation represents a compression sleeve reinforced with silicone designed to support the knee joint and improve proprioception.

88

#### 89 *Procedure*

Participants were required to complete five repetitions of three sports specific movements'; 90 jog, cut and single leg hop, with and without presence of the brace. The order that 91 participants performed in the movement/ brace conditions was counterbalanced. To quantify 92 lower extremity segments, the calibrated anatomical systems technique was utilized (12). 93 Retroreflective markers (19 mm), were positioned unilaterally allowing the; foot, shank and 94 95 thigh to be defined. The foot was defined via the 1st and 5th metatarsal heads, medial and lateral malleoli and tracked using the calcaneus, 1st metatarsal and 5th metatarsal heads. The 96 shank was defined via the medial and lateral malleoli and medial and lateral femoral 97 98 epicondyles and tracked using a cluster positioned onto the shank. The thigh was defined via the medial and lateral femoral epicondyles and the hip joint centre and tracked using a cluster 99 positioned onto the thigh. To define the pelvis additional markers were positioned onto the 100

101 anterior (ASIS) and posterior (PSIS) superior iliac spines and this segment was tracked using the same markers. The hip joint centre was determined using a regression equation, which 102 uses the positions of the ASIS markers (13). The centers of the ankle and knee joints were 103 delineated as the mid-point between the malleoli and femoral epicondyle markers (14, 15). 104 Each tracking cluster comprised four retroreflective markers, mounted onto a rigid piece of 105 lightweight carbon-fibre. Static calibration trials were obtained allowing for the anatomical 106 107 markers to be referenced in relation to the tracking markers/ clusters. The Z (transverse) axis was oriented vertically from the distal segment end to the proximal segment end. The Y 108 109 (coronal) axis was oriented in the segment from posterior to anterior. Finally, the X (sagittal) axis orientation was determined using the right hand rule and was oriented from medial to 110 lateral. 111

112

113 Data were collected during run, cut and jump movements using the protocol below:

114

115 *Run* 

Participants ran at 4.0 m.s<sup>-1</sup>  $\pm$ 5%, and struck the force platform with their right (dominant) limb. The average velocity of running was monitored using infra-red timing gates (SmartSpeed Ltd UK). The stance phase of running, was defined as the duration over > 20 N of vertical force was applied to the force platform (16).

120

121 *Cut* 

Participants completed  $45^{\circ}$  sideways cut movements, using an approach velocity of 4.0 m.s<sup>-1</sup> ±5% striking the force platform with their right (dominant) limb. In accordance with McLean et al., (17), cut angles were measured from the centre of the force plate and the corresponding line of movement was delineated using masking tape, so that it was clearly evident to participants. The stance phase of the cut-movement was similarly defined as the duration over
 > 20 N of vertical force was applied to the force platform (16).

128

129 *Hop* 

Participants began standing by on their dominant limb; they were then requested to hop forward maximally, landing on the force platform with same leg without losing balance. The arms were held across the chest to remove arm-swing contribution. The hop movement was defined as the duration from foot contact (defined as > 20 N of vertical force applied to the force platform), to maximum knee flexion. The hop distance was recorded and maintained throughout data collection.

136

#### 137 Processing

Dynamic trials were processed using Qualisys Track Manager, and then exported as C3D files. Ground reaction force and marker data were filtered at 50 Hz and 15 Hz respectively using a low-pass Butterworth 4th order filter, and processed using Visual 3-D (C-Motion, Germantown, MD, USA). Internal moments were computed using Newton-Euler inversedynamics, allowing net knee joint moments to be calculated. Angular kinematics of the knee joint were calculated using an XYZ (sagittal, coronal and transverse) sequence of rotations, allowing sagittal angles at footstrike and peak flexion angles to be extracted.

145

A commonly utilized mathematical model for the quantification of patellar tendon loading is that developed by Janssen et al., (18). Whereby the Patellar tendon load is determined by dividing the knee extensor moment by the estimated patellar tendon moment arm. This algorithm has been successfully utilized previously, to resolve differences in patellar tendon kinetics during different movements (18), different footwear conditions (19), and alsobetween sexes (20).

