

Central Lancashire Online Knowledge (CLoK)

Title	Aspirin therapy for cancer: It is never too late
Туре	Article
URL	https://clok.uclan.ac.uk/15829/
DOI	
Date	2011
Citation	Jankowski, Janusz and Limburg, P.J. (2011) Aspirin therapy for cancer: It is never too late. British Journal of Cancer, 105 (8). pp. 1105-1106. ISSN 0007-0920
Creators	Jankowski, Janusz and Limburg, P.J.

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.

For information about Research at UCLan please go to http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the http://clok.uclan.ac.uk/policies/

npg

Editorial

Aspirin therapy for cancer: it is never too late

JAZ Jankowski*, and PJ Limburg*,2

Department of Oncology, Old Road Campus, Oxford OX3 7DQ, UK; ²Division of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

British Journal of Cancer (2011) 105, 1105–1106. doi:10.1038/bjc.2011.373 www.bjcancer.com © 2011 Cancer Research UK

Willow tree extracts have been recognised for their medicinal properties since 3500 BC (Mahdi et al, 2006). In the mid-late 1800s, a series of breakthrough investigations led to the isolation of salicin, synthesis of acetylsalicylic acid, and patent of aspirin. However, more than 100 years and 20 000 + scientific publications later, aspirin remains an interesting enigma, in that, the scope of biological activity and spectrum of clinical application for this agent remains incompletely defined. Aspirin's anti-carcinogenic potential was originally described in rodent models, with the Melbourne Colorectal Cancer Study credited with reporting the first human observational data in 1988 (Kune et al, 1988). Since then, the use of aspirin has been inversely associated with multiple target organ malignancies (Cuzick et al, 2009). However, existing data are not entirely consistent, with unresolved questions regarding the dose, duration and follow-up period needed to fully appreciate aspirin's actual chemopreventive benefits. To address these questions, Rothwell et al (2011) recently combined and analysed data from eight randomised clinical trials of aspirin (dose range 75-1200 mg per day) vs placebo, conducted in patient populations at increased risk for cardiovascular disease, wherein the scheduled duration of intervention was at least 4 years. Salient findings from the pooled analysis included a 21% reduction in overall risk for death due to cancer (hazard ratio (HR) = 0.79, 95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.68-0.92; P = 0.003) among subjects assigned to the active vs placebo interventions. Notably, aspirin therapy for at least 5 years resulted in even greater risk reduction (30-40%), with persistent effects on cancer mortality extending out to 20 years of follow-up (HR = 0.80; 95% CI = 0.72 - 0.88; P < 0.0001 for solid organ tumours). Stratification by dose did not appreciably influence the observed risk estimates. In response to this intriguing report, discussions regarding the routine aspirin use for the primary purpose of favourably affecting cancer risk have been re-invigorated. Aside some benefits in cancer mortality seem to be apparent within 4 years, suggesting provocatively that the humble aspirin could perhaps also stifle cancer growth (Rothwell *et al*, 2011). However, despite the ever-mounting efficacy data, residual concerns remain about the potential of aspirinassociated adverse events. This issue continues to impede recommendations for widespread cancer chemoprevention in generally healthy adults (Jankowski and Hawk, 2006; Jankowski and Hunt, 2008; Rothwell et al, 2011). Specifically as about 30% of the population may get cancer, there is a need for

prevention (Jankowski and Hawk, 2006). However, from estimates by Rothwell et al (2011), only 20% of the latter group will derive a chemopreventive benefit from aspirin. Furthermore, the serious risks of aspirin, usually GI bleeding, are currently contentious, ranging from 0.02 to 2% per year (Jankowski and Hawk, 2006; Jankowski and Hunt, 2008; Rothwell et al, 2011). This could mean that serious side effects from 10 years of aspirin chemoprevention would range from 0.2 to 20%. At the higher end, the benefits of chemoprevention would be nullified by the risks. The recent report from Langley et al (2011) is potentially paradigm-shifting, as it alludes to an improved risk benefit ratio for adjuvant aspirin therapy for early cancers This area has to date received relatively lesser attention compared with its chemopreventive potential. As discussed by the authors, new insights into the mechanisms of aspirin-mediated growth inhibition (such as altered endothelial cell tubule formation resulting in decreased angiogenesis) may afford unique advantages over other non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs or selective COX-2 inhibitors for treating malignant tumours. Other putative effects of COX-2 on apoptosis, invasion, and immunoregulation (Ghosh et al, 2010) support targeting this enzyme in advanced, adjuvant, or neoadjuvant chemotherapy settings as well. Available observational data further suggest that aspirin use vs non-use is associated with improved survival following colorectal (Chan et al 2009) or breast cancer (Holmes et al 2010) diagnosis. However, three small randomised, controlled trials of aspirin (dose range 1000-2400 mg per day) in combination with other anti-cancer therapies did not confirm the anticipated survival benefit. Similarly, results from the recently reported VICTOR Trial (Midgley et al 2010), which evaluated the effects of rofecoxib (a selective COX-2 inhibitor) 20 mg per day vs placebo on overall survival among stages II and III colorectal cancer patients who had undergone potentially curative surgery and completed adjuvant chemotherapy (when indicated), also failed to demonstrate a statistically significant advantage from the active agent, although the intervention phase was terminated early (median exposure time of 7.4 months) due to concerns regarding increased cardiovascular toxicity.