152

However, a limitation of the aforementioned model is that the knee extensor moment does 153 not account for co-contraction of the knee flexor musculature. In order to account for this, we 154 also calculated hamstring and gastrocnemius force in accordance with the procedures 155 156 described by DeVita and Hortobagyi (21). To summarize, the hamstring force was calculated using the hip extensor moment, hamstrings and gluteus maximus cross-sectional areas (22), 157 and by fitting a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial curve to the data of Nemeth & Ohlsen, (23) who 158 provided muscle moment arms at the hip as a function of hip flexion angle. The 159 gastrocnemius force, was calculated firstly by quantifying the ankle plantarflexor force, 160 which was resolved by dividing the plantarflexion moment by the Achilles tendon moment 161 arm. The Achilles tendon moment arm was calculated by fitting a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial curve 162 to the ankle plantarflexion angle in accordance with Self and Paine (24). The quantity of 163 plantarflexion force accredited to the gastrocnemius muscles, was calculated via the cross-164 sectional area of this muscle relative to the triceps surae (22). 165

166

The hamstring and gastrocnemius forces were multiplied by their estimated muscle moment 167 arms to the knee joint in relation to the knee flexion angle (25), and then added together to 168 estimate the knee flexor moment. The derived knee flexor moment was added to the net knee 169 extensor moment quantified using inverse dynamics, and then divided by the moment arm of 170 the patellar tendon, generating the patellar tendon force. The tendon moment arm was 171 quantified as a function of the sagittal plane knee angle, by fitting a 2<sup>nd</sup> order polynomial 172 curve to the data provided by Herzog & Read, (26), showing patellar tendon moment arms at 173 different knee flexion angles. 174

176 All patellar tendon load parameters were normalized by dividing the net values by 177 bodyweight (BW). Patellar tendon instantaneous load rate (BW/s), was quantified as the peak 178 increase in patellar tendon force between adjacent data points. In addition, we also calculated 179 the total patellar tendon force impulse (BW·s) during each movement using a trapezoidal 180 function.

181

182 *Statistical analyses* 

183 Descriptive statistics of means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were obtained for each outcome measure. Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to screen the data for 184 normality. Differences in patellar tendon loading parameters between conditions, were 185 examined using 2 (brace) \* 3 (movement) \* 2 (sex) mixed ANOVA's. Statistical significance 186 was accepted at the P<0.05 level. Effect sizes for all significant findings were calculated 187 using partial  $Eta^2$  (pp<sup>2</sup>). Post-hoc pairwise comparisons were conducted on all significant 188 main effects. Significant interactions were further evaluated by performing simple main 189 effect examinations on each level of the interaction, in the event of a significant simple main 190 effect pairwise comparisons were performed. All statistical actions were conducted using 191 SPSS v22.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA). 192

193

#### 194 **Results**

Tables 1-4 and figure 1 present patellar tendon loading parameters as a function of *brace*,*movement* and *sex*.

197

198	@@@ FIGURE 1 NEAR HERE	@@@
199	@@@ FIGURE 2 NEAR HERE	@@@

175

200@@@ TABLE 1 NEAR HERE @@@201@@@ TABLE 2 NEAR HERE @@@202@@@ TABLE 3 NEAR HERE @@@203@@@ TABLE 4 NEAR HERE @@@

204

## 205 Peak patellar tendon force

A significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .20$ ) was found for *movement*. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that peak patellar tendon force was significantly larger in the cut movement compared to the hop (P=.046) and run (P=.008) conditions.

209

In addition a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .31$ ) was observed for *brace*. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that peak patellar tendon force was significantly larger in the no-brace (P=.013) condition compared to wearing the brace.

213

## 214 Patellar tendon instantaneous load rate

A significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .29$ ) was found for *movement*. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that patellar tendon instantaneous load rate was significantly larger in the cut (P=.032) and hop (P=.003) conditions compared to the run movement. In addition a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .45$ ) was observed for *brace*, with patellar tendon instantaneous load rate being significantly in the no-brace condition compared to wearing the brace.

221

Finally a significant (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .19$ ) *brace* \* *movement* \* *sex* interaction was shown. Follow up analyses using simple main effects showed for males that a there was a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .21$ ) for *movement*, with the hop (P=.01) and cut (P=.04)

movements being associated with a greater instantaneous load rate than the run movement. 225 For females there was a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .86$ ) for *movement*, with the hop 226 (P=.00001) and cut (P=.002) movements being associated with a greater instantaneous load 227 rate than the run movement. In addition there was also a main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .57$ ) for 228 brace with instantaneous load rate being significantly (P=.018) larger in the no-brace 229 condition. Finally a significant (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .42$ ) brace \* movement interaction was found for 230 females. Follow up analyses showed that there were main effects for the run (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 =$ 231 .89) and cut (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .72$ ) movements, with instantaneous load rate being significantly 232 233 greater in the no-brace condition for both movements (cut – P=.004 & run – P=.00001). No differences were shown for the hop condition. 234

235

## 236 Patellar tendon impulse

A significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .20$ ) was found for *movement*. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons showed that peak tendon impulse was significantly larger in the cut (P=.0002) and hop (P=.048) movements compared to the run condition.

240

In addition a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .19$ ) was observed for *brace*, with patellar tendon impulse was significantly larger in the no-brace (P=.042) condition compared to wearing the brace.

244

Finally, a significant (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .19$ ) *brace* \* *movement* \* *sex* interaction was shown. Follow up analyses using simple main effects showed for males that a there was a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .35$ ) for *movement*, with the hop (P=.001) and cut (P=.023) movements being associated with a greater impulse than the run movement. For females there was a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .22$ ) for *movement*, with the cut (P=.01) being associated with a greater impulse than the run movement. Finally a significant (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 =$  .56) *brace* \* *movement* interaction was found for females. Follow up analyses showed that there was a main effect for the run (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .89$ ) movement, with impulse being significantly (P=.0004) greater in the no-brace condition.

254

## 255 Sagittal knee kinematics

For the knee flexion angle at footstrike, a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .36$ ) was 256 observed for *brace*, with knee flexion being reduced in the brace condition. For the peak 257 flexion angle, a significant main effect (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .28$ ) was observed for *brace*, with peak 258 flexion being reduced in the brace condition. In, addition, a significant main effect (P<.05, 259  $p\eta^2 = .60$ ) was observed for *movement*. Post-hoc pairwise comparisons indicated that peak 260 flexion was significantly greater in the cut (P=.000008) and hop (P=.0000009) movement in 261 comparison to the run and also in the hop compared to the cut (P=.02). Finally, a significant 262 brace \* sex (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .22$ ) interaction was found. Follow up analyses showed that in 263 female athletes only peak knee flexion was significantly reduced in the brace condition for 264 the run (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .37$ ) and hop (P<.05,  $p\eta^2 = .66$ ) movements. 265

266

#### 267 Discussion

The aim of the current investigation was to investigate the effects of a prophylactic knee brace on patellar tendon loading parameters linked to the aetiology of patellar tendinopathy, in male and female recreational athletes. To the authors' knowledge, this represents the first investigation to examine the effects of prophylactic knee bracing in relation to the aetiology patellar tendinopathy.

273

A key finding from the current study is that indices of patellar tendon instantaneous load rate 274 and impulse were found to be significantly reduced in female athletes during the run and cut 275 276 movements when wearing the knee brace. This observation is interesting in that female athletes exhibited significant reductions in patellar tendon loading parameters as a function of 277 the prophylactic brace, yet in male athletes there were no statistical alterations. The 278 mechanisms responsible for this observation are unknown at this stage. However, previous 279 analyses have shown that female's exhibit diminished knee joint proprioception in relation to 280 males (27-30). Prophylactic knee sleeves, such as that used in the current investigation are 281 282 proposed to promote stimulation of type  $\delta$  sensory fibres within skin mechanoreceptors (31), and clinical research into their efficacy has shown that they are associated with improvements 283 in knee joint proprioception (32-34). It can be speculated upon that there may be more scope 284 for proprioceptive benefits in females, and that the positive effect of the knee brace in female 285 athletes was mediated by a proprioceptive effect, which may have been responsible for the 286 287 alterations in peak knee flexion that were evident only in female participants. Reductions in knee flexion are associated with lengthening of the moment arm of the patellar tendon itself, 288 which leads to a reduction in tendon loading. Nonetheless, further mechanistic investigations 289 into the specific effects of prophylactic knee sleeves on joint position sense at the knee are 290 required before this notion can be recognized. 291

292

As stated previously, the aetiology of patellar tendinopathy in athletic populations, relates to the storage and release of energy by the tendon during sports movements (2). Therefore given the increased rate at which the tendon was loaded in the no-brace condition, this observation may have clinical significance. It can be conjectured that female athletes may be able to attenuate their risk from patellar tendinopathy during specific athletic movements through