Given the current evidence base, where should additional resources be invested to decipher aspirin's true anti-cancer potential? As noted by Langley et al (2011), further investigation of the benefits and harms associated with aspirin therapy in highrisk subject cohorts (i.e., patients with newly diagnosed cancer or established premalignant conditions) may permit re-calibration of an 'acceptable' safety profile. Consideration of oncologic and non-oncologic endpoints, relating to efficacy and toxicity, in all large clinical trials also seems imperative. Ideally, these disparate endpoints could be accurately measured and appropriately

1106

weighted to more appropriately summarise the aspirin's total impact on personal and/or public health. Specific to the adjuvant therapy setting, broader use of molecular phenotyping to define tumours that are likely to be most susceptible to aspirin exposure (i.e., based on increased COX-2 expression) could improve the response rate. Finally, further attempts to clarify the genetic characteristics that differentiate aspirin responders from non-responders may also be of merit. Several of these issues will be directly assessed by ongoing prospective studies, such as the AspECT aspirin chemoprevention trial as well as the ChOPIN genetic trial (Moayyedi and Jankowski, 2010). It may even be possible to predict who will get a response to aspirin, based upon their genome.

In summary, the case for aspirin therapy continues to get stronger. It may never be too late for aspirin adjuvant therapy, even if chemoprevention is not feasible. However, more well-designed randomised controlled trials are needed with adequate follow-up time before definitive clinical recommendations can be given.

Disclosures

Professor Jankowski has received grant funding from Astrazeneca. Dr Limburg has received grant funding and other research support from Bayer HealthCare.

REFERENCES

Chan AT, Ogino S, Fuchs CS (2009) Aspirin use and survival after diagnosis of colorectal cancer. JAMA 302(6): 649-658

Cuzick J, Otto F, Baron JA, Brown PH, Burn J, Greenwald P, Jankowski J, La Vecchia C, Meyskens F, Senn HJ, Thun M (2009) Aspirin and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs for cancer prevention: an international consensus statement. *Lancet Oncol* 10(5): 501-507

Ghosh N, Chaki R, Mandal V, Mandal SC (2010) COX-2 as a target for cancer chemotherapy. *Pharmacol Rep* **62**(2): 233-244

Holmes MD, Chen WY, Li L, Hertzmark É, Spiegelman D, Hankinson SE (2010) Aspirin intake and survival after breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **28**(9): 1467 – 1472 Jankowski J, Hawk E (2006) A methodological analysis of chemoprevention

in the gastrointestinal tract. Nat Clin Pract Gastro Hep 3: 101-111 Jankowski J, Hunt R (2008) Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors in colorectal cancer prevention; better the devil you know. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prevent 17: 1858-1861

Kune GA, Kune S, Watson LF (1988) Colorectal cancer risk, chronic illnesses, operations, and medications: case control results from the Melbourne Colorectal Cancer Study. *Cancer Res* 48(15): 4399-4404

Langley RE, Burdett S, Tierney JF, Cafferty F, Parmar MKD, Venning G (2011) Aspirin and cancer: has aspirin been overlooked as an adjuvant therapy? Br J Cancer 105: 1107-1113

Mahdi JG, Mahdi AJ, Mahdi AJ, Bowen ID (2006) The historical analysis of aspirin discovery, its relation to the willow tree and antiproliferative and anticancer potential. *Cell Prolif* **39**(2): 147–155

Midgley RS, McConkey CC, Johnstone EC, Dunn JA, Smith JL, Grumett SA, Julier P, Iveson C, Yanagisawa Y, Warren B, Langman MJ, Kerr DJ (2010) Phase III randomized trial assessing rofecoxib in the adjuvant setting of colorectal cancer: final results of the VICTOR trial. *J Clin Oncol* 28(30): 4575 – 4580

Moayyedi P, Jankowski JA (2010) Does long term aspirin prevent cancer? BMJ 341: c7326

Rothwell PM, Fowkes FG, Belch JF, Ogawa H, Warlow CP, Meade TW (2011) Effect of daily aspirin on long-term risk of death due to cancer: analysis of individual patient data from randomised trials. *Lancet* 377(9759): 31-41