- utilization of prophylactic knee bracing. However, further prospective research into the
  prophylactic effects of knee bracing is required before this can be clinically substantiated.
- 300

301 A further important observation from this investigation, is that for both male and female athletes, patellar tendon loading was significantly greater in the cut and hop movements in 302 relation to the run condition. It is proposed that this observation relates to the ballistic nature 303 304 of cut and single leg hop movements, in relation to the run condition, placing greater demands on the knee extensors. It has been shown through epidemiological analyses, that the 305 306 aetiology of patellar tendinopathy is related to the magnitude of the loads experienced by the tendon itself (2). Importantly, cutting is one of the key abilities of sports games (35) and 307 cutting actions are functionally specific to a range of different individual and team events 308 including but not limited to; association football (36), American football (37), netball (4), 309 tennis (38), squash (16) and basketball (39). In addition, single leg hop landings are similarly 310 common in multidirectional sports including but not limited to; association football (40), 311 American football (41), gymnastics (42), netball (7) and basketball (39). The findings from 312 the current investigation indicate that cut and hop motions may place athletes at increased 313 risk from patellar tendon pathology, therefore conservative prophylactic measures such as 314 knee bracing may be important apparatuses in athletic disciplines and their associated training 315 regimens whereby these movements are common. Future prospective research is clearly 316 required to investigate the longitudinal prophylactic effects of different conservative 317 modalities, in sports which place high mechanical demands on the patellar tendon. 318

319

A potential drawback to the current investigation is that patellar tendon loading parameters were quantified via a musculoskeletal driven model. Although this approach represents an advancement in relation to previous mechanisms, further progression is needed to improve the efficacy of musculoskeletal modeling of patellar tendon kinetics. Although muscle driven simulations of musculoskeletal loading require a range of mechanical assumptions, they have developed significantly in recent years. Thus, musculoskeletal simulations have the potential to become useful tools for clinical analyses in the field of biomechanics.

327

In conclusion, whilst previous analyses have investigated the therapeutic and prophylactic 328 effects of knee bracing, the current knowledge with regards to the effects of prophylactic 329 knee bracing on the patellar tendon in functional athletic movements is limited. The current 330 331 investigation therefore addresses this, by examining the effects of wearing a prophylactic knee brace on patellar tendon loading parameters during run, cut and jump movements in 332 male and female athletes. The current study showed firstly that patellar tendon loading 333 parameters were significantly reduced in female athletes in the run and cut conditions whilst 334 wearing the brace. In addition, for both males and females the cut and hop movements were 335 associated with significantly greater tendon loading in relation to the run motion. Given the 336 association between patellar tendon loading and the aetiology of patellar tendinopathy, this 337 observation may be clinically important. It can be conjectured that female athletes may be 338 able to attenuate their risk from tendinopathy during specific athletic movements through 339 utilization of knee bracing, although further prospective research into the prophylactic effects 340 of knee bracing is required before this can be clinically substantiated. 341

342

## 343 **References**

Lian, Ø.B., Engebretsen, L., and Bahr, R. Prevalence of jumper's knee among elite
 athletes from different sports a cross-sectional study. American Journal of Sports
 Medicine. 2005; 33: 561-567.

- Rudavsky, A., and Cook, J. Physiotherapy management of patellar tendinopathy.
   Journal of Physiotherapy. 2014; 60: 122-129.
- Witvrouw, E., Bellemans, J., Lysens, R., Danneels, L., and Cambier, D. Intrinsic risk
   factors for the development of patellar tendinitis in an athletic population: a two-year
   prospective study. American Journal of Sports Medicine. 2001; 29: 190–195.
- 4. Maffulli, N., Wong, J., and Almekinders, L.C. Types and epidemiology of
  tendinopathy. Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine. 2003; 22: 675–692.
- 5. Cook, J.L., Khan, K.M., Harcourt, P.R., Grant, M., Young, D.A., and Bonar, S.F. A
  cross sectional study of 100 athletes with jumper's knee managed conservatively and
  surgically. The Victorian Institute of Sport Tendon Study Group. British Journal of
  Sports Medicine. 1997; 31: 332-336.
- 358 6. Cook, J.L., Khan, K.M, and Purdam, C.R. Conservative treatment of patellar
  359 tendinopathy. Physical Therapy in Sport. 2001; 35: 291–294.
- 360 7. Sinclair, J., Vincent, H., Richards, J. Effects of prophylactic knee bracing on knee
  361 joint kinetics and kinematics during netball specific movements. Physical Therapy in
  362 Sport. 2017; 23: 93–98.
- 363 8. Paluska, S.A., and McKeag, D.B. Knee braces: current evidence and clinical
  364 recommendations for their use. American Family Physician. 2000; 61: 411-418.
- Warden, S.J., Hinman, R.S., Watson, M.A., Avin, K.G., Bialocerkowski, A.E., and
  Crossley, K.M. Patellar taping and bracing for the treatment of chronic knee pain: A
  systematic review and meta-analysis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2008; 59: 73-83.
- 368 10. Ewing, K.A., Fernandez, J.W., Begg, R.K., Galea, M.P., and Lee, P.V. Prophylactic
  369 knee bracing alters lower-limb muscle forces during a double-leg drop landing.
  370 Journal of Biomechanics. 2016; 49; 3347-3354.

371	11. Lee, H., Ha, D, Kan, Y.S., and Park, H.S. Biomechanical Analysis of the Effects of
372	Bilateral Hinged Knee Bracing. Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology. 2016;
373	4: 50-55.

- 12. Cappozzo, A., Catani, F., Leardini, A., Benedeti, M.G., and Della C.U. Position and
  orientation in space of bones during movement: Anatomical frame definition and
  determination. Clinical Biomechanics. 1995; 10: 171-178.
- 377 13. Sinclair, J., Taylor, P.J., Currigan, G., and Hobbs, S.J. The test-retest reliability of
  378 three different hip joint centre location techniques. Movement & Sport Sciences.
  379 2014; 83: 31-39.
- 14. Sinclair, J., Hebron, J., and Taylor, P.J. The Test-retest Reliability of Knee Joint
  Center Location Techniques. Journal of Applied Biomechanics. 2015; 31: 117-121.
- 382 15. Graydon, R., Fewtrell, D., Atkins, S., and Sinclair, J. The test-retest reliability of
  383 different ankle joint center location techniques. Foot & Ankle Online Journal. 2015;
  384 8: 1-11.
- 385 16. Sinclair, J., Hobbs, S.J., Protheroe, L., Edmundson, C.J., and Greenhalgh, A.
  386 Determination of gait events using an externally mounted shank accelerometer.
  387 Journal of Applied Biomechanics. 2013; 29: 118-122.
- 388 17. McLean, S.G., Huang, X., Su, A., and Van Den Bogert, A.J. Sagittal plane
  biomechanics cannot injure the ACL during sidestep cutting. Clinical Biomechanics.
  2004; 19: 828-838.
- 391 18. Janssen, I., Steele, J.R., Munro, B.J., and Brown, N.A. Predicting the patellar tendon
  392 force generated when landing from a jump. Medicine & Science in Sport & Exercise.
  393 2013; 45: 927-934.

- 394 19. Sinclair, J, and Taylor, PJ. Effects of court specific and minimalist footwear on
  395 patellar tendon loading during a maximal change of direction task. Baltic Journal of
  396 Physical Activity in Health (In Press).
- 397 20. Sinclair, J., and Taylor, P.J. Sex variation in patellar tendon kinetics during running.
  398 Human Movement. 2015; 16: 60-63.
- 21. DeVita, P., and Hortobagyi, T. Functional knee brace alters predicted knee muscle
  and joint forces in people with ACL reconstruction during walking. Journal of
  Applied Biomechanics. 2001; 17: 297–311.
- Ward, S.R., Eng, C.M., Smallwood, L.H., and Lieber, R.L. Are current measurements
  of lower extremity muscle architecture accurate? Clinical Orthopaedics and Related
  Research. 2009; 467: 1074–1082.
- 23. Nemeth, G., and Ohlsen, H. In vivo moment arm lengths for hip extensor muscles at
  different angles of hip flexion. Journal of Biomechanics. 1985; 18: 129–140.
- 407 24. Self, B.P., and Paine, D. Ankle biomechanics during four landing techniques.
  408 Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise. 2001; 33: 1338-1344.
- 409 25. Spoor, C.W., van Leeuwen, J.L. Knee muscle moment arms from MRI and from
  410 tendon travel. Journal of Biomechanics. 1992; 25: 201–206.
- 411 26. Herzog, W., and Read, L.J. Lines of action and moment arms of the major force412 carrying structures crossing the human knee joint. Journal of Anatomy. 1993; 182:
  413 213-230.
- 414 27. Nagai, T., Sell, T.C., Abt, J.P., and Lephart, S.M. Reliability, precision, and gender
  415 differences in knee internal/external rotation proprioception measurements. Physical
  416 Therapy in Sport. 2012; 13: 233-237.

- 417 28. Muaidi, Q.I. Does gender make a difference in knee rotation proprioception and range
  418 of motion in healthy subjects?. Journal of Back and Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation.
  419 2017; (In press).
- 420 29. Rozzi, S.L., Lephart, S.M., Gear, W.S., and Fu, F.H. Knee joint laxity and
  421 neuromuscular characteristics of male and female soccer and basketball players. The
  422 American Journal of Sports Medicine. 1999; 27: 312-319.
- 30. Karkousha, R.N. Sex differences of knee joint repositioning accuracy in healthy
  adolescents. Bulletin of Faculty of Physical Therapy. 2016; 21: 56-60.
- 31. Callaghan, M.J., Selfe, J., McHenry, A., and Oldham, J. A. Effects of patellar taping
  on knee joint proprioception in patients with patellofemoral pain syndrome. Manual
  Therapy. 2008; 13: 192-199.
- 32. Baltaci, G., Aktas, G., Camci, E., Oksuz, S., Yildiz, S., and Kalaycioglu, T. The effect
  of prophylactic knee bracing on performance: balance, proprioception, coordination,
  and muscular power. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy. 2011; 19:
  1722-1728.
- 432 33. Herrington, L., Simmonds, C., and Hatcher, J. The effect of a neoprene sleeve on knee
  433 joint position sense. Research in Sports Medicine. 2005; 13: 37-46.
- 434 34. McNair, P.J., Stanley, S.N., and Strauss, G.R. Knee bracing: effects on
  435 proprioception. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 1996; 77: 287-289.
- 436 35. Sheppard, J.M., and Young, W.B. Agility literature review: classifications, training
  437 and testing. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2006; 24: 919-932.
- 36. Strutzenberger, G., Cao, H. M., Koussev, J., Potthast, W., and Irwin, G. Effect of turf
  on the cutting movement of female football players. Journal of Sport and Health
  Science. 2014; 3: 314-319.

- 37. Carson, D.W., Myer, G.D., Hewett, T.E., Heidt, R. S., and Ford, K.R. Increased
  plantar force and impulse in American football players with high arch compared to
  normal arch. The Foot 2012; 22: 310-314.
- 38. Stacoff, A., Steger, J., Stuessi, E., and Reinschmidt, C. Lateral stability in sideward
  cutting movements. Medicine & Science in Sport & Exercise. 1996; 28: 350-358.
- 39. Cowley, H.R., Ford, K.R., Myer, G.D., Kernozek, T.W., and Hewett, T.E. Differences
  in neuromuscular strategies between landing and cutting tasks in female basketball
  and soccer athletes. Journal of Athletic Training. 2006; 41: 67-73.
- 40. Lyle, M.A., Valero-Cuevas, F.J., Gregor, R.J., and Powers, C.M. Control of dynamic
  foot-ground interactions in male and female soccer athletes: females exhibit reduced
  dexterity and higher limb stiffness during landing. Journal of Biomechanics. 2014; 47:
  512-517.
- 41. Masters, C., Johnstone, J., and Hughes, G. The Effect of Arm Position on Lower
  Extremity Kinematics during a Single Limb Drop Landing: A Preliminary Study.
  Journal of Functional Morphology and Kinesiology. 2016; 1: 282-288.
- 456 42. Chaudhari, A.M., Hearn, B.K., and Andriacchi, T.P. Sport-dependent variations in
  457 arm position during single-limb landing influence knee loading. The American
  458 journal of Sports Medicine. 2005; 33: 824-830.

- 459 Table 1: Patellar tendon load parameters (means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals) as a function of *brace* and *movement*
- 460 conditions in male athletes.

	Male																	
			Rı	ın					C	ut		Нор						
		Brace		No-Brace			Brace			No-Brace				Brace		No-Brace		
	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl
Peak patellar tendon load (BW)	7.03	1.25	6.24 - 7.83	7.48	1.48	6.54 - 8.42	8.08	2.03	6.80 - 9.37	8.30	1.46	7.37 - 9.22	7.76	1.67	6.69 - 8.82	8.07	1.22	7.30 - 8.85
Patellar tendon instantaneous load rate (BW/s)	335.41	115.57	261.98 - 408.84	358.54	114.05	286.07 - 431.01	445.64	162.25	342.55 - 548.73	457.89	153.72	360.22 - 555.56	442.39	184.86	324.94 - 559.85	518.55	270.58	346.63 - 690.49
Patellar tendon impulse (BW∙s)	0.61	0.13	0.52 - 0.69	0.82	0.25	0.66 - 0.97	1.01	0.31	0.81 - 1.21	0.98	0.30	0.79 - 1.17	1.01	0.50	0.69 - 1.32	0.96	0.38	0.72 - 1.20
461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470 471 472 473																		

- 474 Table 2: Patellar tendon load parameters (means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals) as a function of brace and movement
- 475 conditions in female athletes.

									Fen	nale										
			Rı	un			Cut							Нор						
		Brace		No-Brace			Brace				No-Brace	•		Brace			•			
	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Mean	SD	95% Cl		
Peak patellar tendon load (BW)	7.69	0.76	7.05 - 8.32	9.42	1.03	8.56 - 10.29	8.79	1.14	7.84 - 9.73	9.26	1.93	7.64 - 10.87	7.88	0.76	7.24 - 8.52	8.70	2.38	6.72 - 10.69		
Patellar tendon instantaneous load rate (BW/s)	289.14	65.59	234.31 - 343.98	370.06	93.67	291.75 - 488.40	353.17	116.46	255.81 - 450.54	422.01	142.91	302.54 - 541.49	484.43	63.87	431.0. - 537.83	487.58	115.96	390.64 - 584.53		
Patellar tendon impulse (BW·s)	0.79	0.10	0.70 - 0.87	1.00	0.07	0.94 - 1.05	0.95	0.12	0.89 - 1.05	1.05	0.19	0.90 - 1.25	0.84	0.09	0.76 - 0.91	0.99	0.42	0.64 - 1.34		

476

477

478 Table 3: Knee flexion parameters (means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals) as a function of brace and movement conditions in

479 male athletes.

									Male	9								
			I	Run					Cı	ıt		Нор						
		Brac	e	No-Brace				Brac	e		No-Bro	асе		Brac	е	No-Brace		
	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% Cl
Angle at footstrike (°)	10.92	4.34	8.16-16.68	13.30	5.98	9.50-17.10	10.26	4.48	7.42-13.11	12.67	5.76	9.01-16.32	12.94	6.29	8.95-16.94	13.70	3.16	11.70- 15.71
Peak flexion (°)	36.55	2.64	34.87- 38.23	39.05	4.06	36.47-41.63	44.45	4.18	41.79-47.10	43.92	3.82	41.50-46.35	45.26	6.60	41.07- 49.46	45.00	5.79	41.32- 48.68

# 480 Table 4: Knee flexion parameters (means, standard deviations and 95% confidence intervals) as a function of brace and movement conditions in

# 481 female athletes.

		Female																		
			R	un					С	ut			Нор							
		Brac	ce		асе	Brace				No-Br	ace		Brad	ce	No-Brace					
	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI	Mean	SD	95% CI		
Angle at footstrike (°)	11.46	2.66	9.24-13.69	16.44	4.94	12.31- 20.57	13.16	3.98	9.83-16.49	17.87	4.53	14.09-21.65	12.49	3.14	9.86-15.12	17.99	6.27	12.74- 23.23		
Peak flexion (°)	36.64	1.92	35.04- 38.25	41.12	3.84	37.91- 44.33	44.35	2.12	42.85- 46.12	45.71	3.12	43.10-48.32	49.74	8.48	42.65- 56.83	53.39	11.50	43.78- 63.00		

482

483

484

# 485 List of figures

486 Figure 1: Patellar tendon forces as a function of brace and movement conditions – black = no-brace & grey = brace (a. = male run, b. = female

487 run, c. = male cut, d. = female cut, e. = male hop and f. = female hop).